Meeting

April 15, 2021, 10:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m.

The public may attend by teleconference:
Phone: 415-655-0003 or 855-282-6330 (toll free) or WebEx
Meeting Number (Access Code): 145 120 0327 Meeting Password: DWD1
Meeting Materials: https://dwd.wisconsin.gov/uibola/uiac/meetings.htm

Agenda

1. Call to Order and Introductions
2. Approval of Minutes of the March 18, 2021 Council Meeting
3. Department Update
4. 2021 Financial Outlook
5. State Legislation Update
   • The unemployment insurance waiting period (AB 206 / SB 224)
   • Temporary tax exemption for unemployment compensation (SB 267)
   • Deposits into the UI reserve fund (AB 237 / SB 276)
6. Rulemaking Update
   • Emergency Rule 2044, DWD Ch. 123 (Eff. 12/7/20 – 5/5/21)
     o Benefit charges for initial claims related to the public health emergency declared by Executive Order 72.
   • New Emergency Rule regarding benefit charges for initial claims related to the public health emergency declared by Executive Order 72.
   • Emergency Rule 2106, DWD Chs. 127 & 128 (Eff 2/11/21–7/10/21)
     o Work search waivers, availability for work, and work available for people filing claims with the unemployment insurance program during the COVID-19 pandemic.
        https://dwd.wisconsin.gov/uibola/uiac/
• **Emergency Rule 2108**, DWD Ch. 113 (Eff. 3/1/21 – 7/28/21)
  o Waiving interest in limited circumstances for employers subject to reimbursement financing when reimbursements are delinquent due to COVID-19.

7. Department proposals for the Wisconsin Unemployment Insurance Law
8. Research Requests
10. Adjourn

**Notice**

❖ The Council may take up action items at a time other than that listed.

❖ The Council may not address all agenda items or follow the agenda order. The Council may discuss other items, including those on any attached lists.

❖ The Council members may attend the meeting by teleconference.

❖ The employee or employer representative members of the Council may convene in closed session at any time during the meeting to deliberate any matter for potential action or items posted in this agenda, under Wis. Stat. § 19.85(1)(ee). The Council may then reconvene again in open session after the closed session.

❖ This location is accessible to persons with disabilities. If you need assistance (such as an interpreter or information in an alternate format), please contact Robin Gallagher, Unemployment Insurance Division, at 608-267-1405 or dial 7-1-1 for Wisconsin Relay Service.
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ADVISORY COUNCIL

Meeting Minutes

Offices of the State of Wisconsin Department of Workforce Development
201 E. Washington Avenue, GEF 1, Madison, WI

March 18, 2021
Held Via WebEx Teleconference Due to Public Health Emergency

The meeting was preceded by public notice as required under Wis. Stat. § 19.84.

Members: Janell Knutson (Chair), Scott Manley, Mike Gotzler, John Mielke, Susan Quam, Theresa Hillis, Sally Feistel, Shane Griesbach, Terry Hayden, Dennis Delie and Diann Fechter.

Department Staff: Mark Reihl, Andrew Rubsam, Jim Moe, Danielle Williams (Assistant Deputy Secretary), Pamela McGillivray (Chief Legal Counsel), Jennifer Wakerhauser (Legal Counsel), Samantha Ahrendt (Legal Counsel), Tom McHugh, Mary Jan Rosenak, Pam James, Janet Sausen, Jason Schunk, Emily Savard, Mike Myszewski, Joe Brockman, and Robin Gallagher.

Members of the Public: Anita Krasno (General Counsel, Labor & Industry Review Commission), Jeff Smith (Wisconsin State Senator, District 31), Tony Palese (Office of Senator Jeff Smith), BJ Dernbach (Office of Representative Warren Petryk), Ryan Horton (Legislative Fiscal Bureau), Mike Duchek (Legislative Reference Bureau), Margit Kelley (Wisconsin Legislative Council), Matt Terpstra (Legislative Audit Bureau), Victor Forberger (Attorney, Wisconsin UI Clinic), and Alyssa Neuser (Outreach Director at Wisconsin State Senate).

1. Call to Order and Introduction

Ms. Knutson called the Unemployment Insurance Advisory Council to order at 10:02 am, under the Wisconsin Open Meetings Law. Attendance was taken by roll call, and Ms. Knutson acknowledged the department staff in attendance. Ms. Knutson introduced Theresa Hillis, the newest member of the council.

2. Approval of Minutes

Motion by Mr. Delie, second by Mr. Gotzler to approve the minutes of the January 21, 2021 meeting without correction. The vote was taken by roll call and passed unanimously.

3. Department Update

Mr. Reihl stated that the effects of the pandemic began a year ago. Unemployment Insurance has paid $5.5 billion in benefits to 630,700 people. The American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA), which was signed by the President on March 11, 2021, extends many CARES Act provisions.
Highlights of ARPA include:

- Increases PEUC to 53 weeks through September 4, 2021
- Extends PUA to 79 weeks through September 4, 2021
- Extends the FPUC benefit of $300.00 per week through September 4, 2021
- Extends the MEUC through September 4, 2021

The department is working as quickly as possible to program the benefit changes,

Under ARP, states are reimbursed 100% for the benefits paid for the first week if the state waives the one-week waiting period. The waiting week is currently in effect in Wisconsin.

The Call Centers are at full strength. More calls are being answered more quickly than last year. For 90% of callers there is little to no wait.

There are currently 73 active Work Share plans with 2,107 participants. The length of time that plans may be active has been extended from six to twelve months by the Secretary.

The department's annual fraud report was issued on March 15, 2021.

The department's UI Modernization Project is moving forward without funding from the Legislature.

The department signed a MOU on March 9, 2021, for a no cost consulting contract with US Digital Response for the modernization project.

4. Trust Fund Update

Mr. McHugh stated that regular UI benefits paid in January and February of 2021 totaled $185.3 million, which was $91 million more than was paid in January and February of 2020.

All UI payments, including federal payments, from March 13, 2020, through March 18, 2021, totaled $5.5 billion.

The UI Trust Fund paid out $1.7 billion since the pandemic began.

Federal programs have paid the following amounts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FPUC</td>
<td>$3.0 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUA</td>
<td>$221.1 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEUC</td>
<td>$284.9 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB</td>
<td>$7.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWA</td>
<td>$223.5 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tax receipts totaled $50.4 million in January and February of 2021, as compared to $52.4 million in January and February of 2020.

The current Trust Fund balance is about $1 billion, as compared to about $1.9 billion at the same time in 2020. The Trust Fund is down $911 million, or 47.5% from last year.

5. Joint Enforcement Task Force

Mr. Reihl reported that Governor Tony Evers created the Task Force through Executive Order 20 on April 5, 2019. In March of 2020, the Task Force issued a report to the Governor on its 2019-2020 activities. The report includes the following highlights:

The Department of Workforce Development and Department of Revenue are to lead efforts to implement the recommendations regarding education and outreach and interagency investigations within the confines of existing statutory and budget authority.

The following relevant provisions were included in the 2021-23 Executive Biennial Budget:

1. Three new unemployment insurance field auditors to investigate misclassification.
2. Re-creation of the Construction Contractor Registration program at the Department of Safety and Professional Service to require individuals and businesses, listed as contractors, to be registered before performing services for customers.
3. Creation of an escalating penalty structure for repeat violations of worker's compensation and unemployment insurance laws around worker classification, an escalating administrative penalty for repeat offenders, and continued referral for criminal prosecution for subsequent violations.
4. Requirement that the Department of Workforce Development develop and make available to employers and on its website, information regarding worker classification laws, requirements, and penalties for noncompliance.
5. Requirement that certain state agencies provide outreach and education regarding worker misclassification to their customers.

The Task Force made the following recommendations in its 2021 report to the Governor:

1. Amend Wis. Stat. § 102.125 to clarify that worker's compensation premium and application fraud is covered. Amend the criminal code, Wis. Stat. Chapter 943 to specifically include premium fraud as part of the insurance fraud definition.

2. Amend Wis. Stat. § 102.125 to create a statutory requirement for insurers and employers to report worker's compensation premium and application fraud to the Department of Workforce Development.
3. Create a statutory Insurance Fraud Bureau of Investigations, targeting not only claim fraud but also premium and application fraud, with adequate resources to investigate and prosecute fraud.

4. Request the Wisconsin Compensation Ratings Bureau (WCRB) to modify its basic manual to revise its definition of remuneration to identify types of cash and noncash payments and make it consistent across various entities.

5. Require DOJ and DWD to review Wis. Stat. § 108.24(2) and (2m) to determine whether the statute should be amended to better enable worker misclassification prosecutions.

6. Engage relevant stakeholders to develop a statutory requirement of upstream liability, including upstream liability for wage theft, modeled after similar laws in other states. Explicitly address joint liability that is sometimes used by DWD's Equal Rights Division (ERD) in wage claim cases.

7. Create a law that requires ERD to investigate and adjudicate misclassification within the concept of labor standards. Do it in a way that still allows the Unemployment Insurance Division to enforce their laws, avoid confusion due to inconsistency in the law, and maximize investigatory and enforcement resources. Create a requirement in the law to require ERD to publish an annual report that includes all findings of misclassification by employer name and amount, modeled after laws in some other states.

Mr. Manley stated that there are different tests for independent contractor in Worker Compensation, Unemployment Insurance and Equal Rights. Mr. Manley asked if there was any discussion in the Task Force of making the definitions and tests for independent contractor clearer so that the definitions not conflict with one another.

Mr. Reihl stated there was a discussion about this, but the Task Force decided to deal with the issue down the road.

6. UI Fraud Report

Ms. Knutson stated the format of the Fraud Report is back to the format as required by statute. Wisconsin faced an onslaught of scammers during the past year. The department has devoted many resources to fight fraud and scammers. The department has worked with other agencies to fight the scammers. For further information for fraud and non-fraud detection and collection activities, please see pages three and four of the report.

The department made $4,534,889 fraudulent payments, or .01% of all payments last year. The department investigated 3,561 fraud cases last year.
7. State Legislation Update

Special Session on Unemployment Insurance Information Technology Modernization (2021 WI ACT 4)

Mr. Rubsam stated that the highlights of 2021 WI Act 4 are:

- Suspended the waiting week for weeks 3-13
- Extended the flexibility for the Work Share Program
- Extended the period on non-charging of benefits through March 13th
- Flexibility on starting an extended benefits period through June 30, 2021 the department does not anticipate a new extended benefits period
- Provisions related to UI IT modernization, but there is no new funding for modernization

Governor's Biennial Budget Bill (AB 68 / SB 111)

Mr. Rubsam stated that the following proposals in the budget are related to Unemployment Insurance:

1. Provide three additional positions for Field Audit.
2. Require employers to post worker classification notices.
3. $79 million in GPR funding for UI modernization. The department will also seek federal funding.
4. $15 million in GPR funding for UI administration in 2022-2023
5. $250 thousand for each of the next two years for UI administration, to be redirected from drug testing and treatment.
6. Repeal occupational drug testing.
7. Repeal pre-employment drug testing
8. Increase the weekly UI benefit from $370 to $409 in 2022. For 2023 the rate would increase to 50% of the state average weekly wage. In 2019, the average weekly wage was $951. In 2024, the maximum benefit would be 75% of the average weekly wage.
9. Repeal the $500 cap for partial earnings
10. Fully repeal the one-week waiting period
11. Revert to the status quo on the work search waiver by rule
12. SSDI recipients are not currently eligible for UI benefits. Under this proposal, SSDI benefits would be treated like pension benefits in determining the amount of UI benefits.
13. Repeal the disqualification for substantial fault.
14. Extend the canvassing period from six to ten weeks.
15. Allow UI benefits when relocating with a spouse. Currently this benefit is only available for military relocations.
16. Expansion of misclassification penalties by removing the $7,500 cap and apply penalties to all employers.
17. Repeal the coercion penalty maximum.
18. Require electronic interchange between the department and all employers.
The Unemployment Insurance Waiting Period

Senator Jeff Smith addressed the Council and spoke in favor of SB 224. Senator Smith stated that this bill will waive the one-week waiting period until September of 2021. Wisconsin will lose federal aid if it does not extend the waiver. Wisconsin loses $1.3 million dollars in federal reimbursement per week at the current 50% rate. Under ARPA, Wisconsin would lose an estimated $2.6 million per week.

Mr. Rubsam stated that ARPA provides 100% funding to states that have a waiting week to waive the waiting week. This provision will be in effect until September of 2021.

The Act provides federal funding for $300 a week in FPUC benefits. If there is not a suspension of the waiting week, claimants will also lose the $300 FPUC benefit for that week.

The preliminary estimate is that Wisconsin will lose $25 to $75 million in federal funding because of the waiver week.

Mr. Hayden thanked Senator Smith for his efforts to pass SB 224.

Ms. Knutson stated that the council may want to consider this issue in closed caucus.

   NASWA Summary

   Mr. Rubsam provided the following the following information:

   • Full federal funding for the first week of UI benefits
   • 75% funding for reimbursable employers
   • Extended other Pandemic funding to the end of September

9. Rulemaking Update

Emergency Rule 2018, DWD Ch. 102 (Eff. 6/29/20 – 3/25/21)

Mr. Rubsam stated that this rule sets employer contribution rates for 2021. This rule expires on March 25, 2021.

Emergency Rule 2034, DWD Ch. 120 (Eff. 11/2/20 – 3/31/21)

Ms. Knutson stated that this rule provides notification of the availability of unemployment insurance to employees at the time of separation of employment. Ms. Knutson stated that the department asked for a 60-day extension. Ms. Knutson stated that the department has been told that the Legislature will not extend the rule.

Emergency Rule 2044, DWD Ch. 123 (Eff. 12/7/20 – 5/5/21)
Mr. Rubsam stated that this rule deals with benefit charges for initial claims related to the public health emergency declared by Executive Order 72. Mr. Rubsam stated that the department interprets the rule to apply to all employers in the claim. Mr. Rubsam stated that the department needs the rule to be extended. The rule expires on May 5, 2021.

Emergency Rule 2106, DWD Chs. 127 & 128 (Eff. 2/11/21 – 7/10/21)

Ms. Knutson stated that this rule involves work search waivers, availability for work and work available for people filing claims with the unemployment insurance program during the COVID-19 pandemic. There will be a public hearing on this emergency rule on March 24, 2021 at 10:00 am by WebEx.

In response to a Council member's question at the January meeting regarding this rule, and in particular, whether promulgating a successive emergency rule for DWD 127 and 128 during the continued COVID-19 pandemic is allowable, Ms. Knutson stated that DWD Office of Legal Counsel has provided the following response:

Nothing in Wis. Stat. ch. 227 prevents an agency from promulgating a successive emergency rule under the same scope as the prior emergency rule, including promulgating successive emergency rules under the same active statement of scope. DWD has used its authority to promulgate a successive emergency rule because it has no intention of promulgating a permanent rule and it has not simply refiled an expired emergency rule. DWD used the same procedure for a successive emergency rule for waiving interest for reimbursable employers.

Emergency Rule 2108, DWD Ch. 113 (Eff. 3/1/21 – 7/28/21)

Mr. Rubsam stated that this rule waives interest in limited circumstances for employers subject to reimbursement financing when reimbursements are delinquent due to COVID-19. There will be a public hearing on this rule on March 24, 2021 at 11:00 am by WebEx. The department may ask for an extension of this rule.

Ms. Knutson stated there would be no cost for not extending the rule. USDOL is not requiring states to have a permanent rule or law change in order to retain the EUISAA grant funding.

10. Department proposals for the Wisconsin Unemployment Insurance Law

Mr. Rubsam stated that last year two bills were introduced: an appropriations bill and a policy bill. Both bills died in committee. Mr. Rubsam stated that the department is including the provisions of both bills this year as department proposals. The section of the bill related to federal workers receiving UI benefits during a federal shutdown will be removed because of USDOL concerns.

Department Proposal D21-01
Mr. Rubsam stated this proposed law change would create an Administrative Fund. Currently, the department has an administrative account for the interest and penalties paid by employers. The administrative account is lapsable. In 2016 and 2017, $5.0 million lapsed from that account. The department is seeking Council approval for a non-lapsable Administrative Fund for employer interest and penalties.

**Department Proposal D21-02**

Mr. Rubsam stated that this proposal involves appropriation revisions and technical corrections. This is the same proposal as was submitted last year by the department.

**Department Proposal D21-03**

Mr. Rubsam stated Department Proposal D21-03 was included in the policy bill and is found on page 129 of the packet provided to Council members. The department proposes that a limited amount of the reimbursable employer identity theft fraud funds set aside in the balancing account be made available to recover uncollectible reimbursements instead of assessing the reimbursable employer debt assessment (REDA). It also increases the minimum REDA bill from $10.00 to $20.00

**Department Proposal D21-04**

Mr. Rubsam stated that this proposal changes the dates certain of the department's annual reports on Unemployment Insurance be provided to the Governor and Legislature. The Council supported this proposal last year. Copies of the old and new timelines can be found on page 142 of the packet provided to members of the Council.

**Department Proposal D21-05**

Mr. Rubsam stated that this proposal would prohibit the Department of Revenue from collecting debts owed to Unemployment Insurance.

**Department Proposal D21-06**

Mr. Rubsam stated the Department Proposal D21-06 will change the definition of "departmental error" to confirm the department's interpretation of the statute.

**Department Proposal D21-07**

Mr. Rubsam stated that this proposal will make criminal conviction judgements binding on criminal defendants for the purposes of proceedings that arise under the Unemployment Insurance law.

**Department Proposal D21-08**
Mr. Rubsam stated that this proposal would permit private fiscal agents to elect to be the employer of workers who provide care services under chapter 46, 47 and 51.

11. Research Requests

Ms. Quam requested that an updated assessment be made of the impact on the Trust Fund if the department adopted the federal exception for youth camp counselors.

12. Future Meeting Dates

Ms. Knutson stated that the meeting dates for the next three meetings are:

- April 15, 2021
- May 20, 2021
- June 17, 2021

Ms. Feistel moved that the Council convene in closed caucus session to deliberate SB 224 and any other items on the agenda. The motion was seconded by Mr. Delie. The vote was taken by roll call and passed unanimously.

The Council convened in closed session at 11:56 am.

The Council reconvened in open session at 12:35 pm.

Mr. Hayden reported that the labor members support SB 224. Management members stated they will check back with their constituencies before developing a position.

13. Adjourn

Motion to adjourn by Ms. Feistel, second by Mr. Gotzler. The motion passed by voice vote.

The Council adjourned at 12:40 pm.
2021 SENATE BILL 224


AN ACT to amend 108.04 (3) (b) of the statutes; relating to: the unemployment insurance waiting period.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Currently, a claimant must generally wait one week after becoming eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits before the claimant may receive benefits for a week of unemployment, but the application of the one-week waiting period is temporarily suspended for benefit years that began after March 12, 2020, and before March 14, 2021. This bill extends the end date for suspending the one-week waiting period to September 5, 2021.

For further information see the state and local fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 108.04 (3) (b) of the statutes, as affected by 2021 Wisconsin Act 4, is amended to read:

108.04 (3) (b) Paragraph (a) does not apply with respect to benefit years that begin after March 12, 2020, and before March 14, September 5, 2021. The
department shall seek the maximum amount of federal reimbursement for benefits that are, during the time period specified in this paragraph, payable for the first week of a claimant’s benefit year as a result of the application of this paragraph.
**Fiscal Estimate - 2021 Session**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LRB Number</th>
<th>Introduction Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-2486/1</td>
<td>SB-224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

Unemployment insurance waiting period

**Fiscal Effect**

**State:**

- [ ] No State Fiscal Effect
- [ ] Indeterminate
- [ ] Increase Existing Appropriations
- [ ] Decrease Existing Appropriations
- [ ] Create New Appropriations
- [ ] Increase Existing Revenues
- [ ] Decrease Existing Revenues
- [x] Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget
  - [x] Yes
  - [ ] No
- [ ] Decrease Costs

**Local:**

- [x] No Local Government Costs
- [ ] Indeterminate
- [ ] Increase Costs
  - [ ] Permissive
  - [ ] Mandatory
- [ ] Decrease Costs
  - [ ] Permissive
  - [ ] Mandatory
- [ ] Increase Revenue
  - [ ] Permissive
  - [ ] Mandatory
- [ ] Decrease Revenue
  - [ ] Permissive
  - [ ] Mandatory

**5. Types of Local Government Units Affected**

- [ ] Towns
- [ ] Village
- [ ] Cities
- [ ] Counties
- [ ] Others
- [ ] School Districts
- [ ] WTCS Districts

**Fund Sources Affected**

- [ ] GPR
- [x] FED
- [ ] PRO
- [ ] PRS
- [ ] SEG
- [ ] SEGS
- [ ] 20.445 (1) (n)

**Agency/Prepared By**

DWD/ Paul Farnum (608) 266-6496

**Authorized Signature**

Danielle Williams (608) 266-2284

**Date**

3/23/2021
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

A claimant for unemployment insurance benefits must generally wait one week after becoming eligible before they may receive benefits for a week of unemployment. Act 185 suspended the one-week waiting period for claimants to receive unemployment insurance benefits for benefit years that began after March 12, 2020, and before February 7, 2021. Act 4 extended suspension of the one-week waiting period to March 14, 2021. This bill extends suspension of the one-week waiting period to September 5, 2021 (which is the Unemployment Work Week ending September 4).

The estimated one-time costs to implement the bill total $1,894 charged to FED appropriation s. 20.445 (1) (n). These expenses are absorbable and include $470 in administrative costs and 16 hours of IT work costing $1,424.

This bill is estimated to increase total unemployment insurance benefit payments to Wisconsin during calendar year 2021 by $36.2 million. These payments are 100% federally funded and are comprised of an estimated $17.7 million in federally reimbursed payments of UI benefits for the waiting week, and an estimated $18.5 million in Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) waiting week payments.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications
AN ACT to amend 71.05 (6) (b) 8.; and to create 71.05 (1) (d) of the statutes;

relating to: providing a temporary tax exemption for unemployment compensation.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau
This bill exempts, for state income tax purposes in 2020 and 2021, up to $10,200 of the unemployment compensation received by an individual during the year. In the case of married couples who both receive unemployment compensation and who file a joint return, the $10,200 limitation applies separately to each spouse. The exemption applies only if the federal adjusted gross income (AGI) of the individual, or of both spouses if filing a joint return, is less than $150,000.

Under current federal law, unemployment compensation is generally taxed, except that the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 temporarily allows individuals whose federal AGI is less than $150,000 to exclude up to $10,200 of the unemployment compensation received in 2020 by the individual or, in the case of married couples filing a joint return, each spouse. Under current state law, unemployment compensation is exempt from state tax if the recipient’s federal AGI does not exceed a base amount. The base amount is $12,000 for single individuals or married individuals filing separate returns who live apart from their spouse for the entire year, $18,000 for married couples filing joint returns, and zero for married individuals filing separate returns who live with their spouse during the year. If federal AGI exceeds the base amount, then the amount subject to tax under current state law is the lesser of the amount of unemployment compensation received or
one-half of the amount that federal AGI exceeds the base amount. The bill maintains the existing provision.

Because this bill relates to an exemption from state or local taxes, it may be referred to the Joint Survey Committee on Tax Exemptions for a report to be printed as an appendix to the bill.

For further information see the state fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 71.05 (1) (d) of the statutes is created to read:

71.05 (1) (d) **Unemployment compensation.** 1. For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2019, and before January 1, 2021, the unemployment compensation received by the individual that is excluded from federal adjusted gross income under section 85 (c) of the Internal Revenue Code.

2. For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2020, and before January 1, 2022, the unemployment compensation received in the taxable year by the individual, limited to $10,200. In the case of married spouses filing a joint return who both receive unemployment compensation during the taxable year, the $10,200 limitation shall apply separately to each spouse. This subdivision does not apply if the federal adjusted gross income, as determined under section 85 (c) (2) of the Internal Revenue Code, of the individual, or of the individual and his or her spouse if married filing a joint return, is $150,000 or more.

SECTION 2. 71.05 (6) (b) 8. of the statutes is amended to read:

71.05 (6) (b) 8. The difference between the amount included in federal adjusted gross income for the current year and the amount calculated under section 85 of the **Internal Revenue Code** (relating to unemployment
compensation) as that section existed on December 31, 1985, to the extent that such
amount is not exempt under sub. (1) (d).

(END)
2021 SENATE BILL 276

April 5, 2021 – Introduced by Senators MARKLEIN, BERNIER, STROEBEL, FELZKOWSKI, JACQUE and STAFSHOLT, cosponsored by Representatives PETRYK, BROOKS, ARMSTRONG, BORN, CABRAL-GUEVARA, CALLAHAN, DITTRICH, DUCHOW, EDMING, Gundrum, JAMES, KATSMA, KRUG, LOUDENBECK, MOSES, MURPHY, MURSAU, Oldenburg, PLUMER, ROZAR, SNYDER, SWERINGEN, TRANEL, TUSSLER, VORPAGEL, WITTK and ZIMMERMAN. Referred to Joint Committee on Finance.

1 AN ACT relating to: deposits into the unemployment reserve fund.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

DEPARTMENT OF WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

EMPLOYMENT

DEPOSITS INTO UNEMPLOYMENT RESERVE FUND

Current law provides four schedules of unemployment insurance contribution (tax) rates, with Schedule D containing the lowest rates and Schedule A containing the highest. Which schedule is in effect for a given calendar year depends on the cash balance of the state’s unemployment reserve fund as of the preceding June 30. Schedule D, which is in effect in calendar year 2021, is otherwise in effect for any calendar year whenever, as of the preceding June 30, the state’s unemployment reserve fund has a cash balance of at least $1,200,000,000. If the state’s unemployment reserve fund has a cash balance of less than $1,200,000,000, then one of the three other schedules applies, depending on the fund’s cash balance.

This bill requires the governor to allocate federal moneys provided to this state, including moneys provided under the federal American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, for one or more deposits into the state unemployment reserve fund, to the extent that the deposits are needed to keep Schedule D of the unemployment insurance contribution (tax) rates in effect through the end of calendar year 2023.

For further information see the state and local fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:
SECTION 9150. Nonstatutory provisions; Workforce Development.

(1) Deposits into unemployment reserve fund.

(a) Definition. In this subsection, “fund” has the meaning given in s. 108.02 (16).

(b) Deposits into fund. The governor shall, to the extent permitted under federal law, allocate moneys the governor accepts from the federal government under s. 16.54, including moneys accepted pursuant to section 602 of the federal Social Security Act as amended by the federal American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, P.L. 117-2, for one or more deposits into the fund as are needed to ensure that Schedule D under s. 108.18 (4) remains in effect through the end of calendar year 2023.

(END)
April 7, 2021

TO:       Members  
          Joint Committee on Finance  

FROM:    Bob Lang, Director  

SUBJECT:  Senate Bill 276: Deposits into the Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund  

Senate Bill 276 (SB 276) would use funds received by Wisconsin under the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (ARPA) to provide deposits in the state's unemployment insurance (UI) trust fund. SB 276 was introduced and referred to the Joint Committee on Finance on April 5, 2021. (The companion bill to SB 276 is Assembly Bill 237.)

BACKGROUND  

Under current unemployment insurance law, there are four different sets of tax rate schedules. The specific rate schedule that applies in a given calendar year for taxable employers depends upon the balance in the state's UI trust fund on the prior June 30. Schedule A is effective if the balance in the state's UI trust fund is less than $300 million. Schedule B is in effect if the balance in the fund is at least $300 million but less than $900 million. Schedule C applies if the balance in the fund is at least $900 million, but less than $1.2 billion, and Schedule D applies if the balance is at least $1.2 billion. These schedules provide for lower employer contributions for years in which the fund's balance is relatively high. Based on the balance in the state's unemployment trust fund as of June 30, 2020, Schedule D applies for unemployment insurance taxes due for calendar year 2021.

SUMMARY OF BILL  

The bill would, to the extent permitted under federal law, require the Governor to allocate federal monies the Governor accepts from the State Fiscal Recovery Fund (SFRF), under ARPA, for one or more deposits into the state's UI trust fund as needed to ensure that Schedule D remains in effect through the end of calendar year 2023. The bill would define "fund" to mean the unemployment reserve fund, otherwise referred to as the UI trust fund in this memorandum.

FEDERAL STATE FISCAL RECOVERY FUND (SFRF)  

SFRF monies may not be used to either directly or indirectly offset a reduction in net tax
revenues resulting from a change in law, regulation, or administrative interpretation during the covered period, and in a manner that reduces any tax by providing for a reduction in a rate, a rebate, a deduction, a credit, or a delay in the imposition of any tax or tax increase. The covered period begins on March 3, 2021, and ends on the last day of the fiscal year in which all funds have been either expended, or returned to or recovered by, the U.S. Department of Treasury. ARPA requires the state or territory to repay to the Treasury Secretary an amount equal to the amount of funds used to directly or indirectly offset a reduction in net tax revenue. Absent guidance from the U.S. Treasury Department, it is not currently known whether or to what extent SFRF deposits into the UI trust fund, as authorized under the bill, would be considered a measure to delay the imposition of a tax and be subject to this restriction.

**UI TRUST FUND BALANCE**

The balance of the UI trust fund, as of April 2, 2021, is $938.3 million. It is estimated that on June 30, 2021, the balance of the UI trust fund will be $890 million, thereby requiring a deposit into the trust fund of $310 million to satisfy the $1.2 billion statutory balance requirement to maintain Schedule D for calendar year 2022, as required under the bill. Due to the extended time horizon and the number of assumptions required to generate an estimated June 30, 2022, UI trust fund balance, the amount of SFRF monies that would be required to maintain Schedule D for calendar year 2023 cannot be estimated with any degree of certainty at this time.

Prepared by: Ryan Horton
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Creation of Administrative Fund

1. Description of Proposed Change

The Unemployment Administration Fund previously comprised funds that the Department used for administering the unemployment program. That fund was eliminated in 1985 Wis. Act 29, which created the Administrative Account.\(^1\) The Administrative Account comprises both the federal administrative grant funds and the interest and penalties paid by employers. When employers fail to timely file unemployment quarterly tax and wage reports or fail to timely pay their unemployment contributions, the Department assesses penalties and interest. The penalties and interest incentivize timely reporting and payments by employers and provide an additional source of revenue for the Department to cover shortfalls in the federal administrative grant.

The Unemployment Program Integrity Fund comprises a variety of sources, including penalties assessed for claimant fraud as well as against employers for intentional worker misclassification.\(^2\) The Unemployment Interest Payment Fund comprises funds collected from the special employer assessment to repay interest on federal loans if the trust fund balance is insufficient to pay benefits.\(^3\) The amounts in these Funds are designated as “nonlapsible,” which means that these amounts may not be transferred to the General Fund to balance the budget.

The Department proposes to eliminate the Administrative Account and recreate a fund for receiving the employer interest and penalties collected under section 108.22(1) and any other amounts the Department collects that are not designated for another fund. This new fund would,

---

\(^1\) Wis. Stat. § 108.20.
\(^2\) Wis. Stat. § 108.19(1s).
\(^3\) Wis. Stat. §§ 108.19(1m) to (1q).
as the Unemployment Administration Fund was, be designated as “nonlapsible.” The purpose of this proposal is to provide consistent treatment for the amounts collected by the Department and to better ensure that amounts paid by employers remain with the unemployment program.

2. Proposed Statutory Changes

If the Council approves this proposal, the Department would ask the Legislative Reference Bureau to draft proposed statutory language for the Council to review and approve, based on the 2019 UIAC Agreed Bill.

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposed change will better ensure that employer interest and penalties remain with the unemployment insurance program.

b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is attached.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective with other changes made as part of the agreed bill cycle.
FISCAL ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED LAW CHANGE

Prepared by Technical Services Section

Trust Fund Impact:

This law change proposal is not expected to have any Trust Fund impact.

IT and Administrative Impact:

This law change proposal is not expected to have an IT or administrative impact.

Summary of Proposal:

This law change proposal would recreate an Administrative Fund for receiving the employer interest and penalties collected under section 108.22(1) and any other amounts the UI Division collects that are not designated for another fund. Like other Funds related to the unemployment program, the amounts in the newly recreated fund would be designated as “nonlapsible.” The purpose of this proposal is to provide consistent treatment for the amounts collected by the Department and to better ensure that amounts paid by employers remain with the unemployment program.

Trust Fund Methodology

This law change proposal is not expected to have any Trust Fund impact.

IT and Administrative Impact Methodology

This law change proposal is not expected to have an IT or administrative impact.

The most recent lapse expenditures of employer interest and penalties monies occurred in SFY16 and SFY17 of approximately $2.67 million and $2.23 million respectively. This proposal would result in an additional $2 - $3 million in funds remaining within the UI program during years where lapse is in effect.
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Appropriations Revisions and Technical Corrections

1. Description of Proposed Change

The Department receives federal funds to operate the unemployment insurance program. It also collects interest and penalties from employers and penalties from claimants. The amounts that the Department receives are appropriated under state law for certain purposes. State law previously provided that amounts related to the administration of the unemployment insurance program were to be deposited into the “Unemployment Administration Fund.” That fund was eliminated in 1985 Wisconsin Act 29 and the appropriations were transferred to the general fund. Chapter 108 was amended to repeal references to the Unemployment Administration Fund and to refer to the “Administrative Account.”1 The Department proposes to eliminate the “Administrative Account” and clarify the unemployment insurance appropriations references in Chapter 108. This will ensure that funds are deposited correctly and that payments are made from the correct appropriation.

The Department proposes various technical corrections, including those described above, on the following pages. These changes are the same as the Department proposed in the 2019-2020 Council session.

---

1 Wis. Stat. § 108.20.
### Appropriations Revisions and Technical Corrections

Changes in LRB-3683/P1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 66</td>
<td>Specifies the appropriation for assessments under s. 108.19(1).</td>
<td>Clarifies appropriation language if the assessment were ever assessed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Amends the “employer interest and penalty” appropriation in s. 20.445(1)(gd) to repeal references to repealed laws and to update cross-references.</td>
<td>For clarification of statutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 37, 38, 41, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 57, 58, 61, 70, 79, 82, 86</td>
<td>Amends references to federal laws in ch. 108 to the specific federal statute. For clarification and ease of checking cross-references. Corrects some outdated and incorrect references to federal laws.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Repeal reference to 1997 WI Act 39 from s. 20.445(1)(gh).</td>
<td>Delete a reference to a temporary provision that is no longer in effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-11, 46, 62, 63, 69-75</td>
<td>Restructure the statutes regarding the unemployment interest payment fund, which relates to the special assessments for interest.</td>
<td>To ensure that the statutes are properly organized based on current drafting conventions and to better organize these sections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Amend definition of “employer’s account.”</td>
<td>Clarifies statute by incorporating cross-reference to s. 108.16(2)(a).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Repeal the exclusion from gross income for amounts received under qualified group legal services plans in s. 108.02(26)(c)9.</td>
<td>Corresponding federal exclusion in 26 USC § 120 has been repealed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Repeal the exclusion from gross income for amounts received under the federal Medicare Catastrophic Coverage Act in 108.02(26)(c)14.</td>
<td>Corresponding federal Act has been repealed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>Reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 &amp; 12</td>
<td>Create an appropriation for LIRC to receive transcript and copying fees.</td>
<td>Originally requested by LIRC in the 2015 State Budget process. Provides an appropriation for receiving fees currently collected by LIRC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Clarifies location for deposit of assessment for program administration.</td>
<td>Department may assess employers an assessment to ensure funding for the UI program. This clarifies which appropriation would handle the funds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### D21-02
**Appropriations Revisions and Technical Corrections**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Consolidation/Clarification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Amendment to the appropriation that primarily receives employer interest and penalties to receive additional sources of funds.</td>
<td>Consolidates certain appropriations and clarifies language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Repeals the appropriation in s. 20.445(1)(gg).</td>
<td>Repeals an appropriation that is no longer used, related to technology assessments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Repeals the appropriation in s. 20.445(1)(gm).</td>
<td>Repeals an appropriation related to the employer handbook because those funds are redirected to the (gd) appropriation (employer interest and penalties).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 &amp; 31</td>
<td>Repeals SWIB authority to manage the unemployment administration fund.</td>
<td>The unemployment administration fund no longer exists, so this should have been repealed when the fund ceased to exist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 &amp; 31</td>
<td>Repeal “administrative account.”</td>
<td>The “administrative account” replaced the unemployment administrative fund. State moneys are supposed to be handled by appropriations and funds, not accounts. This removes the references to the account in favor of citation to the specific appropriation for the moneys.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 32</td>
<td>Repeals references to the “administrative account” and replaces those references with the specific appropriation in s. 20.445(1).</td>
<td>This change ensures that the unemployment appropriations are drafted consistent with current State budget practices and removes ambiguity regarding the appropriate appropriation applicable to certain moneys.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15, 17, 18</td>
<td>Repeals and amends references regarding costs of printing certain materials.</td>
<td>Consolidates language regarding printing forms and handbooks. Replaces references to the “administrative account” with a specific appropriation for consistency. <em>See Section 5.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Amends and moves statute regarding use of contributions for administrative purposes.</td>
<td>If federal law is changed to permit this purpose, the Department prefers the proposed language in Section 30. This statutory language has apparently not been updated since 1943.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Transfers funds to the appropriation in s. 20.445(1)(gd).</td>
<td>It is necessary to transfer any remaining funds in these appropriations, which are being repealed. <em>See Sections 4 and 5.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Proposed Statutory Changes

See attached LRB drafts.
3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. **Policy:** The proposed change will clarify the appropriations statutes related to the unemployment insurance program and correct typos and cross-references in the statutes.

b. **Administrative:** This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. **Fiscal:** A fiscal estimate is attached.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective with other changes made as part of the agreed bill cycle.
Trust Fund Impact:

This law change proposal is not expected to have any Trust Fund impact.

IT and Administrative Impact:

This law change proposal is not expected to have an IT or administrative impact.

Summary of Proposal:

The Department proposes to eliminate the “Administrative Account” and clarify the unemployment insurance appropriations references in Chapter 108. This will ensure that the funds are deposited correctly and that payments are made from the correct appropriations.

The Department also proposes various technical corrections.

Trust Fund Methodology

This law change proposal is not expected to have any Trust Fund impact.

IT and Administrative Impact Methodology

This law change proposal is not expected to have an IT or administrative impact.
AN ACT to repeal 108.02 (26) (c) 9., 108.02 (26) (c) 14. and 108.19 (3); to renumber 108.04 (7) (h); to renumber and amend 108.14 (12) (e), 108.14 (18), 108.19 (1), 108.19 (1m), 108.19 (1n), 108.19 (1p), 108.19 (1q), 108.19 (1s), 108.19 (2) and 108.19 (2m); to consolidate, renumber and amend 108.14 (12) (a) to (d), 108.161 (1) and (1m) and 108.161 (5) and (6); to amend 20.445 (1) (gc), 20.445 (1) (gd), 20.445 (1) (gh), 20.445 (1) (n), 20.445 (1) (nb), 20.445 (1) (nd), 20.445 (1) (ne), 20.445 (1) (u), 20.445 (1) (v), 25.17 (1) (xe), 25.17 (1) (xf), 108.02 (2) (c), 108.02 (13) (c) 2. a., 108.02 (14), 108.02 (15) (j) 5., 108.02 (15) (k) 5., 108.02 (17m), 108.02 (19), 108.04 (12) (b), 108.04 (16) (d) 1., 108.04 (18) (a), 108.04 (18) (b), 108.07 (5) (intro.), 108.07 (5) (c), 108.10 (intro.), 108.13 (4) (a) 2., 108.14 (3m), 108.14 (8n) (a), 108.14 (8n) (e), 108.14 (26), 108.141 (1) (h), 108.141 (3g) (a) 3. b., 108.141 (7) (a), 108.141 (7) (b), 108.145, 108.15 (3) (d), 108.151 (2) (d), 108.152 (1) (d), 108.155 (2) (a) and (d), 108.16 (6) (m), 108.16 (6m) (a), 108.16 (6x), 108.16 (9) (a), 108.161 (title), 108.161 (2), 108.161 (3), 108.161 (3e), 108.161 (4), 108.161 (7), 108.161 (8), 108.161 (9), 108.17 (2m), 108.18 (3) (c),
108.18 (7) (a) 1., 108.18 (7) (h), 108.19 (1f) (a), 108.19 (1f) (c), 108.19 (4), 108.20
(2m), 108.22 (1m), 108.22 (8e), 108.223 (2) (b), 108.23, 108.24 (3) (a) 3. a. and
108.24 (3) (a) 4.; to repeal and recreate 108.19 (title); and to create 108.19
(1) (d), 108.19 (1e) (cm), 108.19 (1m) (e), 108.195 (title) and 108.195 (2) (title)
of the statutes; relating to: correcting and updating cross-references and
making organizational changes in the unemployment insurance law.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau
This is a preliminary draft. An analysis will be provided in a subsequent version
of this draft.
For further information see the state fiscal estimate, which will be printed as
an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do
enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 20.445 (1) (gc) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.445 (1) (gc) Unemployment administration. All moneys received by the
department under s. 108.19 not otherwise appropriated under this subsection (1) for
the administration of ch. 108.

**NOTE:** See the note under SECTION 66.

SECTION 2. 20.445 (1) (gd) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.445 (1) (gd) Unemployment interest and penalty payments. All moneys
received as interest and penalties collected under ss. 108.04 (11) (c) and (cm) and (13)
(e) and 108.22 except interest and penalties deposited under s. 108.19 (1q), and
forfeitures under s. 103.05 (5) 108.195 (1), all moneys not appropriated under par.
(gg) and, all moneys received as forfeitures under s. 103.05 (5), and all moneys
transferred to this appropriation account from the appropriation account under par.
(gh), for the payment of benefits specified in s. 108.07 (5) and 1987 Wisconsin Act 38,
section 132 (1) (c), for the payment of interest to employers under s. 108.17 (3m), for research relating to the condition of the unemployment reserve fund under s. 108.14 (6), for administration of the unemployment insurance program and federal or state unemployment insurance programs authorized by the governor under s. 16.54, for satisfaction of any federal audit exception concerning a payment from the unemployment reserve fund or any federal aid disallowance concerning the unemployment insurance program, for assistance to the department of justice in the enforcement of ch. 108, for the payment of interest due on advances from the federal unemployment account under title XII of the social security act 42 USC 1321 to 1324 to the unemployment reserve fund, and for payments made to the unemployment reserve fund to obtain a lower interest rate or deferral of interest payments on these advances, except as otherwise provided in s. 108.20.

***NOTE: This is the so-called “I&P fund.” However, it is not a separate segregated fund, but rather an appropriation account in the general fund. Except as described below, the changes in this SECTION constitute clarifications or correspond to other changes in the bill, including cross-reference changes.

***NOTE: Section 108.04 (13) (c) 2., 1985 stats., required $15 tardy filing fees to be paid in certain circumstances. 1987 Act 38 renumbered s. 108.04 (13) (c) to s. 108.04 (13) (b) and changed existing cross-references accordingly. However, due to the passage of 1987 Act 27 that same session that created a new reference to the (old) s. 108.04 (13) (c) in s. 20.445 (1) (gf) but that was not taken into account by Act 38 and the fact that Act 38 reused the numbering for (13) (c) for something else, references to “(13) (c)” appear to have perpetuated to this day. As such, the reference to (13) (c) is struck, as it has not referenced any amounts collected since the 1985 statutes.

***NOTE: 1987 Act 38, SECTION 132 (1), was a nonstatutory transitional provision that provided how certain benefits were to be charged for periods in 1989 and 1990. The reference to this provision is struck, as this transitional period has long since passed.

SECTION 3. 20.445 (1) (gh) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.445 (1) (gh) Unemployment information technology systems; assessments.

All moneys received from assessments levied under s. 108.19 (1e) (a) and 1997 Wisconsin Act 39, section 164 (2), for the purpose specified in s. 108.19 (1e) (d). The
treasurer of the unemployment reserve fund may transfer moneys from this
appropriation account to the appropriation account under par. (gd).

****NOTE: This deletes a reference to a temporary provision from 1997 Act 39.

**SECTION 4.** 20.445 (1) (n) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.445 (1) (n) Employment assistance and unemployment insurance
administration; federal moneys. All federal moneys received, as authorized by the
governor under s. 16.54, for the administration of employment assistance and
unemployment insurance programs of the department, for the performance of the
department's other functions under subch. I of ch. 106 and ch. 108, and to pay the
compensation and expenses of appeal tribunals and of employment councils
appointed under s. 108.14, to be used for such purposes, except as provided in s.
108.161 (3e), and, from the moneys received by this state under section 903 42 USC
1103 (d) of the federal Social Security Act, as amended, to transfer to the
appropriation account under par. (nb) an amount determined by the treasurer of the
unemployment reserve fund not exceeding the lesser of the amount specified in s.
108.161 (4) (d) or the amounts in the schedule under par. (nb), to transfer to the
appropriation account under par. (nd) an amount determined by the treasurer of the
unemployment reserve fund not exceeding the lesser of the amount specified in s.
108.161 (4) (d) or the amounts in the schedule under par. (nd), to transfer to the
appropriation account under par. (ne) an amount not exceeding the lesser of the
amount specified in s. 108.161 (4) (d) or the sum of the amounts in the schedule under
par. (ne) and the amount determined by the treasurer of the unemployment reserve
fund that is required to pay for the cost of banking services incurred by the
unemployment reserve fund, and to transfer to the appropriation account under s.
20.427 (1) (k) an amount determined by the treasurer of the unemployment reserve fund.

**SECTION 5.** 20.445 (1) (nb) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.445 (1) (nb) *Unemployment administration; information technology systems.* From the moneys received from the federal government under section 903 42 USC 1103 (d) of the federal Social Security Act, as amended, as a continuing appropriation, the amounts in the schedule, as authorized by the governor under s. 16.54, for the purpose specified in s. 108.19 (1e) (d). All moneys transferred from par. (n) for this purpose shall be credited to this appropriation account. No moneys may be expended from this appropriation unless the treasurer of the unemployment reserve fund determines that such expenditure is currently needed for the purpose specified in s. 108.19 (1e) (d).

**SECTION 6.** 20.445 (1) (nd) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.445 (1) (nd) *Unemployment administration; apprenticeship and other employment services.* From the moneys received from the federal government under section 903 42 USC 1103 (d) of the federal Social Security Act, as amended, the amounts in the schedule, as authorized by the governor under s. 16.54, to be used for administration by the department of apprenticeship programs under subch. I of ch. 106 and for administration and service delivery of employment and workforce information services, including the delivery of reemployment assistance services to unemployment insurance claimants. All moneys transferred from par. (n) for this purpose shall be credited to this appropriation account. No moneys may be expended from this appropriation unless the treasurer of the unemployment reserve fund determines that such expenditure is currently needed for the purposes specified in this paragraph.
SECTION 7. 20.445 (1) (ne) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.445 (1) (ne) Unemployment insurance administration and bank service costs. From the moneys received by this state under section 903 of the federal Social Security Act, as amended 42 USC 1103, all moneys transferred from the appropriation account under par. (n) to be used for the administration of unemployment insurance and for the payment of the cost of banking services incurred by the unemployment reserve fund. No moneys may be expended from this appropriation unless the treasurer of the unemployment reserve fund determines that such expenditure is currently needed for the purpose specified in this paragraph.

SECTION 8. 20.445 (1) (u) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.445 (1) (u) Unemployment interest payments and transfers. From the unemployment interest payment fund, all moneys received from assessments under s. 108.19 (1m) (a) for the purpose of making the payments and transfers authorized under s. 108.19 (1m) (f).

***Note: Reflects changes in Section 70.***

SECTION 9. 20.445 (1) (v) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.445 (1) (v) Unemployment program integrity. From the unemployment program integrity fund, all moneys received from sources identified under s. 108.19 (1s) 108.195 (2) (a) for the purpose of making the payments authorized under s. 108.19 (1s) 108.195 (2) (b).

***Note: Reflects changes in Section 75.***

SECTION 10. 25.17 (1) (xe) of the statutes is amended to read:

25.17 (1) (xe) Unemployment interest payment fund (s. 108.19 (1q) 108.195 (1))
**NOTE:** Reflects changes in Section 74.

**SECTION 11.** 25.17 (1) (xf) of the statutes is amended to read:

25.17 (1) (xf) Unemployment program integrity fund (s. 108.19 (1s) 108.195 (2));

**NOTE:** Reflects changes in Section 75.

**SECTION 12.** 108.02 (2) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.02 (2) (c) In connection with the production or harvesting of any commodity defined as an agricultural commodity in s. 15 (g) of the federal agricultural marketing act, as amended (46 Stat. 1550, s. 3; under 12 USC 1141j) or (f), in connection with the ginning of cotton, or in connection with the operation or maintenance of ditches, canals, reservoirs, or waterways, not owned or operated for profit, used exclusively for supplying and storing water for farming purposes.

**NOTE:** This updates a cross-reference to federal law to reflect the correct provision. (Note that the U.S. Code still has it as “(g)” but notes that “Section 1141j(g) of title 12, referred to in subsec. (f)(3), was redesignated section 1141j(f) by Pub. L. 110–246.”)

**SECTION 13.** 108.02 (13) (c) 2. a. of the statutes is amended to read:

108.02 (13) (c) 2. a. Such crew leader holds a valid certificate of registration under the federal farm labor contractor registration act of 1963 29 USC 1801 to 1872; or substantially all the members of such crew operate or maintain tractors, mechanized harvesting or cropdusting equipment, or any other mechanized equipment which is provided by such crew leader; and

**NOTE:** The Farm Labor Contractor Registration Act of 1963 was repealed by P.L. 97–470, the Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act. This substitutes in the correct cross-references in current federal law.

**SECTION 14.** 108.02 (14) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.02 (14) EMPLOYER’S ACCOUNT. “Employer’s account” means a separate account in the fund, reflecting the employer’s experience with respect to
contribution credits and benefit charges under this chapter maintained as required under s. 108.16 (2) (a).

****Note: This adds a cross-reference to this definition, in place of the current generic description, for additional clarity.

**SECTION 15.** 108.02 (15) (j) 5. of the statutes is amended to read:

108.02 (15) (j) 5. In any quarter in the employ of any organization exempt from federal income tax under section 26 USC 501 (a) of the internal revenue code, other than an organization described in section 26 USC 401 (a) or 501 (c) (3) of such code, or under section 26 USC 521 of the internal revenue code, if the remuneration for such service is less than $50;

**SECTION 16.** 108.02 (15) (k) 5. of the statutes is amended to read:

108.02 (15) (k) 5. With respect to which unemployment insurance is payable under the federal railroad unemployment insurance act (52 Stat. 1094) 45 USC 351 to 369;

**SECTION 17.** 108.02 (17m) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.02 (17m) INDIAN TRIBE. “Indian tribe” has the meaning given in 25 USC 450b 5304 (e), and includes any subdivision, subsidiary, or business enterprise that is wholly owned by such an entity.

****Note: 25 USC 450b was editorially reclassified in the U.S. Code as 25 USC 5304.

**SECTION 18.** 108.02 (19) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.02 (19) NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS. “Nonprofit organization” means an organization described in section 26 USC 501 (c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code that is exempt from federal income tax under section 26 USC 501 (a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

**SECTION 19.** 108.02 (26) (c) 9. of the statutes is repealed.
***NOTE:*** This repeals a provision that referenced a federal law provision concerning qualified group legal services plans. The provision in federal law had been terminated for taxable years beginning after June 30, 1992, and has since been repealed outright by P.L. 113-295.

**SECTION 20.** 108.02 (26) (c) 14. of the statutes is repealed.

***NOTE:*** This repeals a reference to the federal Medicare Catastrophic Coverage Act of 1988, which was subsequently repealed by P.L. 101-234, the Medicare Catastrophic Coverage Repeal Act of 1989.

**SECTION 21.** 108.04 (7) (h) of the statutes is renumbered 108.04 (7) (u).

***NOTE:*** This provision, relating to charging benefits when a quit exception applies, is moved so that it appears after all of the quit exceptions, not in the middle of them.

**SECTION 22.** 108.04 (12) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.04 (12) (b) Any individual who receives, through the department, any other type of unemployment benefit or allowance for a given week is ineligible for benefits for that same week under this chapter, except as specifically required for conformity with the federal trade act of 1974 (P.L. 93-618) 19 USC 2101 to 2497b.

**SECTION 23.** 108.04 (16) (d) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

108.04 (16) (d) 1. The department shall not deny benefits under sub. (7) as a result of the individual's leaving unsuitable work to enter or continue such training, as a result of the individual's leaving work that the individual engaged in on a temporary basis during a break in the training or a delay in the commencement of the training, or because the individual left on-the-job training not later than 30 days after commencing that training because the individual did not meet the requirements of the federal trade act under 19 USC 2296 (c) (1) (B); and

**SECTION 24.** 108.04 (18) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.04 (18) (a) The wages paid to an employee who performed services while the employee was an alien shall, if based on such services, be excluded from the employee's base period wages for purposes of sub. (4) (a) and ss. 108.05 (1) and 108.06
(1) unless the employee is an alien who was lawfully admitted for permanent
residence at the time such services were performed, was lawfully present for the
purpose of performing such services, or was permanently residing in the United
States under color of law at the time such services were performed, including an alien
who was lawfully present in the United States as a result of the application of the
provisions of section 212 (d) (5) of the federal immigration and nationality act (8 USC
1182 (d) (5)). All claimants shall be uniformly required to provide information as to
whether they are citizens and, if they are not, any determination denying benefits
under this subsection shall not be made except upon a preponderance of the evidence.

SECTION 25. 108.04 (18) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.04 (18) (b) Any amendment of s. 26 USC 3304 (a) (14) of the federal
unemployment tax act specifying conditions other than as stated in par. (a) for denial
of benefits based on services performed by aliens, or changing the effective date for
required implementation of par. (a) or such other conditions, which that is a condition
of approval of this chapter for full tax credit against the tax imposed by the federal
unemployment tax act, shall be applicable to this subsection.

SECTION 26. 108.07 (5) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.07 (5) (intro.) Except as provided in sub. (7), whenever benefits which
that would otherwise be chargeable to the fund’s balancing account are paid based
on wages paid by an employer that is not subject to the contribution requirements
of ss. 108.17 and 108.18, and the benefits are so chargeable under sub. (3) or s.
108.04 (1) (f) or (5), or (5g) or 108.14 (8n) (e), or under s. 108.16 (6m) (e) for benefits
specified in s. 108.16 (3) (b), the department shall charge the benefits as follows:

****Note: This subsection governs the charging of benefits that would otherwise
be chargeable to the balancing account in certain situations where one employer is a
reimbursable employer. This provision originated in 1987 Act 38, and the drafting file
indicates that it was intentionally written to omit certain cases where benefits are charged to the balancing account (i.e., s. 108.04 (7) and (8)). However, s. 108.04 (5g) did not exist at that time, and when it was created, it appears that it should have been added here.

**SECTION 27.** 108.07 (5) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.07 (5) (c) If 2 or more employers from which the claimant has base period wages are not subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18, and one or more employers from which the claimant has base period wages are subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18, that percentage of the employee’s benefits which would otherwise be chargeable to the fund’s balancing account under sub. (3) or s. 108.04 (1) (f) or (5), or under s. 108.16 (6m) (e) for benefits specified in s. 108.16 (3) (b), shall be charged to the administrative account and paid from the appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (gd).

****NOTE: See the note under SECTION 26.

**SECTION 28.** 108.10 (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.10 Settlement of issues other than benefit claims. (intro.) Except as provided in s. 108.245 (3), in connection with any issue arising under this chapter as to the status or liability of an employing unit in this state, for which no review is provided under s. 108.09, 108.095, or 108.227 (5) and whether or not a penalty is provided in s. 108.24, the following procedure shall apply:

****NOTE: This adds a cross-reference to s. 108.095, which provides a separate procedure from s. 108.09 for certain cases involving an alleged false statement or representation of a person to obtain UI benefits payable to another person.

****NOTE: This deletes “in this state” to clarify that the procedures in this section apply to issues involving the status or liability of any employing unit, not only an employing unit located in this state.

**SECTION 29.** 108.13 (4) (a) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

108.13 (4) (a) 2. “Legal process” has the meaning given under 42 USC 659 (i) (5).
**NOTE:** The definition referenced here was moved by P.L. 104–193 from 42 USC 662 to 42 USC 659.

### SECTION 30
108.14 (3m) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.14 (3m) In any court action to enforce this chapter the department, the commission, and the state may be represented by any licensed attorney who is an employee of the department or the commission and is designated by either of them for this purpose or at the request of either of them by the department of justice. If the governor designates special counsel to defend, in behalf of the state, the validity of this chapter or of any provision of Title IX of the social security act 42 USC 1101 to 1110, the expenses and compensation of the special counsel and of any experts employed by the department in connection with that proceeding may be charged to the administrative account. If the compensation is being determined on a contingent fee basis, the contract is subject to s. 20.9305.

### SECTION 31
108.14 (8n) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.14 (8n) (a) The department shall enter into a reciprocal arrangement which is approved by the U.S. secretary of labor pursuant to section under 26 USC 3304 (a) (9) (B) of the internal revenue code, to provide more equitable benefit coverage for individuals whose recent work has been covered by the unemployment insurance laws of 2 or more jurisdictions.

### SECTION 32
108.14 (8n) (e) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.14 (8n) (e) The department shall charge this state's share of any benefits paid under this subsection to the account of each employer by which the employee claiming benefits was employed in the applicable base period, in proportion to the total amount of wages he or she earned from each employer in the base period, except that if s. 108.04 (1) (f), (5), (5g), (7) (a), (c), (cg), (e), (L), (q), (s), or (t), (7m) or (8) (a)
or (b) \( \text{to (c)} \), 108.07 (3), (3r), or (5) (b), or 108.133 (3) (f) would have applied to employment by such an employer who is subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18, the department shall charge the share of benefits based on employment with that employer to the fund’s balancing account, or, if s. 108.04 (1) (f) or (5) or 108.07 (3) would have applied to an employer that is not subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18, the department shall charge the share of benefits based on that employment in accordance with s. 108.07 (5) (a) and (b). The department shall also charge the fund’s balancing account with any other state’s share of such benefits pending reimbursement by that state.

**Note:** In the first string of cross-references, missing cross-references are added to provisions that provide for charging to the balancing account.

**Note:** A reference to s. 108.04 (5g) is added in the second-to-last sentence to correspond to the changes in Sections 26 and 27. See the note under Section 26.

**SECTION 33.** 108.14 (12) (a) to (d) of the statutes are consolidated, renumbered 108.14 (12) (am) and amended to read:

108.14 (12) (am) Consistently consistent with the provisions of pars. (8) and (9) of section 303 (a) of Title III of the federal social security act, 42 USC 503 (a) (8) and (9), the department shall expend all moneys received in the federal administrative financing account from any federal agency under said Title III shall be expended 42 USC ch. 7 subch. III solely for the purposes and in the amounts found necessary by said that agency for the proper and efficient administration of this chapter. (b) Consistently with said provisions of said Title III, any The department shall replace, within a reasonable time, any such moneys, that were received prior to before July 1, 1941, and remaining remained unencumbered on said that date, or that were received on or after said that date, which, because of any action or contingency, have been if the moneys are lost or have been expended for purposes
other than, or in amounts in excess of, those found necessary by said the federal agency for the proper administration of this chapter, shall be replaced within a reasonable time. This paragraph is the declared policy of this state, as enunciated by the 1941 legislature, and shall be implemented as further provided in this subsection. (c) If it is believed that any amount of money thus received has been thus is lost or improperly expended, the department, on its own motion or on notice from said the federal agency, shall promptly investigate and determine the matter and shall, depending on the nature of its determination, take such steps as it may deem considers necessary to protect the interests of the state. (d) If it is finally determined that moneys thus received have been thus lost or improperly expended, then the department shall either make the necessary replacement from those moneys in the administrative account specified in s. 108.20 (2m) or shall submit, at the next budget hearings conducted by the governor and at the budget hearings conducted by the next legislature convened in regular session, a request that the necessary replacement be made by an appropriation from the general fund.

****Note: Modernizes and streamlines language that was written to fulfill the conformity requirement under 42 USC 503 (a) (8) and (9).

SECTION 34. 108.14 (12) (e) of the statutes is renumbered 108.14 (12) (bm) and amended to read:

108.14 (12) (bm) This subsection shall not be construed to relieve this state of any obligation existing prior to its enactment before July 1, 1941, with respect to moneys received prior to before July 1, 1941, pursuant to said Title III under 42 USC ch. 7 subch. III.

****Note: See the note under the previous Section.

SECTION 35. 108.14 (18) of the statutes is renumbered 108.19 (1e) (e) and amended to read:
108.19 (1e) (e) No later than the end of the month following each quarter in which the department expends moneys derived from assessments levied under s. 108.19 (1e) this subsection, the department shall submit a report to the council on unemployment insurance describing the use of the moneys expended and the status at the end of the quarter of any project for which moneys were expended.

**NOTE:** Moves this requirement to the provision to which it closely relates.

**SECTION 36.** 108.14 (26) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.14 (26) The department shall prescribe by rule a standard affidavit form that may be used by parties to appeals under ss. 108.09, 108.095, and 108.10 and shall make the form available to employers and claimants. The form shall be sufficient to qualify as admissible evidence in a hearing under this chapter if the authentication is sufficient and the information set forth by the affiant is admissible, but its use by a party does not eliminate the right of an opposing party to cross examine the affiant concerning the facts asserted in the affidavit.

**NOTE:** This adds a cross-reference to s. 108.095, which provides a separate procedure from ss. 108.09 and 108.10 for certain cases involving an alleged false statement or representation of a person to obtain UI benefits payable to another person.

**SECTION 37.** 108.141 (1) (h) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.141 (1) (h) “State law” means the unemployment insurance law of any state, that has been approved by the U.S. secretary of labor under section 3304 of the internal revenue code.

**SECTION 38.** 108.141 (3g) (a) 3. b. of the statutes is amended to read:

108.141 (3g) (a) 3. b. The gross average weekly remuneration for the work exceeds the claimant’s weekly benefit rate plus any supplemental unemployment benefits, as defined in section 501 (c) (17) (D) of the internal revenue code, then payable to the claimant;
**SECTION 39.** 108.141 (7) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.141 (7) (a) The department shall charge the state’s share of each week of extended benefits to each employer’s account in proportion to the employer’s share of the total wages of the employee receiving the benefits in the employee’s base period, except that if the employer is subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18 the department shall charge the share of extended benefits to which s. 108.04 (1) (f), (5), (5g), (7) (a), (c), (cg), (e), (L), (q), (s), or (t), (7m) or (8) (a) of (b) to (c), 108.07 (3), (3r), or (5) (b), or 108.133 (3) (f) applies to the fund’s balancing account.

****Note: As in Section 32, this adds a reference to substantial fault in the extended benefits provision.

**SECTION 40.** 108.141 (7) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.141 (7) (b) The department shall charge the full amount of extended benefits based on employment for a government unit to the account of the government unit, except that if s. 108.04 (5), (5g), or (7) applies and the government unit has elected contribution financing the department shall charge one-half of the government unit’s share of the benefits to the fund’s balancing account.

****Note: This adds a reference to substantial fault in the extended benefits provision.

**SECTION 41.** 108.145 of the statutes is amended to read:

**108.145 Disaster unemployment assistance.** The department shall administer under s. 108.14 (9m) the distribution of disaster unemployment assistance to workers in this state who are not eligible for benefits whenever such assistance is made available by the president of the United States under 26 42 USC 5177 (a). In determining eligibility for assistance and the amount of assistance payable to any worker who was totally self-employed during the first 4 of the last 5
most recently completed quarters preceding the date on which the worker claims
assistance, the department shall not reduce the assistance otherwise payable to the
worker because the worker receives one or more payments under the social security
act (42 USC 301 et seq.) ch. 7, for the same week that the worker qualifies for such
assistance.

**NOTE:** The cross-reference to “26 USC 5177 (a)” appears to have been an error
from when this provision was enacted. It is corrected to the proper cross-reference, “42
USC 5177 (a).”

**SECTION 42.** 108.15 (3) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.15 (3) (d) If a government unit elects contribution financing for any
calendar year after the first calendar year it becomes newly subject to this chapter,
it shall be liable to reimburse the fund for any benefits based on prior employment.
If a government unit terminates its election of contribution financing, ss. 108.17 and
108.18 shall apply to employment in the prior calendar year, but after all benefits
based on such prior employment have been charged to its contribution account any
balance remaining in such account shall be transferred to the fund’s balancing
account.

**NOTE:** This adds the word “fund’s” before “balancing account,” consistent with
the rest of ch. 108.

**SECTION 43.** 108.151 (2) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.151 (2) (d) Sections 108.17 and 108.18 shall apply to all prior employment,
but after all benefits based on prior employment have been charged to any account
it has had under s. 108.16 (2) any balance remaining therein shall be transferred to
the fund’s balancing account as if s. 108.16 (6) (c) or (6m) (d) applied.

**NOTE:** This adds the word “fund’s” before “balancing account,” consistent with
the rest of ch. 108.

**SECTION 44.** 108.152 (1) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:
108.152 (1) (d) If the Indian tribe or tribal unit is an employer prior to before the effective date of an election, ss. 108.17 and 108.18 shall apply to all employment prior to before the effective date of the election, but after all benefits based on prior employment have been charged to any account that it has had under s. 108.16 (2), the department shall transfer any positive balance or charge any negative balance remaining therein to the fund’s balancing account as if s. 108.16 (6) (c) and (6m) (d) applied.

**NOTE:** This adds the word “fund’s” before “balancing account,” consistent with the rest of ch. 108.

**SECTION 45.** 108.155 (2) (a) and (d) of the statutes are amended to read:

108.155 (2) (a) On October 2, 2016, the fund’s treasurer shall set aside $2,000,000 in the fund’s balancing account for accounting purposes. On an ongoing basis, the fund’s treasurer shall tally the amounts allocated to reimbursable employers’ accounts under s. 108.04 (13) (d) 4. c. and deduct those amounts from the amount set aside plus any interest calculated thereon.

(d) If the department assesses reimbursable employers under par. (c), the department shall determine the amount of assessments to be levied as provided in sub. (3), and the fund’s treasurer shall notify reimbursable employers that the assessment will be imposed. Except as provided in sub. (3) (c), the assessment shall be payable by each reimbursable employer that is subject to this chapter as of the date the assessment is imposed. Assessments imposed under this section shall be credited to the fund’s balancing account.

**NOTE:** This adds the word “fund’s” before “balancing account,” consistent with the rest of ch. 108.

**SECTION 46.** 108.16 (6) (m) of the statutes is amended to read:
108.16 (6) (m) Any amounts transferred to the balancing account from the
unemployment interest payment fund under s. 108.19 (1m) (f).

****NOTE: This adds a cross-reference to the provision that provides for the
transfers that are referenced.

**SECTION 47.** 108.16 (6m) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.16 (6m) (a) The benefits thus chargeable under sub. (7) (a) or (b) or s.
108.04 (1) (f), (5), (5g), (7) (h) (u), (7m), (8) (a) or (b) to (c), (13) (c) or (d) or (16) (e),
108.07 (3), (3r), (5) (b), (5m), or (6), 108.133 (3) (f), 108.14 (8n) (e), 108.141, 108.15,
108.151, or 108.152 or sub. (6) (e) or (7) (a) and (b).

****NOTE: See the note under SECTION 21 regarding the change of “(7) (h)” to “(7)
(u).”

****NOTE: This adds a missing cross reference to s. 108.04 (7m).

****NOTE: This adds a cross-reference to s. 108.15. Sections 108.15, 108.151, and
108.152 all call for benefits to be paid from a reimbursement account for an employer that
is a subaccount of the balancing account.

****NOTE: Material that was formerly in s. 108.16 (6) (e) was relocated to s. 108.16
(6m) (f) and (11). See 1999 Wisconsin Acts 15 and 83. The reference to (6) (e) here appears
to be a vestige of the former law and it is therefore deleted.

****NOTE: This extends a cross-reference to s. 108.04 (8) (c), which also provides
for charging to the balancing account.

**SECTION 48.** 108.16 (6x) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.16 (6x) The department shall charge to the uncollectible reimbursable
benefits account the amount of any benefits paid from the fund’s balancing account
that are reimbursable under s. 108.151 but for which the department does not receive
reimbursement after the department exhausts all reasonable remedies for collection
of the amount.

****NOTE: This adds the word “fund’s” before “balancing account,” consistent with
the rest of ch. 108.

**SECTION 49.** 108.16 (9) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.16 (9) (a) Consistently with section 26 USC 3305 of the internal revenue
code, relating to federal instrumentalities which that are neither wholly nor
partially owned by the United States nor otherwise specifically exempt from the tax imposed by section under 26 USC 3301 of the internal revenue code:

1. Any contributions required and paid under this chapter for 1939 or any subsequent year by any such instrumentality, including any national bank, shall be refunded to such instrumentality in case this chapter is not certified with respect to such year under s. 26 USC 3304 of said code.

2. No national banking association which is subject to this chapter shall be required to comply with any of its provisions or requirements under this chapter, to the extent that such compliance would be contrary to s. 26 USC 3305 of said code.

SECTION 50. 108.161 (title) of the statutes is amended to read:

**108.161 (title) Federal administrative financing account; Reed Act distributions.**

****NOTE: This section was created by 1957 Act 235 following the enactment of the Federal Employment Security Administrative Financing Act of 1954, the relevant portion of which is known as the “Reed Act” and provides for periodic distributions of money to states, the last of which occurred in 2002. This amends the title here to make it more apparent what this section is about. The treatments below aim to eliminate antiquated language (i.e., “hereunder”) and use U.S. Code cross-references instead of references to the Social Security Act.

SECTION 51. 108.161 (1) and (1m) of the statutes are consolidated, renumbered 108.161 (1) and amended to read:

108.161 (1) The fund’s treasurer shall maintain within the fund an employment security “federal administrative financing account”, and shall credit thereto to that account all amounts credited to the fund pursuant to the federal employment security administrative financing act (of 1954) and section 903 of the federal social security act, as amended. (1m) The treasurer of the fund shall also credit to said account under 42 USC 1101 to 1103 and all federal moneys credited to the fund pursuant to under sub. (8).
1 | **SECTION 52.** 108.161 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:
2 | 108.161 (2) The requirements of said section 903 42 USC 1103 shall control any
3 | appropriation, withdrawal, and use of any moneys in said the federal administrative
4 | financing account.
5 | **SECTION 53.** 108.161 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:
6 | 108.161 (3) Consistently Consistent with this chapter and said section 903,
7 | such 42 USC 1103, moneys in the federal administrative financing account shall be
8 | used solely for benefits or employment security administration by the department,
9 | including unemployment insurance, employment service, apprenticeship programs,
10 | and related statistical operations.
11 | **SECTION 54.** 108.161 (3e) of the statutes is amended to read:
12 | 108.161 (3e) Notwithstanding sub. (3), any moneys allocated under section 903
13 | of the federal Social Security Act, as amended, 42 USC 1103 for federal fiscal years
14 | 2000 and 2001 and the first $2,389,107 of any distribution received by this state
15 | under section 903 of that act 42 USC 1103 in federal fiscal year 2002 shall be used
16 | solely for unemployment insurance administration.
17 | **SECTION 55.** 108.161 (4) of the statutes is amended to read:
18 | 108.161 (4) Such moneys Moneys in the federal administrative financing
19 | account shall be encumbered and spent for employment security administrative
20 | purposes only pursuant to, and after the effective date of, a specific legislative
21 | appropriation enactment that does all of the following:
22 | (a) Stating States for which such purposes and in what amounts the
23 | appropriation is being made to the administrative account created by s. 108.20.
24 | (b) Directing Directs the fund's treasurer to transfer the appropriated amounts
25 | to the administrative account only as and to the extent that they are currently
needed for such expenditures, and directing directs that there shall be restored to the federal administrative financing account created by sub. (1) any amount thus transferred which has ceased to be needed or available for such expenditures.

(c) Specifying Specifies that the appropriated amounts are available for obligation solely within the 2 years beginning on the appropriation law’s date of enactment. This paragraph does not apply to the appropriations under s. 20.445 (1) (nd) and (ne) or to any amounts expended from the appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (nb) from moneys transferred to this state on March 13, 2002, pursuant to section 903 (d) of the federal Social Security Act 42 USC 1103 (d).

(d) Limiting Limits the total amount which may be obligated during any fiscal year to the aggregate of all amounts credited under sub. (1), including amounts credited pursuant to under sub. (8), reduced at the time of any obligation by the sum of the moneys obligated and charged against any of the amounts credited.

SECTION 56. 108.161 (5) and (6) of the statutes are consolidated, renumbered 108.161 (5m) and amended to read:

108.161 (5m) The total of the amounts thus appropriated under sub. (4) for use in any fiscal year shall in no event exceed the moneys available for such use hereunder under this section, considering the timing of credits hereunder under this section and the sums already spent or appropriated or transferred or otherwise encumbered hereunder. (6) under this section. The fund’s treasurer shall keep a record of all such times and amounts; shall charge transactions and shall do all of the following:

(a) Charge each sum against the earliest credits duly available therefor; shall include,
(b) Include any sum thus appropriated but not yet spent hereunder under this section in computing the fund’s net balance as of the close of any month, in line with the federal requirement that any such sum shall, until spent, be considered part of the fund; and shall certify.

(c) Certify the relevant facts whenever necessary hereunder.

**SECTION 57.** 108.161 (7) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.161 (7) If any moneys appropriated hereunder under this section are used to buy and hold suitable land, with a view to the future construction of an and to build a suitable employment security building thereon, and if such land is later sold or transferred to other use, the proceeds of such sale or the value of such land when transferred shall be credited to the federal administrative financing account created by sub. (1) except as otherwise provided in ss. 13.48 (14) and 16.848.

**SECTION 58.** 108.161 (8) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.161 (8) If any sums are appropriated and spent hereunder under this section to buy land and to build a suitable employment security building thereon, or to purchase information technology hardware and software, then any federal moneys thereafter credited to the fund or paid to the department by way of gradual reimbursement of such employment security capital expenditures, or in lieu of the estimated periodic amounts that would otherwise be federally granted for the rental of substantially equivalent quarters, shall be credited to the federal administrative financing account created by sub. (1), consistently with any federal requirements applicable to the handling and crediting of such moneys.

**SECTION 59.** 108.161 (9) of the statutes is amended to read:
108.161 (9) Any land and building or office quarters acquired under this section shall continue to be used for employment security purposes. Realty or quarters may not be sold or transferred to other use if prior action is taken under s. 13.48 (14) (am) or 16.848 (1) and may not be sold or transferred without the governor's approval. The proceeds from the sale, or the value of realty or quarters upon transfer, shall be credited to the federal administrative financing account established in sub. (1) or credited to the fund established in s. 108.20 administrative account, or both as determined by the department in accordance with federal requirements. Equivalent substitute rent-free quarters may be provided, as federally approved. Amounts credited under this subsection shall be used solely to finance employment security quarters according to federal requirements.

**Note:** There is no longer a fund established under s. 108.20, which instead refers to an “administrative account.”

**Section 60.** 108.17 (2m) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.17 (2m) When a written statement of account is issued to an employer by the department, showing as duly credited a specified amount received from the employer under this chapter as having been credited, no other form of state receipt therefor is required.

**Section 61.** 108.18 (3) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.18 (3) (c) Permitting the employer to pay such lower rate is consistent with the relevant conditions then applicable to additional credit allowance for such year under section 26 USC 3303 (a) of the federal unemployment tax act, any other provision to the contrary notwithstanding.

**Section 62.** 108.18 (7) (a) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:
108.18 (7) (a) 1. Except as provided in pars. (b) to (i), any employer may make payments to the fund during the month of November in excess of those required by this section and s. 108.19 (1), (1e), and (1f). Each payment shall be credited to the employer’s account for the purpose of computing the employer’s reserve percentage as of the immediately preceding computation date.

**NOTE:** This cross-reference range omitted s. 108.19 (1m), so this is amended to refer to s. 108.19 generally so as to include s. 108.19 (1m).

**SECTION 63.** 108.18 (7) (h) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.18 (7) (h) The department shall establish contributions, other than those contributions required by this section and assessments required under s. 108.19 (1), (1e), and (1f) and contributions other than those submitted during the month of November or authorized under par. (f) or (i) 2., as a credit, without interest, against future contributions payable by the employer or shall refund the contributions at the employer’s option.

**NOTE:** See the previous section’s note.

**SECTION 64.** 108.19 (title) of the statutes is repealed and recreated to read:

108.19 (title) Special assessments.

**NOTE:** This section is modified so as to have it deal primarily with assessments. Provisions establishing segregated funds are moved to s. 108.195, and remaining material is renumbered to improve organization and readability.

**SECTION 65.** 108.19 (1) of the statutes is renumbered 108.19 (1) (a) and amended to read:

108.19 (1) (a) Each employer subject to this chapter shall regularly contribute to the administrative account at the rate of two-tenths of one percent per year on its payroll, except that the department may prescribe lower rates of contribution under this section at the close of any fiscal year such lower rates of contribution under this section, to apply to classes of employers throughout the ensuing fiscal year, as will
in the department's judgment adequately finance the administration of this chapter,
and as will in the department's judgment fairly represent the relative cost of the
services rendered by the department to each such class.

****Note: Changes reference to "this section" to acknowledge other assessments in
s. 108.19.

**SECTION 66.** 108.19 (1) (d) of the statutes is created to read:

108.19 (1) (d) Assessments under this subsection shall be credited to the
appropriation account under s. 20.445 (1) (gc).

****Note: If DWD ever were to assess employers under s. 108.19 (1), the
contributions would probably have to be deposited in the appropriation account under s.
20.445 (1) (gc) given how the relevant statutes are currently worded, so this change
provides as such. (Because assessments under s. 108.19 (1e) and (1m) are directed
elsewhere, assessments under this subsection appear to be the only moneys that would
conceivably ever go to the appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (gc).)

**SECTION 67.** 108.19 (1e) (cm) of the statutes is created to read:

108.19 (1e) (cm) Assessments under this subsection shall be credited to the
appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (gh).

****Note: Adds a reference to the receiving appropriation for consistency within
this section.

**SECTION 68.** 108.19 (1f) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.19 (1f) (a) Except as provided in par. (b), each employer, other than an
employer that finances benefits by reimbursement in lieu of contributions under s.
108.15, 108.151, or 108.152 shall, in addition to other contributions amounts payable
under s. 108.18 and this section, pay an assessment for each year equal to the lesser
of 0.01 percent of its payroll for that year or the solvency contribution that would
otherwise be payable by the employer under s. 108.18 (9) for that year.

(d) Assessments under this paragraph subsection shall be deposited in the
unemployment program integrity fund.

****Note: Makes slight organizational changes for consistency within this section.

**SECTION 69.** 108.19 (1f) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:
108.19 (1f) (c) Notwithstanding par. (a), the department may, if it finds that the full amount of the levy is not required to effect the purposes specified in sub. (1s) s. 108.195 (2) (b) for any year, prescribe a reduced levy for that year and in such case shall publish in the notice under par. (b) the rate of the reduced levy.

***Note: Changes made due to the renumbering in Section 75.

Section 70. 108.19 (1m) of the statutes is renumbered 108.19 (1m) (a) and amended to read:

108.19 (1m) (a) Each employer subject to this chapter as of the date a rate is established under this subsection shall pay an assessment to the unemployment interest payment fund at a rate established by the department sufficient to pay interest due on advances from the federal unemployment account under Title XII of the federal social security act, 42 USC 1321 to 1324. The rate established by the department for employers who finance benefits under s. 108.15 (2), 108.151 (2), or 108.152 (1) shall be 75 percent of the rate established for other employers. The amount of any employer’s assessment shall be the product of the rate established for that employer multiplied by the employer’s payroll of the previous calendar year as taken from quarterly employment and wage reports filed by the employer under s. 108.205 (1) or, in the absence of the filing of such reports, estimates made by the department.

(d) Each assessment made under this subsection is due within 30 days after the date the department issues the assessment. If the

(f) The department shall use amounts collected from employers under this subsection exceed the amounts needed to pay interest due on advances from the federal unemployment account under 42 USC 1321 to 1324. If the amounts collected exceed the amounts needed to pay that interest for a given year, the department shall
use any the excess to pay interest owed in subsequent years on advances from the federal unemployment account. If the department determines that additional interest obligations are unlikely, the department shall transfer the excess to the fund's balancing account of the fund, the unemployment program integrity fund, or both in amounts determined by the department.

**SECTION 71.** 108.19 (1m) (e) of the statutes is created to read:

108.19 (1m) (e) Assessments under this subsection shall be deposited in the unemployment interest payment fund.

***Note:*** Adds a reference to the receiving fund for consistency within this section.

**SECTION 72.** 108.19 (1n) of the statutes is renumbered 108.19 (1m) (b) and amended to read:

108.19 (1m) (b) The department shall publish as a class 1 notice under ch. 985 any rate established under sub. (1m) par. (a) within 10 days of after the date that the rate is established.

**SECTION 73.** 108.19 (1p) of the statutes is renumbered 108.19 (1m) (c) and amended to read:

108.19 (1m) (c) Notwithstanding sub. (1m) par. (a), an employer having a payroll of $25,000 or less for the preceding calendar year is exempt from any assessment under sub. (1m) this subsection.

**SECTION 74.** 108.19 (1q) of the statutes is renumbered 108.195 (1) and amended to read:

108.195 (1) **UNEMPLOYMENT INTEREST PAYMENT FUND.** There is created a separate, nonlapsible trust fund designated as the unemployment interest payment fund consisting of all amounts collected under sub. s. 108.19 (1m) (a) and all interest and penalties on those amounts collected under s. 108.22.
**SECTION 75.** 108.19 (1s) of the statutes is renumbered 108.195 (2), and 108.195 (2) (a) 3., as renumbered, is amended to read:

108.195 (2) (a) 3. Amounts transferred under sub. (1m) s. 108.19 (1m) (f).

**SECTION 76.** 108.19 (2) of the statutes is renumbered 108.19 (1) (b) and amended to read:

108.19 (1) (b) If the department finds, at any time within a fiscal year for which it has prescribed lower contribution rates to the administrative account than the maximum rate permitted under sub. (1) par. (a), that such lower rates will not adequately finance the administration of this chapter or are excessive for that purpose, the department may by general rule prescribe a new schedule of rates in no case exceeding the specified maximum to apply under this section subsection for the balance of the fiscal year.

**SECTION 77.** 108.19 (2m) of the statutes is renumbered 108.19 (1) (c) and amended to read:

108.19 (1) (c) Within the limit specified by sub. (1) under par. (a), the department may by rule prescribe at any time as to any period any such rate or rates or schedule as it deems necessary and proper hereunder under this subsection. Unless thus prescribed, no such rate or rates or schedule shall apply under sub. (1) or (2) par. (a) or (b).

**SECTION 78.** 108.19 (3) of the statutes is repealed.

****Note: This provision is repealed as it appears to have been rendered out-of-date.

**SECTION 79.** 108.19 (4) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.19 (4) If section 303 42 USC 503 (a) (5) of title III of the social security act and section 26 USC 3304 (a) (4) of the internal revenue code are amended to permit
a state agency to use, in financing administrative expenditures incurred in carrying
out its employment security functions, some part of the moneys collected or to be
collected under the state unemployment insurance law, in partial or complete
substitution for grants under title III 42 USC 501 to 506, then this chapter shall, by
rule of the department, be modified in the manner and to the extent and within the
limits necessary to permit such use by the department under this chapter; and the
modifications shall become effective on the same date as such use becomes
permissible under the federal amendments.

SECTION 80. 108.195 (title) of the statutes is created to read:

108.195 (title) Segregated funds.

****NOTE: This puts the creation of the funds in a separate section for better organization.

SECTION 81. 108.195 (2) (title) of the statutes is created to read:

108.195 (2) (title) UNEMPLOYMENT PROGRAM INTEGRITY FUND.

SECTION 82. 108.20 (2m) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.20 (2m) From the moneys not appropriated under s. 20.445 (1) (gg) that
are received by the administrative account as interest and penalties under this
chapter, the department shall pay the benefits chargeable to the administrative
account under s. 108.07 (5) and the interest payable to employers under s. 108.17
(3m), and may expend the remainder to pay interest due on advances to the
unemployment reserve fund from the federal unemployment account under title XII
of the social security act, 42 USC 1321 to 1324, to conduct research relating to the
condition of the unemployment reserve fund under s. 108.14 (6), to administer the
unemployment insurance program and federal or state unemployment insurance
programs authorized by the governor under s. 16.54, to assist the department of
justice in the enforcement of this chapter, to make payments to satisfy a federal audit
exception concerning a payment from the fund or any federal aid disallowance
involving the unemployment insurance program, or to make payments to the fund
if such action is necessary to obtain a lower interest rate or deferral of interest
payments on advances from the federal unemployment account under title XII of the
social security act 42 USC 1321 to 1324, except that any interest earned pending
disbursement of federal employment security grants under s. 20.445 (1) (n) shall be
credited to the general fund.

SECTION 83. 108.22 (1m) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.22 (1m) If any person owes any contributions, reimbursements or
assessments under s. 108.15, 108.151, 108.152, 108.155, or 108.19 (1m), benefit
overpayments, interest, fees, payments for forfeitures, other penalties, or any other
amount to the department under this chapter and fails to pay the amount owed, the
department has a perfected lien upon the right, title, and interest in all of the
person’s real and personal property located in this state in the amount finally
determined to be owed, plus costs. Except where creation of a lien is barred or stayed
by bankruptcy or other insolvency law, the lien is effective upon the earlier of the date
on which the amount is first due or the date on which the department issues a
determination of the amount owed under this chapter and shall continue until the
amount owed, plus costs and interest to the date of payment, is paid, except as
provided in sub. (8) (d). If a lien is initially barred or stayed by bankruptcy or other
insolvency law, it shall become effective immediately upon expiration or removal of
such bar or stay. The perfected lien does not give the department priority over
lienholders, mortgagees, purchasers for value, judgment creditors, and pledges
whose interests have been recorded before the department’s lien is recorded.
****NOTE: This adds a missing cross-reference to s. 108.152, which provides for reimbursement financing by Indian tribes.

****NOTE: This broadens a reference to s. 108.19 to make it clear it applies to all assessments under s. 108.19, not just those under s. 108.19 (1m).

SECTION 84. 108.22 (8e) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.22 (8e) If the department determines a payment has been made to an unintended recipient erroneously without fault on the part of the intended payee or payee's authorized agent, the department may issue the correct payment to the intended payee if necessary, and may recover the amount of the erroneous payment from the recipient under this section or s. 108.225 or 108.245. Any amount so recovered shall be credited to the fund's balancing account.

****NOTE: This adds a reference to the balancing account, consistent with s. 108.16 (6) (o).

SECTION 85. 108.223 (2) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.223 (2) (b) The department shall enter into agreements with financial institutions doing business in this state to operate the financial record matching program under this section. An agreement shall require the financial institution to participate in the financial record matching program by electing either the financial institution matching option under sub. (3) or the state matching option under sub. (4). The financial institution and the department may by mutual agreement make changes to amend the agreement. A financial institution that wishes to choose a different matching option shall provide the department with at least 60 days' notice. The department shall furnish the financial institution with a signed copy of the agreement.

SECTION 86. 108.23 of the statutes is amended to read:

108.23 Preference of required payments. In the event of an employer's dissolution, reorganization, bankruptcy, receivership, assignment for benefit of
creditors, judicially confirmed extension proposal or composition, or any analogous situation including the administration of estates in circuit courts, the payments required of the employer under this chapter shall have preference over all claims of general creditors and shall be paid next after the payment of preferred claims for wages. If the employer is indebted to the federal government for taxes due under the federal unemployment tax act and a claim for the taxes has been duly filed, the amount of contributions which should be paid to allow the employer the maximum offset against the taxes shall have preference over preferred claims for wages and shall be on a par with debts due the United States, if by establishing the preference the offset against the federal tax can be secured under s. 26 USC 3302 (a) (3) of the federal unemployment tax act.

**SECTION 87.** 108.24 (3) (a) 3. a. of the statutes is amended to read:

108.24 (3) (a) 3. a. Refrain from claiming or accepting benefits, participating in an audit or investigation by the department, or testifying in a hearing held under s. 108.09, 108.095, or 108.10.

****NOTE: This adds a cross-reference to s. 108.095, which provides a separate procedure from ss. 108.09 and 108.10 for certain cases involving an alleged false statement or representation of a person to obtain UI benefits payable to another person.

**SECTION 88.** 108.24 (3) (a) 4. of the statutes is amended to read:

108.24 (3) (a) 4. Discriminates or retaliates against an individual because the individual claims benefits, participates in an audit or investigation by the department under this chapter, testifies in a hearing under s. 108.09, 108.095, or 108.10, or exercises any other right under this chapter.

****NOTE: This adds a cross-reference to s. 108.095, which provides a separate procedure from ss. 108.09 and 108.10 for certain cases involving an alleged false statement or representation of a person to obtain UI benefits payable to another person.
AN ACT to repeal 20.445 (1) (gg), 20.445 (1) (gm), 25.17 (1) (x), 108.02 (1), 108.14 (7) (c), 108.14 (23) (d) and 108.20; to renumber and amend 108.19 (4); to amend 20.445 (1) (gc), 20.445 (1) (gd), 108.04 (11) (f), 108.07 (5) (a), 108.07 (5) (c), 108.09 (5) (b), 108.14 (2m), 108.14 (3m), 108.14 (12) (d), 108.14 (16), 108.16 (5) (c), 108.16 (6) (k), 108.16 (8) (f), 108.161 (4) (a) and (b), 108.161 (9), 108.162 (7), 108.17 (3), 108.17 (3m), 108.19 (1), 108.19 (1e) (a), 108.19 (2) and 108.22 (1) (am); and to create 20.427 (1) (g) of the statutes; relating to: various changes relating to financing of and appropriations for the unemployment insurance program.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This is a preliminary draft. An analysis will be provided in a subsequent version of this draft.
For further information see the state fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:
**SECTION 1.** 20.427 (1) (g) of the statutes is created to read:

20.427 (1) (g) **Agency collections.** All moneys received from fees or other charges for copying of documents, generation of copies of documents from optical disc or electronic storage, publication of books, and other services provided in carrying out the functions of the commission.

****Note: This creates an appropriation for LIRC to receive moneys for various purposes, including transcript fees under s. 108.09 (5) (b).

**SECTION 2.** 20.445 (1) (gc) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.445 (1) (gc) **Unemployment administration.** All moneys received by the department under s. 108.19 not otherwise appropriated under this subsection for the administration of ch. 108.

****Note: If DWD ever were to assess employers under s. 108.19 (1), the contributions would probably have to be deposited in the appropriation account under s. 20.445 (1) (gc) given how the relevant statutes are currently worded, so this change provides as such.

**SECTION 3.** 20.445 (1) (gd) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.445 (1) (gd) **Unemployment interest and penalty payments.** All moneys received as interest and penalties collected under ss. 108.04 (11) (c) and (cm) and (13) (c) and 108.22 except interest and penalties deposited under s. 108.19 (1q), and forfeitures under s. 103.05 (5), **all moneys not appropriated under par. (gg) and all moneys received under s. 108.09 (5) (c), all moneys received under s. 108.14 (16), all moneys received under s. 108.18 (1) (c), all moneys transferred to this appropriation account from the appropriation account under par. (gh), and all other nonfederal moneys received for the employment service or for the administration of ch. 108 that are not otherwise appropriated under this subsection, for the payment of benefits specified in s. 108.07 (5) and 1987 Wisconsin Act 38, section 132 (1) (c), for the payment of interest to employers under s. 108.17 (3m), for research relating to the
condition of the unemployment reserve fund under s. 108.14 (6), for administration
of the unemployment insurance program and federal or state unemployment
insurance programs authorized by the governor under s. 16.54, for satisfaction of any
federal audit exception concerning a payment from the unemployment reserve fund
or any federal aid disallowance concerning the unemployment insurance program,
for assistance to the department of justice in the enforcement of ch. 108, for the
payment of interest due on advances from the federal unemployment account under
title XII of the social security act to the unemployment reserve fund, and for
payments made to the unemployment reserve fund to obtain a lower interest rate or
deferral of interest payments on these advances, except as otherwise provided in s.

108.20.

**NOTE:** This is the so-called “I&P fund.” However, it is not a separate segregated
fund, but rather an appropriation account in the general fund. The changes in this
SECTION direct certain moneys to this account, as further explained in other SECTIONS of
the bill.

SECTION 4. 20.445 (1) (gg) of the statutes is repealed.

**NOTE:** As currently written, this is a separate appropriation used to dedicate
some I&P money to DWD for IT upgrades, etc. It is repealed due to the fact that it is no
longer being used.

SECTION 5. 20.445 (1) (gm) of the statutes is repealed.

**NOTE:** This repeals an appropriation that receives moneys paid for printed
copies of a UI Handbook for employers. This money is instead directed to the
appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (gd) along with fees charged for other printed materials.

SECTION 6. 25.17 (1) (x) of the statutes is repealed.

**NOTE:** See the note under SECTION 31 regarding the former unemployment
administration fund. Section 25.17 (1), which gives the SWIB authority to manage the
various segregated funds, was apparently erroneously not amended to remove the
reference to the fund. This repeals that obsolete reference.

SECTION 7. 108.02 (1) of the statutes is repealed.

**NOTE:** See the note under SECTION 31 regarding the former unemployment
administration fund.

SECTION 8. 108.04 (11) (f) of the statutes is amended to read:
108.04 (11) (f) All amounts forfeited under par. (c) and all collections from
administrative assessments under par. (cm) shall be credited to the administrative
account appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (gd).

**NOTE:** This is amended to simply reference s. 20.445 (1) (gd), which provides
that this money goes into that account.

**SECTION 9.** 108.07 (5) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.07 (5) (a) If no employer from which the claimant has base period wages
is subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18, the benefits shall
be charged to the administrative account and paid from the appropriation under s.
20.445 (1) (gd).

**NOTE:** See the note under Section 31.

**SECTION 10.** 108.07 (5) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.07 (5) (c) If 2 or more employers from which the claimant has base period
wages are not subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18, and
one or more employers from which the claimant has base period wages are subject
to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18, that percentage of the
employee’s benefits which would otherwise be chargeable to the fund’s balancing
account under sub. (3) or s. 108.04 (1) (f) or (5), or under s. 108.16 (6m) (e) for benefits
specified in s. 108.16 (3) (b), shall be charged to the administrative account and paid
from the appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (gd).

**NOTE:** See the note under Section 31.

**SECTION 11.** 108.07 (6) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.07 (6) The department may initially charge benefits otherwise chargeable
to the administrative account payable from the appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (gd)
as provided under this section to the fund’s balancing account, and periodically
reimburse the charges to the balancing account from the administrative account appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (gd).

****NOTE: See the note under Section 31.

SECTION 12. 108.09 (5) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.09 (5) (b) All testimony at any hearing under this section shall be recorded by electronic means, but need not be transcribed unless either of the parties requests a transcript before expiration of that party’s right to further appeal under this section and pays a fee to the commission in advance, the amount of which shall be established by rule of the commission. When the commission provides a transcript to one of the parties upon request, the commission shall also provide a copy of the transcript to all other parties free of charge. The transcript fee collected shall be paid to the administrative account credited to the appropriation account under s. 20.427 (1) (g).

****NOTE: See the note under Section 1.

SECTION 13. 108.14 (2m) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.14 (2m) In the discharge of their duties under this chapter an appeal tribunal, commissioner, or other authorized representative of the department or commission may administer oaths to persons appearing before them, take depositions, certify to official acts, and by subpoenas, served in the manner in which circuit court subpoenas are served, compel attendance of witnesses and the production of books, papers, documents, and records necessary or convenient to be used by them in connection with any investigation, hearing, or other proceeding under this chapter. A party’s attorney of record may issue a subpoena to compel the attendance of a witness or the production of evidence. A subpoena issued by an attorney must be in substantially the same form as provided in s. 805.07 (4) and must
be served in the manner provided in s. 805.07 (5). The attorney shall, at the time of issuance, send a copy of the subpoena to the appeal tribunal or other representative of the department responsible for conducting the proceeding. However, in any investigation, hearing, or other proceeding involving the administration of oaths or the use of subpoenas under this subsection due notice shall be given to any interested party involved, who shall be given an opportunity to appear and be heard at any such proceeding and to examine witnesses and otherwise participate therein. Witness fees and travel expenses involved in proceedings under this chapter may be allowed by the appeal tribunal or representative of the department at rates specified by department rules, and shall be paid from the administrative account appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (n).

****Note: This specifies for witness and travel fees to be paid from the federal appropriation.

**SECTION 14.** 108.14 (3m) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.14 (3m) In any court action to enforce this chapter the department, the commission, and the state may be represented by any licensed attorney who is an employee of the department or the commission and is designated by either of them for this purpose or at the request of either of them by the department of justice. If the governor designates special counsel to defend, in behalf of the state, the validity of this chapter or of any provision of Title IX of the social security act, the expenses and compensation of the special counsel and of any experts employed by the department in connection with that proceeding may be charged to the administrative account appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (gd). If the compensation is being determined on a contingent fee basis, the contract is subject to s. 20.9305.

****Note: This provides for the charging of these costs to the I&P account.

**SECTION 15.** 108.14 (7) (c) of the statutes is repealed.
**NOTE**: This provision about printing of various types of documents is repealed, with the language incorporated into s. 108.14 (16) instead.

**SECTION 16.** 108.14 (12) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.14 (12) (d) If it is finally determined that moneys thus received have been thus lost or improperly expended, then the department shall either make the necessary replacement from those moneys in the administrative account specified in s. 108.20 (2m) the appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (gd) or shall submit, at the next budget hearings conducted by the governor and at the budget hearings conducted by the next legislature convened in regular session, a request that the necessary replacement be made by an appropriation from the general fund.

**NOTE**: See the note under **SECTION 31**.

**SECTION 17.** 108.14 (16) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.14 (16) The department shall have duplicated or printed, and shall distribute without charge, such employment security any reports, studies and forms, records, decisions, regulations, rules, or other materials, including the text of this chapter and the handbook under sub. (23), and other instructional or explanatory pamphlets for employers or workers, as that it deems necessary for public information or for the proper administration of this chapter; but the The department may collect a reasonable charge, which shall be credited to the administrative appropriation account under s. 20.445 (1) (gd), for any such item the cost of which is not fully covered by federal administrative grants.

**NOTE**: This directs moneys received for printed materials to the I&P account. See **SECTION 31**.

**NOTE**: See also the notes under **SECTIONS 15 and 18**.

**SECTION 18.** 108.14 (23) (d) of the statutes is repealed.

**NOTE**: This repeals a provision specifically governing charges for printed copies of a UI Handbook for employers. This would instead be governed by s. 108.14 (16), and the handbook is referenced there instead. (This does not repeal the requirement that DWD create the handbook.) See the note under **SECTION 17**.
**SECTION 19.** 108.16 (5) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.16 (5) (c) While the state has an account in the “Unemployment Trust Fund”, public deposit insurance charges on the fund’s balances held in banks, savings banks, savings and loan associations, and credit unions in this state, the premiums on surety bonds required of the fund’s treasurer under this section, and any other expense of administration otherwise payable from the fund’s interest earnings, shall be paid from the administrative account appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (n) or (ne).

****Note: This adds cross-references to s. 20.445 (1) (n) and (ne), both of which could be used to pay banking costs.

**SECTION 20.** 108.16 (6) (k) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.16 (6) (k) All payments to the fund from the administrative account as authorized under s. 108.20 (2m) appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (gd).

****Note: Sections 20.445 (1) (gd) and 108.20 (2m) allow for “payments to the fund if such action is necessary to obtain a lower interest rate or deferral of interest payments on advances from the federal unemployment account under title XII of the social security act.” This therefore replaces this language with a reference to s. 20.445 (1) (gd), the I&P account.

**SECTION 21.** 108.16 (8) (f) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.16 (8) (f) The successor shall take over and continue the transferor’s account, including its positive or negative balance and all other aspects of its experience under this chapter in proportion to the payroll assignable to the transferred business and the liability of the successor shall be proportioned to the extent of the transferred business. The transferor and the successor shall be jointly and severally liable for any amounts owed by the transferor to the fund and to the administrative account under this chapter at the time of the transfer, but a successor under par. (c) is not liable for the debts of the transferor except in the case of fraud or malfeasance.
NOTE: Deletes a reference to the administrative account (see Section 31) in favor of a more general reference to ch. 108.

**SECTION 22.** 108.161 (4) (a) and (b) of the statutes are amended to read:

108.161 (4) (a) Stating for which such purposes and in what amounts the appropriation is being made to the administrative account created by s. 108.20.

(b) Directing the fund’s treasurer to transfer the appropriated amounts to the administrative account the appropriation account under s. 20.445 (1) (n) only as and to the extent that they are currently needed for such expenditures, and directing that there shall be restored to the account created by sub. (1) any amount thus transferred which that has ceased to be needed or available for such expenditures.

NOTE: See the note under Section 31.

**SECTION 23.** 108.161 (9) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.161 (9) Any land and building or office quarters acquired under this section shall continue to be used for employment security purposes. Realty or quarters may not be sold or transferred to other use if prior action is taken under s. 13.48 (14) (am) or 16.848 (1) and may not be sold or transferred without the governor’s approval. The proceeds from the sale, or the value of realty or quarters upon transfer, shall be credited to the account established in sub. (1) or credited to the fund established in s. 108.20 appropriate appropriation account under s. 20.445, or both as determined by the department in accordance with federal requirements. Equivalent substitute rent-free quarters may be provided, as federally approved. Amounts credited under this subsection shall be used solely to finance employment security quarters according to federal requirements.

NOTE: As described in the note under Section 31, there is no longer a fund established under s. 108.20.

**SECTION 24.** 108.162 (7) of the statutes is amended to read:
108.162 (7) Any amount appropriated under s. 20.445 (1) (na) which has not been obligated shall be available for employment security local office building projects, consistent with this section and ss. s. 108.161 and 108.20.

***Note: See the note under Section 31.

Section 25. 108.17 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.17 (3) If an employing unit makes application to the department to adjust an alleged overpayment by the employer of contributions or interest under this chapter, and files such an application within 3 years after the close of the calendar year in which such payment was made, the department shall determine under s. 108.10 as to the existence and whether and to what extent of any such an overpayment, and said section shall apply to such determination. Except as provided in sub. (3m), the department shall allow an employer a credit for any amount determined under s. 108.10 to have been erroneously paid by the employer, without interest, against its future contribution payments; or, if the department finds it impracticable to allow the employer such a credit, it shall refund the overpayment to the employer, without interest, from the fund or the administrative account, as the case may be.

***Note: This substitutes a reference to “the appropriate appropriation,” though it would most likely be the s. 20.445 (1) (gd) account in the case of a refund of any interest or penalties.

Section 26. 108.17 (3m) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.17 (3m) If an appeal tribunal or the commission issues a decision under s. 108.10 (2), or a court issues a decision on review under s. 108.10 (4), in which it is determined that an amount has been erroneously paid by an employer, the department shall, from the administrative account appropriation under s. 20.445 (1)
(gd), credit the employer with interest at the rate of 0.75 percent per month or
fraction thereof on the amount of the erroneous payment. Interest shall accrue from
the month which the erroneous payment was made until the month in which it is
either used as a credit against future contributions or refunded to the employer.

****NOTE: This substitutes a reference to the I&P account for these payments. See
SECTION 31.

SECTION 27. 108.19 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.19 (1) Each employer subject to this chapter shall regularly contribute to
the administrative account at the rate of two-tenths of one pay an assessment equal
to 0.2 percent per year on its payroll, except that the department may prescribe at
the close of any fiscal year such lower rates of contribution under this section
subsection, to apply to classes of employers throughout the ensuing fiscal year, as will
in the department’s judgment adequately finance the administration of this chapter,
and as will in the department’s judgment fairly represent the relative cost of the
services rendered by the department to each such class.

****NOTE: Deletes reference to the administrative account. See the note under
SECTION 31.

SECTION 28. 108.19 (1e) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.19 (1e) (a) Except as provided in par. (b), each employer, other than an
employer that finances benefits by reimbursement in lieu of contributions under s.
108.15, 108.151, or 108.152 shall, in addition to other contributions amounts payable
under s. 108.18 and this section, pay an assessment to the administrative account
for each year prior to before the year 2010 equal to the lesser of 0.01 percent of its
payroll for that year or the solvency contribution that would otherwise be payable
by the employer under s. 108.18 (9) for that year.

****NOTE: Deletes a reference to the administrative account. See SECTION 31.

SECTION 29. 108.19 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:
108.19 (2) If the department finds, at any time within a fiscal year for which it has prescribed lower contribution rates to the administrative account than the maximum rate permitted under sub. (1), that such lower rates will not adequately finance the administration of this chapter or are excessive for that purpose, the department may by general rule prescribe a new schedule of rates in no case exceeding the specified maximum to apply under this section for the balance of the fiscal year.

****Note: Deletes a reference to the administrative account. See Section 31.

** Section 30. ** 108.19 (4) of the statutes is renumbered 108.18 (1) (c) and amended to read:

108.18 (1) (c) If Notwithstanding par. (b), if section 303 (a) (5) of title III of the social security act and section 3304 (a) (4) of the internal revenue code are amended to permit a state agency to use, in financing administrative expenditures incurred in carrying out its employment security functions, some any part of the moneys collected or to be collected under the state unemployment insurance law, an employer’s contributions in partial or complete substitution for grants under title III, then this chapter shall, by rule of the department, be modified in the manner and to the extent and within the limits necessary to permit such use by the department under this chapter; and the modifications shall become effective on the same date as such use becomes permissible under the federal amendments the department may credit any portion of that part of an employer’s contributions to the appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (gd).

****Note: This provision dates to Chapter (Act) 181, Laws of 1943, and reads essentially the same today as it did then. The changes here move the provision to s. 108.18, where it is more relevant, and specifically provide that, in the event that federal law is changed so as to allow a portion of contributions to be used for administrative purposes, those moneys would be directed to the I&P account.
SECTION 31. 108.20 of the statutes is repealed.

***NOTE: This section provides for the creation of an administrative account and is a relic from when moneys were formerly deposited in a segregated fund known as the “Unemployment Administration Fund.” 1985 Act 29 amended this section to eliminate that fund and transferred its appropriations to the general fund. It also amended references to the fund to instead refer to the “administrative account.” In reality, however, state moneys are received and spent pursuant to appropriations under s. 20.445, with, for example, federal moneys being received by the appropriation account under s. 20.445 (1) (n) and other moneys being credited to the so-called I&P account, s. 20.445 (1) (gd). This section is repealed in favor of references to specific appropriations where it is currently referenced. Most of the repealed language is already stated elsewhere.***

SECTION 32. 108.22 (1) (am) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.22 (1) (am) The interest, penalties, and tardy filing fees levied under pars. (a), (ac), (ad), and (af) shall be paid to the department and credited to the administrative account appropriation under s. 20.445 (1) (gd).

***NOTE: This is amended to simply reference s. 20.445 (1) (gd), which provides that this money goes into that account.***

SECTION 33. Fiscal changes.

(1) The unencumbered balance in the appropriation account under s. 20.445 (1) (gg), 2017 stats., immediately before the effective date of the repeal of s. 20.445 (1) (gg), 2017 stats., and the unencumbered balance in the appropriation account under s. 20.445 (1) (gm), 2017 stats., immediately before the effective date of the repeal of s. 20.445 (1) (gm), 2017 stats., are transferred to the appropriation account under s. 20.445 (1) (gd), as affected by this act.

***NOTE: This transfers whatever may be remaining in the two repealed appropriation accounts into the I&P account.***

(END)
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Reimbursable Employer Debt Assessment Charging

1. Description of Proposed Change

When employers subject to reimbursement unemployment insurance financing ("self-insured") are charged for benefits that are based on identity theft, the Department restores those charges to the employers’ accounts from the balancing account.¹ The 2015 – 2016 UIAC agreed bill (2015 Wis. Act 334) required that the Department set aside $2 million in the balancing account, plus interest, to pay identity theft charges to reimbursable employers’ accounts. Through February 2021, about $289,000 of identity theft charges have been restored from these funds and about $208,000 of interest has accrued on the funds, leaving a balance of about $1.918 million.

When non-profit reimbursable employers fail to pay for the benefits charged, the Department may apply payments from those employers’ assurances.² Government units and Indian tribes are not required to submit an assurance to qualify for reimbursable financing. Currently, a non-profit reimbursable employer’s assurance must be a surety bond, letter of credit, certificate of deposit, or another nonnegotiable instrument of fixed value.³

If a non-profit reimbursable employer closes but its assurance is insufficient to cover all benefit charges to that employer, the Department may attempt to collect the remaining charges. If a non-profit reimbursable employer’s benefit charges are uncollectible, the Department assesses

¹ Wis. Stat. § 108.155.
² Wis. Stat. § 108.151(4).
³ Wis. Stat. § 108.151(4)(a).
other non-profit reimbursable employers for the uncollectible amounts.\(^4\) This is known as the reimbursable employer debt assessment or “REDA.”

The REDA to recover uncollectible reimbursements must be at least $5,000 but no more than $200,000 and each non-profit employer assessed pays the assessment based on the employer’s payroll. Employers for whom the assessment would be less than $10 are not assessed, which usually results in about half of non-profit reimbursable employers not being assessed the REDA. The REDA process has administrative costs for the Department and employers, such as the issuance of small bills to employers, answering employers’ questions about the assessment, and collecting the assessment.

The Department proposes that a limited amount of the reimbursable employer identity theft fraud funds set aside in the balancing account be made available to recover uncollectible reimbursements instead of assessing the REDA (or to reduce the amount of the REDA). This would greatly reduce administrative costs to the Department and non-profit reimbursable employers and relieve those employers of having to pay the REDA. The Department proposes that the identity theft fraud funds be used to pay the REDA only if the use of those funds would not reduce the balance of the funds below $1.75 million. This would ensure that the bulk of the identity theft fraud funds are available for restoring identity theft charges.

The Department also proposes to increase the minimum amount of the REDA from $10 to $20, which would reduce the administrative costs of assessing the REDA.

\(^4\) Wis. Stat. § 108.151(7).
2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 108.151 (7) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

The fund's treasurer shall determine the total amount due from employers electing reimbursement financing under this section that is uncollectible as of June 30 of each year, but not including any amount that the department determined to be uncollectible prior to January 1, 2004. No amount may be treated as uncollectible under this paragraph unless the department has exhausted all reasonable remedies for collection of the amount, including liquidation of the assurance required under sub. (4). The department shall charge the total amounts so determined to the uncollectible reimbursable benefits account under s. 108.16 (6w). Whenever, as of June 30 of any year, that account has a negative balance of $5,000 or more, the treasurer shall, except as provided in par. (i), determine the rate of an assessment to be levied under par. (b) for that year, which shall then become payable by all employers that have elected reimbursement financing under this section as of that date.

Section 108.151 (7) (f) of the statutes is amended to read:

If any employer would otherwise be assessed an amount less than $40-$20 for a calendar year, the department shall, in lieu of requiring that employer to pay an assessment for that calendar year, apply the amount that the employer would have been required to pay to the other employers on a pro rata basis.

Section 108.151 (7) (i) of the statutes is created to read:

In lieu of or in addition to assessing employers as provided in par. (c), the fund’s treasurer may apply amounts set aside in the fund’s balancing account under s. 108.155 (2) (a) to amounts determined to be uncollectible under par. (c) by transferring those amounts to the account under s. 108.16 (6w). The fund’s treasurer may not act under this paragraph whenever the balance
remaining of the amount set aside under s. 108.155 (2) (a) is less than $1,750,000 and may not act
to reduce the amount set aside below that amount.

Section 108.155 (2) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

On October 2, 2016, the fund’s treasurer shall set aside $2,000,000 in the balancing account
for accounting purposes. On an ongoing basis, the fund’s treasurer shall tally the amounts allocated
to reimbursable employers’ accounts under s. 108.04 (13) (d) 4. c. and all amounts transferred to
the account under s. 106.16 (6w) as provided in s. 108.151 (7) (i) and shall deduct those amounts
from the amount set aside plus any interest calculated thereon.

Section 108.16 (6m) (j) of the statutes is created to read:

Any amount transferred to the account under sub. (6w) as provided in s. 108.151 (7) (i).

Section 108.16 (6w) of the statutes is amended to read:

The department shall maintain within the fund an uncollectible reimbursable benefits
account to which the department shall credit all amounts received from employers under s. 108.151
(7) and all amounts transferred from the fund's balancing account as provided in s. 108.151 (7) (i).

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposal will reduce administrative burdens and increase the efficiency of
recovering uncollectible reimbursements.

b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is attached.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the
unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.
5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective with other changes made as part of the agreed bill cycle.
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FISCAL ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED LAW CHANGE

Prepared by: Technical Services Section

Trust Fund Impact:

This law change proposal could have a negative Trust Fund impact of up to $330,000 in a given year. This impact could be greater, significantly less, or none depending on the year.

IT and Administrative Impact:

This law change proposal has no IT impact. One-time administrative impact is negligible.

This law change proposal will result in minimal ongoing administrative savings depending on the year. However, most of this savings comes from staff hours that would now be spent doing other higher-value added work.

Summary of Law Change Proposal:

This law change proposes that a limited amount of the reimbursable employer identity theft fraud (REITF) funds set aside in the balancing account be made available to recover uncollectible reimbursements instead of assessing the Reimbursable Employer Debt Assessment (REDA), or to reduce the amount of the REDA. The REITF funds will be used to pay REDA only if the use of those funds would not reduce the balance of the funds below $1,750,000. This proposal also increases the minimum amount of the REDA from $10 to $20.

Trust Fund Methodology:

This law change proposal could have a negative Trust Fund impact of up to $330,000 based the current amount of REITF funds available ($2 million plus $104,000 in interest). This impact would be due to writing off reimbursable debt in lieu of billing and is at the fund's treasurer's discretion. This Trust Fund impact could become greater if the amount of REITF funds increases; or, the impact could be significantly less, or none, if less REDA or no REDA is written off in a given year.

IT and Administrative Impact Methodology:

This law change proposal has no IT impact and negligible one-time administrative costs for staff training purposes.

The ongoing administrative savings is estimated as $3,169. This impact could be significantly less or none depending on the year. This is based on printing and mailing cost savings of approximately $700 when REDA is not assessed. It is also based on administrative staff time savings of approximately 40 hours or about $2,469 when REDA is not assessed. However, these hours would now be spent doing other higher-value added work. If REDA is assessed, increasing the minimum amount of REDA from $10 to $20 reduces the ongoing administrative burden by eliminating several accounts requiring the assessment.
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Department Reports to Legislature

1. Description of Proposed Change

Currently, the Department must submit a statement regarding the unemployment insurance financial outlook to the Governor and the Legislature by April 15 of every odd-numbered year.\(^1\) The statement includes financial projections of the unemployment insurance trust fund, such as benefit payments and tax collections. The statement must also project whether the Department will need to borrow federal funds to pay benefits. The statement must include the proposed changes to the unemployment insurance law as well as projections regarding the effect of those changes on the trust fund.

By May 15 of each odd-numbered year, the Department must submit a report “summarizing the deliberations of the council on unemployment insurance and the position of the council, if any, concerning each proposed change in the unemployment insurance laws submitted under [section 16.48(1)(a)].”\(^2\) This report is sometimes referred to as the “UIAC Activities Report.” When the Governor receives the Department’s report and statement, the Governor may convene a committee to review the report and statement.\(^3\) The committee then recommends a course of action on the proposals.

In 1983, in response to a severe recession in the early 1980s, Governor Anthony Earl appointed a “Special Unemployment Compensation Study Committee” tasked with conducting a thorough review of the process for UI policy development in Wisconsin and methods to keep the

\(^1\) Wis. Stat. § 16.48(1)(a).
\(^2\) Wis. Stat. § 16.48(1)(b).
\(^3\) Wis. Stat. § 16.48(2).
Legislature informed of the status of the UI Trust Fund.\textsuperscript{4} The changes recommended by the committee were adopted in 1983 Wis. Act 388 and enacted May 1, 1984.

1983 Wis. Act 388 created a statutory requirement that the Department submit a statement of unemployment insurance financial outlook to the Governor and Legislative Leadership every two years. 1983 Wis. Act 388 also contained a provision that required the Secretary to submit, along with the financial outlook statement, a report summarizing the deliberations of the council on unemployment insurance and the position of the Council, if any, concerning each proposed change in unemployment insurance law included in the financial outlook.

Early versions of the UIAC Activities Report included Council meeting agendas and minutes; summarized the public hearing comments received by the Council; and documented all matters on which the Council voted during the reporting period. Later versions of the UIAC Activities Report shifted to summarizing proposed law changes to the UI program that were approved by the Council for recommendation to the Legislature, as well as documenting the Council’s current position on legislative provisions pending with the Council at the time the report was submitted (regardless of whether the pending item originated from the Council, the Governor, the Legislature, or the Department).

The early versions of the financial outlook statement and the UIAC Activities Report, and the recommended law changes included in the reports, served in many ways as supporting documents for the UIAC agreed bill by detailing the analysis and rationale behind the recommended law changes. The legislative recommendations in the financial outlook were endorsed by the Council; however, both sides of the Council could express their stance on areas of the legislative package they felt could have been improved.

\textsuperscript{4} One of the committee members was future Gov. Thompson.
Department Reports to Legislature

2013 Wis. Act 36 modified the due dates of the financial outlook and UIAC activities reports, resulting in staggered deadlines of April 15 and May 15 respectively (as opposed to concurrently as had been required previously).

The Governor typically introduces the budget bill to the Legislature in February of each odd-numbered year, while the Council typically introduces its law change proposals to the Legislature in the fall of odd-numbered years or no later than January of the even-numbered year. Based on this schedule, the Department proposes to change the date for the submission of the financial outlook report to May 31 of every even-numbered year, beginning in 2020. The Department proposes to submit this report to the UIAC and the Governor. This will provide the Governor and UIAC time to review the health of the unemployment insurance Trust Fund before the biennial budget bill is submitted to the Legislature and for the UIAC to consider any recommendations contained in the financial outlook report for inclusion in the UIAC agreed bill.

The UIAC Public Hearing is typically held in the autumn of even-numbered years. Completing the financial outlook by May 31 of the even-numbered years provides the public with an understanding of the health of the Trust Fund before the public hearing, and an opportunity to suggest changes to the unemployment law regarding the Trust Fund at the public hearing. So, the Department also proposes to codify the existing practice of posting the financial outlook report on its website.

The Department proposes to repeal section 16.48(1)(a)5., which requires the Department to explain why significant cash reserves should be retained in the unemployment trust fund, if those reserves exist. Federal unemployment law includes the “withdrawal standard,” which permits, with few exceptions, the withdrawal of funds from the Trust Fund only for the payment
Because the Trust Fund may only be used for the payment of unemployment benefits, the cash reserves in the Trust Fund must always be retained for that purpose. And, maintaining a large Trust Fund balance results in lower taxes for employers and ensures that funds are available to pay benefits.

The Department proposes to amend section 16.48(1)(a)6., which requires the Department to explain why it is not proposing to liquidate any unemployment insurance program debt. The amended statute would instead require the Department to propose methods to liquidate the debt. The purpose of this change is to provide options to reduce or eliminate any unemployment insurance program debt.

The Department further proposes to repeal section 16.48(2), which permits the Governor to convene a special committee to review the financial outlook report and attempt to reach a consensus regarding proposed changes to the unemployment insurance law. It appears that a special committee described in this section has not been convened since the 1980s. The Governor could, at any time, convene a special committee described in this section by executive order.

The Department also recommends that the deadline for the UIAC Activities Report be changed to January 31 of every even-numbered year. The intent of this change is that the UIAC Activities Report (together with the financial outlook report) would be submitted to the Governor and the Legislature contemporaneously with the Council’s Agreed Bill. This report would, in most biennia, be submitted earlier than January 31 of the even-numbered year because the Agreed Bill is typically introduced before January 31 of the even-numbered year.

---

5 26 USC § 3304(a)(4); 42 USC § 503(a)(5).
2. Proposed Statutory Change

Section 16.48 (1) (a) (intro.) of the statutes is renumbered 16.48 (1) (intro.) and amended to read:

No later than April 15 May 31 of each odd-numbered even-numbered year, the secretary of workforce development shall prepare and furnish to the governor, the speaker of the assembly, the minority leader of the assembly, and the majority and minority leaders of the senate, and the council on unemployment insurance, a statement of unemployment insurance financial outlook, which shall contain all of the following, together with the secretary’s recommendations and an explanation for such recommendations:

Section 16.48 (1) (a) 1., 2., 3., 4., 5. and 6. of the statutes are renumbered 16.48 (1) (am), (bm), (c), (d), (e) and (f), and 16.48 (1) (bm), (c) and (f), as renumbered, are amended to read:

16.48 (1) (bm) Specific proposed changes, if any, in the laws relating to unemployment insurance financing, benefits, and administration.

(c) Projections specified in subd. 1. par. (am) under the proposed laws.

(f) If unemployment insurance program debt is projected at the end of the forecast period, the reasons why it is not methods proposed to liquidate the debt.

Section 16.48 (1) (b) of the statutes is repealed.

Section 16.48 (2) of the statutes is repealed.

Section 16.48 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

No Biennially, no later than June 15 January 31 of each odd-numbered even-numbered year, the secretary of workforce development, under the direction of shall submit to the governor, shall submit to each member of the legislature an updated speaker of the assembly, the
minority leader of the assembly, the majority and minority leaders of the senate, and the council on unemployment insurance the statement of unemployment insurance financial outlook which shall contain the information specified in prepared under sub. (1) (a), together with the governor's recommendations and an explanation for such recommendations, and a copy of the a report required that summarizes the deliberations of the council and the position of the council regarding any proposed change to the unemployment insurance laws submitted under sub. (1) (b).

Section 16.48 (4) of the statutes is created to read:

The department shall post the most recent version of the statement prepared under sub. (1) and the most recent version of the report prepared under sub. (3) on the department's Internet site.

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy. The proposed change will result in changes to the dates by which certain reports must be submitted to the Governor and Legislature, to better facilitate the legislative process.

b. Administrative. None expected.

c. Fiscal. No fiscal effect is expected.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. The Department recommends that any changes to the unemployment insurance law be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective **February 1, 2022**.
UI Trust Fund Impact:

This proposal would not impact the UI Trust Fund.

IT and Administrative Impact:

This proposal does not have any one-time IT or administrative impacts.

Summary of Proposal:

Currently, the Department must submit the Financial Outlook to the Governor and Legislature by April 15 of every odd-numbered year and the UIAC Activities Report by May 15 of every odd-numbered year. This law change proposes changing the submission date of the Financial Outlook to May 31 of every even-numbered year, and the submission date of the UIAC Activities Report to January 31 of every even-numbered year to better facilitate the legislative process. This proposal also makes changes to the report requirements to better support the functions of these reports.

UI Trust Fund Methodology:

This proposal would not impact the UI Trust Fund.

The proposed change will result in changes to the dates by which certain reports must be submitted to the Governor and Legislature to better facilitate the legislative process.

IT and Administrative Impact Methodology:

This proposal does not have any one-time IT or administrative impacts.
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Collection of DWD-UI Debts

1. Description of Proposed Change

Section 71.93(8)(b) requires State agencies and DOR to enter into an agreement to have DOR collect debts owed to agencies. The debts are only referred to DOR if: (1) they are 90+ days delinquent; (2) the agency is not actively negotiating payment terms with the debtor; (3) the debt is not under appeal; (4) the debtor is not making acceptable payments to the agency. Before referring a debt to DOR, the agency must give the debtor 30 days’ notice of the referral. The DOR Secretary may waive the referral of certain debts.

When a debt is referred to DOR, DOR must charge the debtor a collection fee, which is added to the debt. The fee is used to pay DOR’s administrative costs of collection; any excess amount of fees lapses to the general fund. DOR apparently collects this fee before applying payments to the underlying debt. The expected amount of the fee is 15% of the total debt.

If DOR were to assume collection of debts owed to the Unemployment Insurance Division, DWD estimates that it will take about 5,000 to 7,000 hours of work by information technology staff to cease DWD’s automated collections actions, which would cost DWD between $445,000 to $623,000 in technology costs alone to refer DWD-UI debts to DOR. This sum does not include DWD collections staff time to handle the referral of debts at the beginning of the referral process or on an ongoing basis.

The assessment of the collection fee and the application of payments to the collection fee before the underlying debt raise two important issues for DWD. First, the fee will increase the total amount owed by debtors—employers who owe delinquent taxes and claimants who owe benefit overpayments—to DWD.
Second, DOR’s application of amounts collected to the collection fee before the underlying debt will have a negative effect on the balance of the Unemployment Reserve Fund, the Unemployment Interest Payment Fund, the Interest and Penalty appropriation, and the Unemployment Program Integrity Fund. The collection on the underlying debts will necessarily be reduced by the amount of the collection fee—15%—which will result in delayed satisfaction of debts. DWD collected about $428 million during the period of 2011 through March 2018. If the 15% fee applied to that entire amount, DOR would have charged $64.2 million in fees during that period.

A higher Reserve Fund balance typically results in lower contribution rates for most employers. If DWD is unable to recover delinquent contributions and benefit overpayments, which are deposited into the Trust Fund, as it currently does due to the imposition of the collection fee, the Reserve Fund balance will decrease. This could result in a change to a schedule with higher tax rates. When compared to other debts, unemployment debts are therefore unique because the increased collection of unemployment debts directly results in reduced unemployment taxes for Wisconsin employers.

DWD charges interest on delinquent contributions at a rate of 0.75% per month, which is 9.00% annually. DWD does not assess interest on interest, penalties, or benefit overpayments.\(^1\) When a debt is referred to DOR for collection, it “may assess interest” on the debt as it does with taxes owed to DOR.\(^2\) DOR charges 12% annual interest on unpaid taxes that are not delinquent but charges 18% annual interest on delinquent taxes.\(^3\)

---

\(^1\) Wis. Stat. § 108.16(10m) (DWD may not charge interest on benefit overpayments.).

\(^2\) Wis. Stat. § 71.93(8)(b)5.

\(^3\) Wis. Stat. §§ 71.82(2)(a) and (b); Wis. Admin. Code §§ Tax 2.88(1) and (2).
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It is unclear whether the DOR 12% interest rate is charged on the interest that accrued before the debt is referred to DOR. If so, it would result in interest being charged on interest, which DWD does not currently do.

After debts are referred to DOR for collection, DOR must apply payments to debts according to a statutory priority list. Amounts owed to DOR are paid first. Then, delinquent child support is paid. Third, criminal restitution debts are satisfied. Debts referred to DOR collection are paid fourth. As discussed above, DWD expects a reduced collection rate due to the collection fee, which will likely cause an increase in employer taxes. DWD should also expect the subordinate treatment of its debts to have a similar effect on the Trust Fund and employer taxes.

The Department proposes a law change to prohibit DOR from collecting debts on behalf of the Unemployment Insurance Division. This change will ensure that employers and claimants are not assessed additional fees when repaying their debts. And, this law change will ensure that state recoveries of debts owed to the Unemployment Insurance Division continue to be maximized for the benefit of the Trust Fund. DWD has just as many, if not more, collection tools available to it as DOR. DWD should not expect to increase its debt recovery rate if it refers its debts to DOR. As discussed above, the imposition of the collection fee will reduce DWD’s recoveries.

2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 71.93(8)(b)2. of the statutes is amended to read:

The department may enter into agreements described under subd. 1. with the courts, the legislature, authorities, as defined in s. 16.41 (4), and local units of government. The department may not enter into an agreement described under subd. 1 to collect amounts owed under ch. 108.

__________________________
4 Wis. Stat. § 71.93(3).
3. **Effects of Proposed Change**

a. **Policy:** The proposed change is expected to ensure that debtors who owe debts to the Unemployment Insurance Division are not subjected to unnecessary surcharges.

b. **Administrative:** None expected.

c. **Fiscal:** None expected.

4. **State and Federal Issues**

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. The Department recommends that any changes to the unemployment insurance law be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

Based on DWD’s reading of DOR’s debt referral contract, DWD must remit any amounts it recovers for a referred debt to DOR. US-DOL may consider this to be a violation of the immediate deposit standard, which would cause Wisconsin to not substantially comply with federal law. And, US-DOL may consider this type of remittance to be a violation of the withdrawal standard, which permits, with few exceptions, the withdrawal of funds from the Trust Fund only for the payment of unemployment benefits.⁵

5. **Proposed Effective/Applicability Date**

This proposal would be effective with the proposed changes in the UIAC Agreed Bill.

---

⁵ 26 USC § 3304(a)(4); 42 USC § 503(a)(5).
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Departmental Error

1. Description of Proposed Change

Current law provides that the Department “shall waive recovery of benefits that were erroneously paid if the overpayment was the result of a departmental error….”\(^1\) “Departmental error” includes errors that the Department made “in computing or paying benefits which results exclusively from…a mathematical mistake, miscalculation, misapplication or misinterpretation of law or mistake of evidentiary fact, whether by commission or omission….\(^2\) But an amendment, modification, or reversal of a Department determination by an appeal tribunal, the commission, or a court is not departmental error for the purposes of waiving the overpayment.\(^3\)

The Department disagrees with the Labor and Industry Review Commission’s interpretation of these statutes in determining when to waive benefit overpayments. To guard against erroneous interpretations of law the Department proposes to amend the definition of “departmental error” to confirm the Department’s interpretation of the statute. Under the proposed changes, a departmental error would include only certain errors made by the Department in issuing initial determinations, not appeal tribunals.

The Commission currently waives some overpayments if the Commission finds that an appeal tribunal allows benefits in error. The Commission considers appeal tribunals to be part of the Department because the administrative law judges are Department employees. Based on the Department’s review of the legislative history of the departmental error and overpayment waiver

\(^{1}\) Wis. Stat. § 108.22(8)(c)1.a.
\(^{2}\) Wis. Stat. § 108.02(10e)(am)1.
\(^{3}\) Wis. Stat. § 108.22(8)(c)2. (created by 1993 Act 373.)
Departmental Error

statutes, the Department believes that the intent of these statutes was originally to limit the finding of department error to errors made by Department staff, not appeal tribunals, the Commission, or the courts. So, the Department proposes a law change to clarify that an error by an appeal tribunal is not “departmental error.”

2. Proposed Statutory Change

Section 108.02 (10e) (c) of the statutes is created to read:

“Departmental error” does not include an error made by an appeal tribunal appointed under s. 108.09 (3).

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposed change will result in the increased recovery of benefits that were erroneously paid to claimants.

b. Administrative: This proposal will require training for benefits staff.

c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is attached.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. The Department recommends that any changes to the unemployment insurance law be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective with other changes made as part of the agreed bill cycle.
UI Trust Fund Impact:

This law change proposal would save the UI Trust Fund approximately $5,200 annually. The Trust Fund savings may be less going forward. This would be considered a positive but negligible impact on the Trust Fund.

IT and Administrative Impact:

This law change proposal would have no IT impact and a negligible one-time administrative impact.

Summary of Proposal:

This law change proposal is intended to clarify that an error by an appeal tribunal is not “departmental error.”

Trust Fund Methodology:

To determine the impact of the proposed change, 2015-2017 data was reviewed for LIRC determinations that found departmental error based on appeal tribunal determinations. There were no LIRC decisions that found departmental error in 2016 or 2017 and in 2015, there were approximately 10 determinations. The total overpayment for all affected determinations was approximately $6,560, which claimants would now be required to pay back if departmental error could not be found on appeal tribunal determinations. At an 80% collection rate, this results in an average savings to the Trust Fund of $5,200 annually. Since there were no LIRC decisions that found departmental error in 2016 or 2017, the Trust Fund savings may be less going forward.

IT and Administrative Impact Methodology:

This law change proposal would not have an IT impact. It may have a negligible administrative impact to train staff on these changes.
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Effect of a Criminal Conviction

1. Description of Proposed Change

   When the Department refers matters for criminal prosecution, it has usually already issued an administrative determination that the individual concealed information with the amount of the overpayment and penalty owed to the Department. The Department may use its statutory administrative collections powers, such as issuing warrants or levies, the Treasury Offset Program, interception of state income tax refunds, etc., to collect debts assessed by administrative determinations under the unemployment law. At the end of the criminal case, the Department may continue to collect the debt as assessed under the administrative determination. Or, the Department of Corrections may collect restitution, which would be credited toward the administratively determined debt.

   In some circumstances, however, criminal prosecution may result in a court-ordered restitution order or judgment when the Department has not issued an administrative determination that a debt is owed. Examples could include submitting forged documents to the Department with the expectation that the forger would receive a benefit; submitting false unemployment benefit claims by using a fictitious employer scheme; or filing benefit claims using stolen identities. In certain circumstances, these acts could be federal crimes, such as mail or wire fraud. These serious crimes may result in convictions and court-ordered restitution without the Department having first issued an administrative determination.

   The Department proposes a law change to make criminal conviction judgments binding on criminal defendants for the purposes of proceedings that arise under the unemployment law.
This is consistent with federal law. The standard of proof in criminal cases is beyond a reasonable doubt, which is a greater burden than in civil unemployment cases. It is not the intent of this proposal to change the Department’s practice with respect to nearly all cases referred for criminal prosecution. The Department intends to continue to refer most cases for prosecution after its administrative determination is final.

2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 108.101(5) of the statutes is created to read:

Notwithstanding sub. (4), a final order or judgment of conviction for a crime entered by a court is binding on the convicted person in an action or proceeding under this chapter that relates to the criminal conviction. A person convicted of a crime is precluded from denying the essential allegations of the criminal offense that is the basis for the conviction in an action or proceeding under this chapter.

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposed change is expected to result in improved collection of debts owed to the Department.

b. Administrative: None expected.

c. Fiscal: This proposal is expected to have a positive effect on the UI Trust Fund.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. The Department recommends that any changes to the unemployment insurance law be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

---

1 “A conviction of a defendant for an offense involving the act giving rise to an order of restitution shall estop the defendant from denying the essential allegations of that offense in any subsequent Federal civil proceeding or State civil proceeding, to the extent consistent with State law, brought by the victim.” 18 USC § 3664(l).
5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective with the proposed changes in the UIAC Agreed Bill.

FISCAL ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED LAW CHANGE

Prepared by: Technical Services Section

UI Trust Fund Impact:

This proposal may have a positive but negligible impact to the UI Trust Fund based on increased overpayment collections.

IT and Administrative Impact:

This proposal is not expected to have any one-time IT or administrative impacts.

Summary of Proposal:

This law change proposes making criminal conviction judgments binding on criminal defendants for the purposes of civil proceedings that arise under Wisconsin unemployment law.

UI Trust Fund Methodology:

Without an unemployment administrative determination, the collection of unemployment debt based on the criminal conviction relies solely on court ordered restitution collection methods. This proposal will allow the Department to issue an administrative determination after the criminal conviction, which would then allow UI collections to use all mechanisms available to collect the debt. Though an uncommon scenario, this could result in faster unemployment debt recovery and a higher percentage of unemployment debt collection, resulting in a negligible but positive impact on the Trust Fund.

IT and Administrative Impact Methodology:

This proposal would not change the current practice with respect to nearly all cases determined and then referred for prosecution. This proposal is not expected to have any one-time IT or administrative impacts.
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Fiscal Agent Election of Employer Status

1. Description of Proposed Change

Individuals who receive long-term support services in their home through government-funded care programs are employers under Wisconsin’s unemployment insurance law. These employers receive financial services from fiscal agents, who directly receive and disperse government program funds. The fiscal agent is responsible for reporting employees who provide services for the employers to the Department, and for paying unemployment tax liability on behalf of the employer.\(^1\) Currently, approximately 16,000 employers in Wisconsin receive government-funded care and use a fiscal agent.

During the previous legislative session, the Legislature enacted the Department’s proposal to permit the Department to assess fiscal agents with joint and several liability for the unemployment tax of employers who use fiscal agents.\(^2\)

2011 WI Act 198 created a provision that permits home health care providers to elect to be the employer of workers who provide home health care services under chapter 49.\(^3\) A home health care provider electing coverage as the employer must notify the recipient of the election and must be treated as the employer for federal unemployment tax purposes.

The Department proposes another law change related to fiscal agents that would permit private fiscal agents (not government units) to elect to be the employer of workers who provide care services under chapters 46, 47, and 51. The fiscal agents would be required to inform the

---

\(^1\) Wis. Stat. § 46.27(5)(i).
\(^2\) Wis. Stat. § 108.22(10).
\(^3\) Wis. Stat. § 108.065(3).
recipient of care of the election and would need to be treated as the employer for federal unemployment tax purposes. This proposal is expected to simplify unemployment insurance reporting requirements for fiscal agents.

If the worker is one of a certain class of family members of the person receiving care, the worker is currently ineligible for unemployment benefits when the employment relationship ends. Under this proposal, a worker who is a family member of the person receiving care would be an employee of the fiscal agent and would be eligible for unemployment benefits. Benefits would be charged to the fiscal agent’s account, which would affect its experience rating.

2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 108.02 (13) (k) of the statutes is amended to read:

“Employer” Except as provided in s. 108.065 (3m), “employer” does not include a county department, an aging unit, or, under s. 46.2785, a private agency that serves as a fiscal agent or contracts with a fiscal intermediary to serve as a fiscal agent under s. 46.27 (5) (i), 46.272 (7) (e), or 47.035 as to any individual performing services for a person receiving long-term support services under s. 46.272 (7) (b), 46.275, 46.277, 46.278, 46.2785, 46.286, 46.495, 51.42, or 51.437 or personal assistance services under s. 47.02 (6) (c).

Section 108.065 (1e) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read: 1

Except as provided in subs. (2) and (3) to (3m), if there is more than one employing unit that has a relationship to an employee, the department shall determine which of the employing units is the employer of the employee by doing the following:

---

4 Wis. Stat. § 108.02(15)(km) (“‘Employment,’ as applied to work for a given employer other than a government unit or a nonprofit organization, except as the employer elects otherwise with the department’s approval, does not include service provided by an individual to an ill or disabled family member who is the employing unit for such service, if the service is personal care or companionship. For purposes of this paragraph, ‘family member’ means a spouse, parent, child, grandparent, or grandchild of an individual, by blood or adoption, or an individual’s step parent, step child, or domestic partner. In this paragraph, ‘domestic partner’ has the meaning given in s. 770.01 (1).’’”)
Section 108.065 (3m) of the statutes is created to read:

A private agency that serves as a fiscal agent or contracts with a fiscal intermediary to serve as a fiscal agent to recipients of services under ch. 46, 47, or 51 may elect to be the employer of one or more employees providing those services. As a condition of eligibility for election to be the employer of one or more employees providing those services, the private agency shall notify in writing the recipient of any such services of its election, for purposes of the unemployment insurance law, to be the employer of any worker providing such services to the recipient, and must be treated as the employer under 26 USC 3301 to 3311 for purposes of federal unemployment taxes on the worker’s services.

Section 108.22 (10) of the statutes is amended to read:

A private agency that serves as a fiscal agent under s. 46.2785 or contracts with a fiscal intermediary to serve as a fiscal agent under s. 46.272 (7) (e) or 47.035 as to any individual performing services for a person receiving long-term support services under s. 46.272 (7) (b), 46.275, 46.277, 46.278, 46.2785, 46.286, 46.495, 51.42, or 51.437 or personal assistance services under s. 47.02 (6) (c) may be found jointly and severally liable for the amounts owed by the person under this chapter, if, at the time the person's quarterly report is due under this chapter, the private agency served as a fiscal agent for the person. The liability of the agency as provided in this subsection survives dissolution, reorganization, bankruptcy, receivership, assignment for the benefit of creditors, judicially confirmed extension or composition, or any analogous situation of the person and shall be set forth in a determination or decision issued under s. 108.10. An appeal or review of a determination under this subsection shall not include an appeal or review of determinations of amounts owed by the person. This subsection does not apply with respect to a private agency that has made an election under s. 108.065 (3m).
3. **Effects of Proposed Change**

   a. **Policy:** This proposal will simplify reporting requirements for fiscal agents.

   b. **Administrative:** This proposal will require training of Department staff.

   c. **Fiscal:** A fiscal estimate is attached.

4. **State and Federal Issues**

   There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. **Proposed Effective/Applicability Date**

   This proposal would be effective **January 1, 2023.**
FISCAL ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED LAW CHANGE

Prepared by: Technical Services Section

Trust Fund Impact:

The immediate Trust Fund impact of this law change proposal cannot be determined. It may result in additional tax revenue as well as additional benefit payments. The assumption is that over time this proposal would have a net-zero impact on the Trust Fund.

IT and Administrative Impact:

This law change proposal is not expected to have an IT impact.

The one-time administrative cost is estimated at 80 hours or $3,830. The ongoing administrative savings is estimated at 3 FTE positions or a savings of $300,000 annually; however, these staff savings would be absorbed through other higher value-added work.

Summary of Proposal:

This law change proposal would permit private fiscal agents (not government units) to elect to be the employer of workers who provide care services to individuals who receive long-term support services in their home through government-funded care programs. The fiscal agents would be required to inform the recipient of care of the election and would need to be treated as the employer for federal unemployment tax purposes.

Trust Fund Methodology:

Though this law change proposal would result in the employer accounts of individuals who receive long-term care to be converted and condensed into the employer accounts of fiscal agents, it is assumed that the overall amount of tax revenue and benefits paid would remain neutral. However, this does not take into account the 108.02(15)(km) exclusion (the exclusion of personal care services performed by an individual to an ill or disabled family member who directly employs individual). The fiscal agent, now the employer in lieu of the family member receiving care, would be required to report such caregivers’ wages and pay unemployment taxes on these employees, which could potentially affect the fiscal agents experience rating.

Excluded wages are not reported to the Unemployment Insurance Division, thus the amount of employee wages currently excluded that would now become reportable cannot be determined.

In 2018, there were approximately 93 determinations excluding wages from benefit claims under 108.02(15)(km). Assuming the individual had no other base period wages this would result in approximately $354,330 in additional benefits paid annually (assuming an average weekly benefit amount of $300 and average weeks paid of 12.7). Adjusting for taxes, this would result in an approximately $233,857 cost to the Trust Fund. However, this does not take into account the additional tax revenue on employee whose wages would no longer be excluded from UI coverage.
In summary, this proposal could result in:

- More tax revenue received and more benefits paid based on previously excluded wages under 108.02(15)(km); however, this amount cannot be established.
- Fewer benefit overpayments based on the 108.02(15)(km) exclusion estimated at $100,000 annually. This is because under this proposal these benefits would now be payable. However, most overpayments are collected (at least 80%) thus this would not have a significant impact on the Trust Fund.

The Trust Fund impact of this law change proposal cannot be determined. It may result in additional tax revenue as well as additional benefit payments as more workers now become covered employees. The assumption is that over time taxes will balance to offset benefit payments so that the net effect to the Trust Fund will be approximately zero.

**IT and Administrative Impact Methodology:**

Per subject matter experts, this law change proposal is not expected to have an IT impact. There would be minimal changes needed within SUITES.

Per subject matter experts, this law change proposal is estimated to have a one-time administrative cost of approximately 80 hours or about $3,830 to collapse 14,400 employer accounts into approximately 18 fiscal agent accounts, assuming the majority (per subject matter expert) would take the election.

This proposal would significantly reduce the ongoing administrative burden and decrease confusion about employer identities. Subject matter experts estimate the ongoing administrative staff savings of approximately 3 FTE positions or about $300,000 annually. However, these staff savings would be absorbed through other higher value-added work.
D21-09
Clarification of Employee Status Statute

Date:  April 15, 2021
Proposed by:  DWD
Prepared by:  Bureau of Legal Affairs

ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Clarification of Employee Status Statute

1. Description of Proposed Change

When an individual performs services for pay for an employing unit, it is presumed the individual is an employee for purposes of Wisconsin Unemployment Insurance law.\(^1\) The employing unit must prove that the individual meets the conditions of a two-part test to overcome that presumption and be excluded from the definition of employee.\(^2\)

In 1982, the Wisconsin Supreme Court decided *Star Line Trucking Corp. v. Dep’t of Indus.*, *Labor & Human Relations*, 109 Wis. 2d 266, 325 N.W.2d 872 (1982). *Star Line* held that the mere inclusion of required Public Service Commission Administrative Code language regarding the “exclusive possession, control, and use of the motor vehicle” in a trucking lease contract was insufficient to show that the carrier has direction and control over the driver. The Public Service Commission rule required motor vehicle leases to include the possession, control, and use language.

Under current law, in deciding whether an individual meets the conditions of the two-part test the Department and appeal tribunals are prohibited from considering “documents granting operating authority or licenses, or any state or federal laws or federal regulations granting such authority or licenses” when analyzing certain factors of the test.\(^3\) This provision was included in

---

1 Wis. Stat. § 108.02(12)(a).
2 Wis. Stat. §§ 108.02(12)(bm) and (c).
3 Wis. Stat. §§ 108.09(2)(bm) and 108.09(4s). *See also* Wis. Admin. Code DWD §§ 105.02 and 107.02 (“The department believes it is unreasonable to consider mandates of law as evidence because they have not been imposed on the relationship between the parties of their own volition.”)
the unemployment law in 1995, when the Worker’s Compensation employee status test was adopted.4

The Department proposes to amend sections 108.09(2)(bm) and 108.09(4s) to provide that all issues of unemployment insurance employee status may only be determined under Wisconsin unemployment statutes and rules. This proposal will provide consistency in determining individuals’ eligibility for unemployment benefits and employers’ unemployment insurance tax liability by limiting the employee status inquiry to the provisions of the unemployment insurance law.

Under this law change, for example, it would be clear that the Department would not rely on the fact that a salon requires its cosmetologists to have a cosmetology license when analyzing the cosmetologists’ services under the employee status test because cosmetologists are required by law to have a license to perform those services in Wisconsin.

2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 108.09(2)(bm) of the statutes is renumbered 108.02 (12) (cm) and amended to read:

(cm) In determining whether an individual meets the conditions specified in s. 108.02 (12) (bm) 2. b. or c. or (c) 1., the department shall not consider paragraphs (a), (bm), and (c), only this chapter and the rules promulgated by the department under the authority granted to the department by this chapter shall apply. Any other state or federal law, rule, regulation, or guidance shall not apply.

documents Documents granting operating authority or licenses shall not be considered or any other state or federal laws or federal regulations granting such authority or licenses.

---

4 1995 WI Act 118.
Section 108.09(4s) of the statutes is repealed:

Employee status. In determining whether an individual meets the conditions specified in s. 108.02 (12) (bm) 2., b. or c. or (e) 1., the appeal tribunal shall not take administrative notice of or admit into evidence documents granting operating authority or licenses, or any state or federal laws or federal regulations granting such authority or licenses.

3. Effects of Proposed Change
   a. Policy: The proposed change will prevent confusion and provide consistency when determining whether an individual’s services meet the conditions for the individual to be classified as an employee under unemployment insurance law.
   b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.
   c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is attached, based on 2017 cases.

4. State and Federal Issues
   There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date
   This proposal would be effective for services performed on and after January 1, 2022.
Trust Fund Impact:

This law change proposal would have a positive but negligible impact on the Trust Fund.

IT Impact and Administrative Impact:

This law change proposal would have no IT impact and a negligible one-time administrative impact.

Summary of Proposal:

This proposal amends sections 108.09(2)(bm) and 108.09(4s) to provide that all issues of unemployment insurance employee status may only be determined under Wisconsin unemployment statutes and rules. This proposal will provide consistency in determining individuals’ eligibility for unemployment benefits and employers’ unemployment insurance tax liability by limiting the employee status inquiry to the provisions of the unemployment insurance law.

Trust Fund Methodology:

Cases from 2017 dealing with employee status that may be affected by this law change proposal that were appealed to the ALJ level were reviewed for this estimate. In these cases, the claimants were consistently ruled as employees on the adjudication level, but that classification may have been overturned at the ALJ level and the claimants ruled as independent contractors. This may be because employee status was not determined exclusively under Wisconsin unemployment statutes and rules. This law change proposal intends to bring consistency to the employee status ruling by limiting the employee status inquiry to the provisions of the unemployment insurance law. However, based on the quantity of cases appealed, it would not have a significant impact on the Trust Fund.

IT and Administrative Impact Methodology:

Per subject matter experts, this proposal is codifying current practice and would not have any IT or administrative impact on the adjudication level. This is expected to have a negligible one-time administrative impact on the ALJ level due to staff training.
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
SUTA Dumping Penalty

1. Description of Proposed Change

A transferee of a business transfer is a mandatory successor to the unemployment insurance account of a transferor if: (1) the transferor and transferee are owned, managed, or controlled by the same interests; (2) the transferee continues the transferor’s business or employs the same employees; and (3) the same unemployment financing provisions apply to the transferor and transferee.\(^1\) Assessing mandatory successor status to a transferee dissuades employers from closing down a business with a high unemployment insurance tax rate and opening a “new” business to obtain a lower tax rate. This is known as “SUTA dumping.”

If a substantial purpose of a business transfer is to obtain a reduced contribution rate, the transferee will not receive the lower contribution rate.\(^2\)

The federal SUTA Dumping Prevention Act\(^3\) requires states to enact “meaningful civil and criminal penalties” for knowingly violating or attempting to violate state laws regarding mandatory successor requirements. The Act also requires penalties for advising others to “dump” their unemployment insurance experience.

Current law penalizes for making false statements to the Department regarding a mandatory successor investigation and for advising others to do so.\(^4\) If the person making the false

---

\(^1\) Wis. Stat. § 108.16(8)(e).
\(^2\) Wis. Stat. §§ 108.16(8)(em) and (im).
\(^3\) 42 U.S.C. § 503(k).
\(^4\) Wis. Stat. § 108.16(8)(m).
statement or the person who advised the person to make the false statement are not employers, the person forfeits up to $5,000.

The Department recommends a $10,000 civil penalty and a class A misdemeanor criminal penalty for knowingly violating or attempting to violate mandatory successor requirements in amounts that the Council chooses.

The Department also proposes to modify the $5,000 forfeiture for making false statements or advising someone to make false statements to be a penalty of $5,000 that will be deposited into the program integrity fund. This will make the treatment of the existing forfeiture provision consistent with the new proposed penalty.

2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 108.16 (8) (m) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

2. If the person is not an employer, the person may be required to forfeit not more than the department shall assess the person a penalty of $5,000 in a determination under s. 108.10, which shall be deposited in the unemployment program integrity fund.

Section 108.16 (8) (mm) of the statutes is created to read:

1. Any person identified under pars. (em) or (im), or any person that knowingly advises another person to transfer a business asset or activity solely or primarily for the purpose of obtaining a lower contribution rate, including by willful evasion, nondisclosure, or misrepresentation, is subject to the following penalties:

   a. If the person is an employer, the department shall assess the employer a penalty in the amount of $10,000.

   b. If the person is not an employer, the department shall assess the person a penalty of $10,000 in a determination under s. 108.10.
c. The person is guilty of a class A misdemeanor.

2. Assessments under a. and b. shall be deposited in the unemployment program integrity fund.

3. For the purposes of this paragraph and par. (m), “knowingly” means having actual knowledge of or acting with deliberate ignorance of or reckless disregard for the statute violated.

Section 108.16 (8) (o) of the statutes is amended to read:

Paragraphs (e) 1., (em), (h), (im), and (m), and (mm) shall be interpreted and applied, insofar as possible, to meet the minimum requirements of any guidance issued by or regulations promulgated by the U.S. department of labor.

Section 108.18 (1) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

Unless a penalty applies under s. 108.16 (8) (m), each employer shall pay contributions to the fund for each calendar year at whatever rate on the employer’s payroll for that year duly applies to the employer pursuant to under this section.

Section 108.19 (1s) (a) 7. of the statutes is created to read:

Assessments under ss. 108.16 (8) (m) 2. and (mm).

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposed is expected to deter employers from attempting to “dump” their unemployment insurance experience rating and delinquent taxes.

b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is attached based on 2017 data.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity
review. The SUTA Dumping Prevention Act requires states to enact “meaningful civil and criminal penalties” for knowingly violating or attempting to violate state laws regarding mandatory successor requirements. The Act also requires penalties for advising others to “dump” their unemployment insurance experience.

5. **Proposed Effective/Applicability Date**

This proposal would be effective for transfers of business occurring on or after the effective date of the law change.
Trust Fund Impact:

This law change proposal would save the Trust Fund up to $7,000 annually in increased taxes, which is considered a positive but negligible impact on the Trust Fund.

IT and Administrative Impact:

The one-time IT impact would be approximately 250 hours or $22,000. The one-time administrative impact would be approximately 30% of the IT impact or $6,600. The total one-time impact is estimated at $28,600.

Any penalties would flow to the UI Program Integrity Fund.

Summary of Proposal:

This law change proposal would create meaningful civil and criminal penalties for knowingly violating or attempting to violate mandatory successor requirements. The penalty will be deposited into the UI Program Integrity Fund. Criminal penalties will be created. This law change proposal would also modify the forfeiture for making false statements or advising someone to make false statements to be a penalty of $5,000 that will be deposited into the UI Program Integrity Fund.

Trust Fund Methodology:

Based on 2017 data, the Trust Fund impact would be up to $7,000 annually in increased tax revenue, if SUTA dumping is eliminated based on incentivized compliance.

IT and Administrative Impact Methodology:

Based on subject matter expert assessment, the one-time IT impact would be approximately 250 hours or $22,000. This estimate is based on changes required to SUITES. The one-time administrative impact would be approximately 30% of the IT impact or $6,600. The total one-time impact is estimated at $28,600.

Any penalties would flow to the UI Program Integrity Fund. Based on 2017 data, approximately 7 employers during that timeframe could have been subject to the civil penalty, none of which included false statements that would be subject to the $5,000 penalty. This penalty is intended to enforce tax compliance.
D21-11
Work Share Revisions

Date: April 15, 2021
Proposed by: DWD
Prepared by: Bureau of Legal Affairs

ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Work Share Revisions

1. Description of Proposed Change

The work share program permits employers to reduce employees’ hours under a plan that permits employees to receive a work share benefit. Under pre-pandemic law, employers could reduce employees’ hours by 10-50% and employees would receive a work share benefit that is a pro-rated amount of unemployment insurance based on the reduction in hours. For example, an employee who usually works 40 hours per week could work 20 hours per week in a work share plan and receive a work share benefit of 50% of their maximum UI weekly benefit amount.

Work share plans also require employers to maintain existing health insurance and defined benefit or defined contribution retirement plans. Employees in work share plans are not required to complete four work search actions or register for work. Work share plans are designed to prevent layoffs but are not intended to become a permanent part of the employer’s business model. During the pandemic, employees who participated in work share plans also received the $300 or $600 weekly Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation.

Federal legislation enacted during the pandemic encouraged increased employer participation in work share because the federal government currently pays the work share benefit costs. State legislation, 2019 Wis. Act 185 and 2021 Wis. Act 4, provided greater flexibility for work share plans as follows:

1. Reducing the minimum number of employees in work share from 20 to 2, which especially benefited small businesses.
2. Increasing the maximum reduction in employees’ hours from 50% to 60%, which is the maximum allowed under federal law.

3. Permits work share plans to cover any employees, not just employees in a particular work unit.

4. Eliminates the requirement that hours be apportioned equitably among employees in the work share plan.

5. Provides that work share plans become effective on the later of the Sunday of or after approval of the work-share plan, instead of the second Sunday after approval of the plan, unless a later Sunday is specified.

State law allows the Department’s Secretary to waive provisions of the work share statutes if doing so is necessary for state law to conform to federal requirements or if a waiver would result in increased federal funding of work share benefits. During the pandemic, the Secretary waived the requirement that a work share plan may only extend for a period of up to six months in a 5-year period, permitting plans to last up to 12 months in a 5-year period, as long as federal funding for work share benefits exists.

The Department proposes that the temporary changes to the work share statutes during the pandemic should be made permanent, as well as a permanent law change to permit plans to extend up to 12 months in a 5-year period. These changes will give employers greater flexibility when creating work share plans and may encourage more employers to use work share, which would reduce layoffs while preserving employee work benefits.
2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 108.062 (1) (c) is repealed.

(c) “Work unit” means an operational unit of employees designated by an employer for purposes of a work-share program, which may include more than one work site.

Section 108.062 (2) (b) and (e) are repealed.

(b) Provide for inclusion of at least 10 percent of the employees in the affected work unit on the date of submittal.

(e) Provide for apportionment of reduced working hours equitably among employees in the work-share program.

Section 108.062 (2) (a) (c), (d), (h), and (m) are amended to read:

(a) Specify the work unit in which the plan will be implemented, the affected positions, and the names of the employees filling those positions on the date of submittal.

(c) Provide for initial coverage under the plan of at least 20 positions that are filled on the effective date of the work-share program.

(d) Specify the period or periods when the plan will be in effect, which may not exceed a total of 6 months in any 5-year period within the same work unit.

(h) Specify the normal average hours per week worked by each employee in the work unit and the percentage reduction in the average hours of work per week worked by that employee, exclusive of overtime hours, which shall be applied in a uniform manner and which shall be at least 10 percent but not more than 60 percent of the normal hours per week of that employee.

(m) Indicate whether the plan includes employer-sponsored training to enhance job skills and acknowledge that the employees in the work-share program may participate in training funded under the federal Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act, 29 USC 3101 to 3361, or
another federal law that enhances job skills without affecting availability for work, subject to department approval.

**Section 108.062 (3) is amended to read:**

(3) Approval of plans. The department shall approve a plan if the plan includes all of the elements specified in sub. (2) or (20), whichever is applicable. The approval is effective for the effective period of the plan unless modified under sub. (3m).

**Section 108.062 (3r) is amended to read:**

(3r) Applicability of laws. A work-share program shall be governed by the law that was in effect when the plan or modification was last approved under sub. (3) or (3m), until the program ends as provided in sub. (4), but an employer with a work-share program governed by sub. (2) by a previous version of this section may, while sub. (20) is in effect, apply for a modification under sub. (3m), and that modification application shall be governed by sub. (20) the law in effect when the modification is approved.

**Section 108.062 (4) is amended to read:**

(4) Effective period.

(a)

1. Except as provided in subd. 2., a work-share program becomes effective on the later of the Sunday of the 2nd week beginning or after approval of a work-share plan under sub. (3) or any Sunday after that day specified in the plan.

2. With respect to a work-share plan approved during a period described under sub. (20), the work-share program becomes effective on the later of the Sunday of or after approval of a work-share plan under sub. (3) or any Sunday after that day specified in the plan.
(b) A work-share program ends on the earlier of the last Sunday that precedes the end of the 6-month period beginning on the effective date of the program or any Sunday before that day specified in the plan unless the program terminates on an earlier date under sub. (5), (14), or (15).

Section 108.062 (6) (b) is amended to read:

(b) No employee who is included in a work-share program is eligible to receive any benefits for a week in which the plan is in effect in which the employee is engaged in work for the employer that sponsors the plan which, when combined with work performed by the employee for any other employer for the same week, exceed 90 percent of the employee's average hours of work per week for the employer that creates the plan, as identified in the plan.

Section 108.062 (15) is amended to read:

(15) Involuntary termination. If in any week there are fewer than 20 employees who are included in a work-share program of any employer, the program terminates on the 2nd Sunday following the end of that week. This subsection does not apply to a work-share program to which sub. (20) applies.

Section 108.062 (19) is amended to read:

(19) Secretary may waive compliance. The secretary may do any of the following waive compliance with any requirement under this section if the secretary determines that doing so is necessary to permit continued certification of this chapter for grants to this state under Title III of the federal Social Security Act, for maximum credit allowances to employers under the federal Unemployment Tax Act, or for this state to qualify for full federal financial participation in the cost of administration of this section and financing of benefits to employees participating in work-share programs under this section.
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(a) Waive compliance with any requirement under this section.

(b) Waive the application of sub. (20), in whole or in part, to the extent necessary for any of the purposes specified in this subsection or, to the extent necessary for any of those purposes, require the continued application of any requirement under sub. (2).

Section 108.062 (20) of the statutes is repealed.

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposed change may encourage more employers to set up work share plans, thereby potentially reducing layoffs and ensuring that employees’ benefits are uninterrupted.

b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is not yet available.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective for work share plans submitted on or after the effective date of the law changes.
D21-12
Department Flexibility for Federal Funding

Date: April 15, 2021
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ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Department Flexibility for Federal Funding

1. **Description of Proposed Change**

   Current law sets forth three separate provisions that allow the department to suspend provisions of the UI law in specific circumstances: a general savings clause, provisions concerning the work share statutes and occupational drug testing.

   The savings clause provides: “The department may, with the advice of the council on unemployment insurance, by general rule modify or suspend any provision of this chapter if and to the extent necessary to permit continued certification of this chapter for [federal administrative] grants… and for maximum credit allowances to employers under the federal unemployment tax act.”\(^1\) Likewise, the Department’s Secretary may waive compliance with any part of the work share statute to ensure that the statute conforms to federal requirements and for Wisconsin to “qualify for full federal financial participation in the cost of administration of [the work share program] and financing of benefits to employees participating in work-share programs.”\(^2\) The Department’s Secretary may also waive compliance with the occupational drug testing statutes to ensure federal conformity.\(^3\)

   The flexibility in current law ensures that the Department will maintain its primary source of funding for the unemployment program and can maximize the federal funding for work share benefits. Indeed, during the past year the Department has ensured that employers may maintain work share plans longer than six months in a 5-year period so that Wisconsin could

---

\(^1\) Wis. Stat. § 108.14(13).
\(^2\) Wis. Stat. § 108.062(19).
\(^3\) Wis. Stat. § 108.133(5)(d).
receive an increased amount of federally-funded work share benefits by waiving the 6-month requirement.

On March 27, 2020, the federal CARES Act was enacted. It provided full federal funding for the first week of unemployment insurance benefits for states that did not have a waiting week. Wisconsin has a waiting week, but the Legislature temporarily suspended the waiting week under 2019 Wis. Act 185, retroactive to March 15, 2020. However, because Act 185 was not enacted until April 15, 2020, the US Department of Labor determined that no federal funding for the first week of unemployment was payable for the 3-week period of March 29, 2020-April 18, 2020. This resulted in a loss of an estimated $43.5 million in total federal reimbursement of benefits for the Trust Fund and reimbursable employers.4

The Department proposes a law change that would permit the Department’s Secretary to issue an order (which is not a rule), published in the register, waiving or suspending any part of chapter 108 to facilitate full federal funding of unemployment benefits. This proposal would also permit the Department’s Secretary to issue an emergency rule without the requirement of showing an emergency to waive, suspend, or amend any part of chapter 108 to facilitate full or partial federal funding of benefits or to receive additional program administration funding.

These changes would ensure that Wisconsin maximizes its receipt of federal funding.

2. Proposed Statutory Change

Section 108.14 (13) of the statutes is renumbered to section 108.14 (13) (a).

Section 108.14 (13) (b) and (c) of the statutes is created to read:

(b) The secretary may waive compliance with any requirement under this chapter if the secretary determines that doing so will permit full federal financing of benefits. A waiver under this

---

4 This amount is subject to revision as the Department completes the benefit recharging under section 108.07(5)(bm).
paragraph is not a rule under s. 227.01(13) and shall be effective upon publication in the Wisconsin administrative register.

(c) The department may, with the advice of the council on unemployment insurance, by rule, modify or suspend any provision of this chapter if and to the extent necessary to receive additional federal program administration funding or financing of benefits to employees. Notwithstanding section 227.24 (1) (a), (2) (b), and (3) of the statutes, the department is not required to provide evidence that promulgating a rule under this paragraph as an emergency rule is necessary for the preservation of the public peace, health, safety, or welfare and is not required to provide a finding of emergency for a rule promulgated under this paragraph.

3. **Effects of Proposed Change**

   a. **Policy:** This proposal will ensure that the Department has the flexibility to secure maximum federal funding of unemployment benefits and administrative costs.

   b. **Administrative:** The Department will need to train staff on the changes in this proposal.

   c. **Fiscal:** A fiscal estimate is not yet available.

4. **State and Federal Issues**

   There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. The Department recommends that any changes to the unemployment insurance law be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. **Proposed Effective/Applicability Date**

   This proposal would be effective with the other changes in the agreed bill.
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ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Construction Employer Initial Contribution Rates

1. Description of Proposed Change

New businesses with employees must register as employers with the Department. The Department then assigns a tax rate to the employer. If the new employer is a non-construction employer, the employer’s contribution rate is 2.5% for the first three years.\(^1\) But, if the new employer is a construction employer, the employer’s initial contribution rate is “the average rate for construction industry employers as determined by the department on each computation date, rounded up to the next highest rate” for the first three calendar years.\(^2\) All employers are also assigned a solvency rate, which, when combined with the contribution rate, provides for a total tax rate.\(^3\)

Construction employers are given an initial contribution rate that is the average of all construction employers because, historically, construction employers have had higher contribution rates due to seasonal layoffs. This has resulted in construction employers having initial contribution rates higher than 2.5%. The higher initial contribution rates resulted in employers building up their reserve fund balances.

In 2021, the total tax rates for new employers are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payroll</th>
<th>Non-construction</th>
<th>Construction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payroll&lt;$500,000</td>
<td>3.05%</td>
<td>2.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll&gt;$500,000</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
<td>3.10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Wis. Stat. § 108.18(2)(a).
\(^2\) Wis. Stat. § 108.18(2)(c).
\(^3\) Wis. Stat. § 108.18(9).
So, for 2021, the initial rate for new construction employers is lower than non-construction employers. The Department proposes amending the initial tax rate for construction employers to be the greater of the initial rate for non-construction employers or the average rate for construction industry employers as determined by the department on each computation date, rounded up to the next highest rate.

2. Proposed Statutory Change

Section 108.18 (2)(c) of the statutes is amended to read:

An employer engaged in the construction of roads, bridges, highways, sewers, water mains, utilities, public buildings, factories, housing, or similar construction projects shall pay contributions for each of the first 3 calendar years at either the average rate for construction industry employers as determined by the department on each computation date, rounded up to the next highest rate, or the rate specified in par. (a), whichever is greater. This rate may in no case be more than the maximum rate specified in the schedule in effect for the year of the computation under sub. (4).

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: This proposal will ensure that new construction employers do not have a lower initial contribution rate than other new employers.

b. Administrative: The Department will need to train staff on the changes in this proposal.

c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is not yet available.
4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. The Department recommends that any changes to the unemployment insurance law be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective January 1, 2022.
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ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Amend Administrative Rules Regarding UI Hearings

1. Description of Proposed Change

Current law provides that unemployment insurance hearings may be held in-person, by telephone, or by videoconference. Under current DWD § 140.11, an appeal tribunal may conduct a telephone or videoconference hearing “when it is impractical for the appeal tribunal to conduct an in-person hearing, when necessary to ensure a prompt hearing or when one or more of the parties would be required to travel an unreasonable distance to the hearing location.” That section also provides that a party may appear in person at the appeal tribunal’s location if the hearing is scheduled by telephone or videoconference. However, the Department has limited hearing office space.

Between November 2019-March 2020, about 99.6% of Wisconsin unemployment insurance benefit appeal hearings were held by telephone. During the pandemic, nearly all UI benefit hearings were held by telephone with limited use of videoconference. Other states hold nearly all their unemployment hearings by telephone:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Percent of UI hearings by phone (2019)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>99% (2 in-person/year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Department proposes to amend chapter DWD 140 to provide that, while parties may continue to request in-person hearings, it is the hearing office’s discretion whether to grant that request. The Department also proposes to clarify language in DWD chapter 140 regarding hearing records, Department assistance for people with disabilities at hearings, and to correct minor and technical language in DWD chapter 140.

2. Proposed Rule Changes

If the attached draft scope statement is approved, the Department will draft amendments to DWD chapter 140 to provide the guidelines under which parties may request in-person unemployment insurance hearings, as well as other changes to DWD chapter 140. The Department will present that draft to the Council for review before the rule is finalized.

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposed change will amend Wisconsin’s unemployment insurance administrative rules to ensure that the hearing office has discretion to grant or deny a request for an in-person hearing.

b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. Fiscal: This proposal is expected to reduce travel costs for parties and witnesses attending unemployment insurance hearings.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective when the Legislature approves the amended rule.
STATEMENT OF SCOPE
Department of Workforce Development

Rule No: DWD Chapter 140

Relating to: Unemployment insurance hearings.

Rule Type: Permanent

Detailed description of the objective of the proposed rule.

The proposed rule will amend sections of DWD chapter 140 related to hearing notices, in-person, telephone, and videoconference unemployment insurance hearings procedures, hearing records, and accessibility for attending hearings. The rule will specify the conditions by which a party or witness may request to attend a hearing in-person.

Description of existing policies relevant to the rule, new policies proposed to be included in the rule, and an analysis of policy alternatives.

Currently, DWD chapter 140 (Unemployment Insurance Appeals) specifies the requirements for unemployment insurance hearing notices, the procedures for conducting telephone or videoconference hearings, the treatment of hearing records, and Department assistance for people with disabilities at hearings.

The department proposes to amend DWD chapter 140 to confirm that the hearing notice shall provide the method of the hearing (in person, telephone, or videoconference). Also, the Department proposes to amend DWD chapter 140 to provide that it is within the discretion of the hearing office whether to hold an in-person hearing or to require the parties to appear by telephone or videoconference and to provide the guidelines under which parties may request an in-person hearing. In Ohio, Nebraska, Minnesota, Michigan, Kansas, Iowa, Indiana, and Illinois, 94-99.9% of pre-pandemic unemployment benefit hearings are held by telephone. The Department also proposes to clarify language in DWD chapter 140 regarding hearing records, Department assistance for people with disabilities at hearings, and to correct minor and technical language in DWD chapter 140.

The policy alternative is to do nothing. If the department does not promulgate the proposed rule, the unemployment insurance appeals process may not be as clear and efficient as it could be.

Detailed explanation of statutory authority for the rule, including the statutory citation and language.

The Department of Workforce Development has statutory authority for the proposed rule.

“The department may adopt and enforce all rules which it finds necessary or suitable to carry out this chapter.” Wis. Stat. § 108.14(2).
“Except as provided in s. 901.05, the manner in which claims shall be presented, the reports thereon required from the employee and from employers, and the conduct of hearings and appeals shall be governed by general department rules, whether or not they conform to common law or statutory rules of evidence and other technical rules of procedure, for determining the rights of the parties.” Wis. Stat. § 108.09(5)(a).

Estimate of amount of time that state employees will spend developing the rule and other resources necessary to develop the rule.

The estimated time is 80 hours.

List with description of all entities that may be affected by the proposed rule.

Currently, all employees and employers who appear at unemployment insurance appeal hearings appear by telephone due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Before the pandemic, nearly all unemployment insurance appeal hearings were held by telephone. The proposed rule will affect employees and employers who attend unemployment insurance appeal hearings. Employees and employers who previously appeared at unemployment insurance appeal hearings in person will save travel time and costs by appearing by telephone or videoconference.

Summary and preliminary comparison with any existing or proposed federal regulation that is intended to address the activities to be regulated by the proposed rule.

Federal law requires that state law conform to and comply with federal regulations. See 20 C.F.R. § 601.5.

Anticipated economic impact of implementing the rule (note if the rule is likely to have an economic impact on small businesses).

The proposed rule is not expected to have an adverse economic impact on any business or small business.

Contact Person: Janell Knutson, Director, Bureau of Legal Affairs, Unemployment Insurance Division, at (608) 266-1639 or janell.knutson@dwd.wisconsin.gov.

Approval of the agency head or authorized individual:

________________________________________  ____________________________
Pamela R. McGillivray, Chief Legal Counsel    Date Submitted
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Date: April 15, 2021
Proposed by: DWD
Drafted by: Bureau of Legal Affairs

ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Exclusion for Certain Camp Counselors

1. Description of Proposed Change

Federal unemployment law excludes the services of camp counselors from the definition of “employment” if the following criteria are met:

1. The worker is a full-time student. This means that the worker is currently enrolled in an educational institution or is between academic years/terms, was enrolled in the preceding year/term, and will be enrolled in the succeeding year/term.

2. The worker worked for the camp for less than 13 calendar weeks in a year.

3. The camp operates in less than seven months in a year or had average gross receipts for any 6 months in the preceding calendar year which were not more than 33 1/3 percent of its average gross receipts for the other 6 months in the preceding calendar year.\(^1\)

This proposal would add a similar exclusion for the services of camp counselors to Wisconsin’s unemployment law. Employees whose services are excluded under this proposal would not qualify for unemployment benefits based on their wages from the camps but may qualify for benefits based on services performed for other employers. Employers would not be taxed on the wages paid to camp counselors whose services are excluded. The wages of camp employees whose services are not excluded under this proposal would continue to be taxable for state and federal unemployment tax purposes.

\(^1\) 26 USC § 3306(c)(20).
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2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 108.02 (15) (k) 21. of the statutes is created to read:

“Employment” as applied to work for a given employer other than a government unit or nonprofit organization, except as the employer elects otherwise with the department’s approval, does not include service:

Performed by a full-time student, as defined in 26 USC 3306(q), for less than 13 calendar weeks in a calendar year in the employ of an organized camp, if either of the following apply:

a. The organized camp did not operate for more than 7 months in the calendar year and did not operate for more than 7 months in the preceding calendar year.
b. The organized camp had average gross receipts for any 6 months in the preceding calendar year which were not more than 33⅓ percent of its average gross receipts for the other 6 months in the preceding calendar year.

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposed change will align state law with federal law to exclude the services of certain camp counselors for unemployment tax and benefits purposes.
b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.
c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is attached.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective for services performed on and after January 1, 2022.
Summary of Proposal:

This proposal would amend Wisconsin law to include an exclusion that would mirror the federal exclusion for seasonal full-time student camp counselors.

UI Trust Fund Impact:

This proposal would reduce the Trust Fund by approximately $76,000 or less annually due to decreased tax revenue. It would have a negligible impact on reducing UI benefit payments.

IT and Administrative Impact:

This proposal would have an approximate $6408 one-time IT and $2115 administrative impact.

Trust Fund Methodology:

Seasonal full-time student camp counselors could fall into several employer NAICS code categories, which also include employment types that would not qualify under this exclusion. For the purposes of a high-level fiscal, NAICS code 721214 or recreational and vacation camps (except campgrounds) was the employer category identified as most impacted by this exclusion. Reimbursable non-profit employers were removed from this impact analysis as their wages are not taxable and have no fiscal impact on the Trust Fund. For this exclusion to be applied the employee must work less than 13 calendar weeks in a year, and in general the camp must operate in less than 7 months of the year. Based on these criteria, employees that had wages with the employer outside third quarter (summer months) were removed. Estimated wages that met these criteria were then multiplied by those employer’s tax rates. This resulted in approximately $76,000 in tax revenue in 2019 for 3034 employees (an additional 304 employees were employed by non-profit reimbursable employers). However, an additional requirement is that the employee must be a full-time student (currently enrolled or is between academic years). It is unknown how much of this tax revenue would be based on excluded wages due to being earned by full-time students only.

It is difficult to determine the reduction in UI benefit payments based on this exclusion. However, since a requirement of this exclusion is that the employee is a full-time student, these employees may already be ineligible for UI benefits based on their school enrollment status. In 2019, there were 82 claimants that met the wage criteria above that used those wages to qualify for an unemployment claim. Based on the number of potentially affected employees, school enrollment status, and the need for additional wages from other employers, it is estimated that this proposal would have a negligible impact on reducing benefit payments.

IT and Administrative Impact Methodology:

This proposal would have an approximate $6408 one-time IT and $2115 administrative impact.
Relevant federal statutes:

26 USC § 3306(c)(20):

(c) **Employment** For purposes of this chapter, the term “employment” means any service performed prior to 1955, which was employment for purposes of subchapter C of chapter 9 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 under the law applicable to the period in which such service was performed, and (A) any service, of whatever nature, performed after 1954 by an employee for the person employing him, irrespective of the citizenship or residence of either, (i) within the United States, or (ii) on or in connection with an American vessel or American aircraft under a contract of service which is entered into within the United States or during the performance of which and while the employee is employed on the vessel or aircraft it touches at a port in the United States, if the employee is employed on and in connection with such vessel or aircraft when outside the United States, and (B) any service, of whatever nature, performed after 1971 outside the United States (except in a contiguous country with which the United States has an agreement relating to unemployment compensation) by a citizen of the United States as an employee of an American employer (as defined in subsection (j)(3)), except—

(20) service performed by a full time student (as defined in subsection (q)) in the employ of an organized camp—

(A) if such camp—
   (i) did not operate for more than 7 months in the calendar year and did not operate for more than 7 months in the preceding calendar year, or

   (ii) had average gross receipts for any 6 months in the preceding calendar year which were not more than 33⅓ percent of its average gross receipts for the other 6 months in the preceding calendar year; and

(B) if such full time student performed services in the employ of such camp for less than 13 calendar weeks in such calendar year; or

26 USC § 3306(q):

(q) **Full time student** For purposes of subsection (c)(20), an individual shall be treated as a full time student for any period—

(1) during which the individual is enrolled as a full time student at an educational institution, or

(2) which is between academic years or terms if—
   (A) the individual was enrolled as a full time student at an educational institution for the immediately preceding academic year or term, and

   (B) there is a reasonable assurance that the individual will be so enrolled for the immediately succeeding academic year or term after the period described in subparagraph (A).
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Repeal Pre-employment & Occupational Drug Testing

1. Description of Proposed Change

Pre-Employment Drug Testing and Drug Treatment

The 2015 Budget, 2015 Wis. Act 55,¹ created Wis. Stat. §§ 108.04(8)(b) and 108.133, requiring the Department, by administrative rule, to create a voluntary program for employers to report the results of a failed or refused pre-employment drug test to DWD. If a reported person is receiving UI benefits, the person is presumed to have failed, without good cause, to accept suitable work and is ineligible for benefits.² If the person failed the drug test, they may maintain UI benefit eligibility if they enroll in and comply with a substance abuse treatment program, complete a job skills assessment and otherwise meet all program requirements. Under this law, DWD will pay the reasonable costs for drug treatment.

The emergency rule for the Pre-Employment Drug Testing Program became effective on May 6, 2016 and became effective as a permanent rule on May 1, 2017. As of March 31, 2021, DWD has received about 171 reports from employing units regarding individuals’ failures of pre-employment drug tests or refusals to take pre-employment drug tests. No claimants have been determined to be ineligible for UI benefits under the pre-employment drug testing statutes and rules and denied benefits because of the employers’ reports of a failed or refused drug test as a condition of an offer of employment. Because no claimants have been determined to be ineligible for UI benefits under the pre-employment drug testing statutes and rules, no claimants have maintained benefit eligibility by enrolling in and complying with a substance abuse treatment program and completing a job skills assessment.

¹ The provisions in the Budget Bill for pre-employment and occupational drug testing were not presented to the UIAC for approval and were not included in the agreed bill.
² However, the provisions of Wis. Stat. § 108.04(9) still apply.
2017 Wis. Act 157 (the UIAC agreed bill) amended the pre-employment drug testing law effective April 1, 2018 to limit employers’ civil liability under state law for submission of pre-employment drug testing information to the Department. Even with the amendment, there has been very limited use of the Pre-Employment Drug Testing Program by employers.

*Occupational Drug Testing and Drug Treatment*

The Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012\(^3\) permits states to test a UI applicant for unlawful use of controlled substances as an eligibility condition if the applicant is an individual for whom suitable work (as defined under state law) is only available in an occupation that regularly conducts drug testing (as determined under regulations issued by the Secretary of US-DOL). DWD is aware of only two other states, Texas and Mississippi, that have enacted statutes that permit drug testing of UI claimants. However, it appears that neither state has begun to test UI claimants for drugs as a condition for UI eligibility.

Under 2015 Wis. Act 55, the Department must, by administrative rule, create a program for drug testing certain UI applicants. The Department will determine whether an applicant’s only suitable work is in an occupation that regularly conducts drug testing. If an applicant’s only suitable work is in an occupation that regularly conducts drug testing, the Department will screen the applicant to determine whether there is a reasonable suspicion the applicant engaged in the unlawful use of controlled substances. An applicant with a positive screening result must submit to a drug test to remain eligible for UI benefits. An applicant who fails a drug test under Wis. Stat. § 108.133 without evidence of a valid prescription may remain eligible for UI benefits if the applicant enrolls in and complies with a drug treatment program, completes a job skills assessment, and otherwise meets all program requirements. The UIAC approved a scope statement for DWD to promulgate an

---

\(^3\) Section 303(l)(l)(A)(ii), SSA.
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The Legislature appropriates $250,000 of GPR annually ($500,000 per biennium) to DWD to fund and administer UI drug testing and treatment programs for both pre-employment and occupational drug testing programs. No GPR funds have been expended for substance abuse treatment programs as a result of pre-employment drug testing reports filed by employers. Unused appropriated GPR funds are transferred to the Program Integrity Fund at the end of the biennium.4

The Governor’s Budget Bill (AB 68 / SB 111) proposes to repeal the UI pre-employment and UI occupational drug testing statutes and to provide that the GPR funding for drug testing and treatment be used for DWD’s administration of the UI program instead of drug testing and treatment.5

2. Proposed Statutory Change

Section 108.04(8)(b) of the statutes, as affected by 2017 Wisconsin Act 157, is repealed.

Section 108.133 of the statutes, as affected by 2017 Wisconsin Act 157, sections 26 to 37, is repealed.

Wis. Admin. Code Chapter DWD 131, “Pre-Employment Drug Testing, Substance Abuse Treatment Program and Job Skills Assessment,” is repealed.

(Additional cross-references may also need to be amended.)

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: This proposal would reduce the likelihood that a person would be denied UI benefits for failing a pre-employment drug test.

b. Administrative: This proposal would provide state funds for administration of the UI program.

4 2017 Wis. Act 157, effective April 1, 2018.
5 The Budget Bill also proposes to legalize recreational marijuana.
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c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is not yet available.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. The Department recommends that any changes to the unemployment insurance law be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective with other changes made as part of the agreed bill cycle.
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Repeal Substantial Fault

1. Description of Proposed Change

Currently, an employee who is discharged is ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits if the discharge is for misconduct by the employee connected with their employment or if the discharge is for substantial fault by the employee connected with the employee’s work. In either case, the employee is ineligible for unemployment benefits until seven weeks have elapsed since the end of the week in which the discharge occurs, and the employee earns wages after the week in which the discharge occurs equal to at least 14 times the employee’s weekly benefit rate.

For misconduct discharges (but not for substantial fault), the wages paid to an employee by an employer which terminates employment of the employee for misconduct connected with the employee’s employment shall be excluded from the employee’s base period wages for purposes of benefit entitlement. This is known as cancellation of wage credits.

Previously, section 108.04(5g) was created as a provision of the 2005 agreed bill by the Unemployment Insurance Advisory Council. That statute provided a disqualification for certain violations of an attendance policy if certain requirements were met. The employee would be disqualified for unemployment insurance benefits until six weeks have elapsed since the end of the week in which the discharge occurs, and the employee earned wages after the week in which the discharge occurs equal to at least 6 times the employee’s weekly benefit rate.

The 2013 Budget, 2013 Wis. Act 20, repealed section 108.04(5g) and replaced it with the disqualification for substantial fault. Wisconsin appears to be the only state that has a
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disqualification for substantial fault. Act 20 also created several enumerated types of misconduct under section 108.04(5)(a)-(g).

The Governor’s Budget Bill (AB 68 / SB 111) proposes to repeal the substantial fault disqualification. The substantial fault statute has been the subject of litigation to the courts, including the Supreme Court. Repealing that provision would result in more predictability for claimants and employers.

2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 108.04(5g) of the statutes is repealed.

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposed change would result in increased payment of unemployment insurance benefits to claimants who would currently be denied on substantial fault grounds.

The proposed change would result in more predictability for claimants and employers and could result in less litigation on discharge issues.

b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is attached.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would apply to initial determinations issued on or after the effective date.

---

1 Subject to revision to ensure cross-references are corrected.
Summary of Proposal:

Under current law, a claimant for UI benefits whose work is terminated by his or her employer for substantial fault by the claimant connected with the claimant's work is ineligible to receive UI benefits until the claimant qualifies through subsequent employment. With certain exceptions, current law defines “substantial fault” to include those acts or omissions of a claimant over which the claimant exercised reasonable control and that violate reasonable requirements of the claimant's employer. The bill repeals this provision on substantial fault.

UI Trust Fund Impact:

This proposal is estimated to cost the UI Trust Fund approximately $5.0 million annually based on increased benefit payments.

IT and Administrative Impact:

This proposal is expected to have a negligible one-time IT impact, and negligible one-time and ongoing administrative impact to the UI program.

Trust Fund Methodology:

Substantial fault is the last step when considering a denial when someone is discharged:
1. check for statutory misconduct (under a-g); if no denial then
2. check for general misconduct; if no denial then
3. check for substantial fault.

Under this change, if the case doesn't meet the first two denial reasons, the determination would be an allow. So, any determination that is currently substantial fault would be an allow under this change.

There were 1,953 substantial fault decisions that denied benefits in 2019. With the elimination of substantial fault decisions, these would now be situations where benefits were allowed. Using the Quarter 4 2019 average weekly benefit amount of $328 per week and the Quarter 4 2019 duration of 12.1 weeks of UI this would be an additional $7.7 million in UI benefits. Taking into consideration an increase in UI taxes of $2.5 million annually, and a decrease of $200,000 in benefits charges to reimbursable employers the total impact would be an annual reduction of the UI Trust Fund of $5.0 million.

**Caveat: In 2019 there were record low benefit claims. In a year with higher claim numbers, we would expect to see a greater UI Trust Fund impact.

IT and Administrative Impact Methodology:

Minor system and policy changes would need to be put in place.
An Analysis of Proposed UI Law Change
Quit Exception for Relocating Spouse

1. Description of Proposed Change

Employees who quit a job are generally ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits unless an exception applies.

As a condition of Wisconsin receiving federal grant money (American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 Funds), 2009 Wis. Act 11 created a quit exception. The exception permitted claimants to be eligible for unemployment insurance benefits (assuming they were otherwise qualified) if they quit their job to move with a spouse who changed their place of employment, and it would have been impractical for the claimant to commute from the new location.

The 2013 Budget Act, 2013 Wis. Act 20, amended and repealed several quit exceptions, including amending the “quit to relocate” exception in Wis. Stat. § 108.04(7)(t). The amended quit exception, effective January 2014, was narrowed to cover only a claimant whose spouse is on active duty with the U.S. Armed Forces, is required to relocate by the U.S. Armed Forces and it is impractical for the claimant to commute to work.

The Governor’s Budget Bill (AB 68 / SB 111) modifies the changes to this quit exception made by 2013 Wis. Act 20 and provides that the quit exception covers all spouses who move with a relocating spouse, not just those serving in the U.S. Armed Forces. This proposal broadens this quit exception to apply to claimants whose spouses are required by any employer to relocate, not just the U.S. Armed Forces.
2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 108.04 (7) (t) 1. of the statutes is repealed.

Section 108.04 (7) (t) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

The employee’s spouse was required by the U.S. armed forces his or her employing unit to relocate to a place to which it is impractical for the employee to commute.

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposed change may encourage workers to relocate to take better jobs. This proposal would ensure that spouses of workers who relocate to take better jobs are able to receive unemployment insurance benefits after relocating if it is impractical for the spouse to commute.

b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is not yet available.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would apply to determinations issued on or after the effective date.

---

1 Subject to revision to ensure cross-references are corrected.
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Repeal Waiting Week

1. Description of Proposed Change

The first Wisconsin unemployment benefit claimant had a three-week waiting period before receiving the first unemployment check in 1936. In 1941, the waiting period was reduced to two weeks. In 1951, the waiting period was further reduced to one week. In 1969, the waiting period was still one week, but a claimant could receive benefits for that week if they obtained employment within 10 weeks of the start of their benefit year. The waiting week was repealed in 1977.

The 2011 Budget, 2011 Wis. Act 32, recreated a waiting week for unemployment insurance benefits, effective January 2012. For every new benefit year, no benefits are payable for the first week a claimant would otherwise be eligible for benefits. The waiting week may be a week in which full or partial benefits are payable. The waiting week does not reduce a claimant’s maximum benefit amount. About 42 states have a waiting week during non-pandemic times.

The one-week delay in benefit payments does not reduce a claimant’s total amount of benefits that they are eligible for.

During the 2020-2021 COVID-19 pandemic, Wisconsin suspended the waiting week for the period of March 15, 2020-March 13, 2021 because the federal government funded benefits for the first week of unemployment for states that did not have a waiting week.

The Governor’s Budget Bill (AB 68 / SB 111) would permanently repeal the waiting week.
2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 108.02 (26m) of the statutes is repealed.

Section 108.04 (3) of the statutes is repealed.

108.04 (11) (bm) of the statutes is amended to read:

The department shall apply any ineligibility under par. (be) against benefits and weeks of eligibility for which the claimant would otherwise be eligible after the week of concealment and within 6 years after the date of an initial determination issued under s. 108.09 finding that a concealment occurred. The claimant shall not receive waiting period credit under s. 108.04 (3) for the period of ineligibility applied under par. (be). If no benefit rate applies to the week for which the claim is made, the department shall use the claimant’s benefit rate for the claimant’s next benefit year beginning after the week of concealment to determine the amount of the benefit reduction.

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposed change would result in increased payment of unemployment insurance benefits to claimants who do not exhaust their benefit duration limit.

b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is not yet available.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective with the other provisions of the agreed bill.

---

1 Subject to revision to ensure cross-references are corrected.
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Date: April 15, 2021
Proposed by: DWD
Prepared by: Bureau of Legal Affairs

ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Repeal Work Search and Work Registration Waivers from Statute

1. Description of Proposed Change

Unemployment benefit claimants must conduct at least four work searches each week and register for work, unless a waiver relieves them of these requirements. Federal law also requires claimants to be actively seeking work and to register for work. Before 2017 Wis. Act 370 (enacted during the 2018 extraordinary session), the unemployment work search waivers were set forth in Wis. Admin. Code DWD § 127.02. The unemployment work registration waivers were in Wis. Admin. Code DWD § 126.03.

Act 370 codified in statute the work search and work registration waivers that existed in Administrative Code chapters DWD 126 and 127. Act 370 also created statutory language to permit the Department to promulgate administrative rules that modify the statutory work search and work registration waivers or create additional work search or work registration waivers “to comply with a requirement under federal law or is specifically allowed under federal law.” The Department has not yet repealed the prior Administrative Code waivers. The Department promulgated an emergency rule during the COVID-19 pandemic to waive work search during the pandemic, consistent with federal law.

The Governor’s Budget Bill (AB 68 / SB 111) would repeal the work search waiver provisions in statute as created by Act 370, restore the applicable statutes to their pre-Act 370 language, and direct the Department to establish work search waivers by administrative rule.
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2. Proposed Statutory Changes\(^1\)

Section 108.04 (2) (a) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

Except as provided in pars. (b) to (bd), sub. (16) (am) and (b), and s. 108.062 (10) and (10m)
and as otherwise expressly provided, a claimant is eligible for benefits as to any given week only
if all of the following apply:

Section 108.04 (2) (a) 3. of the statutes is repealed and recreated to read:

The claimant conducts a reasonable search for suitable work during that week and provides
verification of that search to the department. The search for suitable work must include at least 4
actions per week that constitute a reasonable search as prescribed by rule of the department. In
addition, the department may, by rule, require a claimant to take more than 4 reasonable work
search actions in any week. The department shall require a uniform number of reasonable work
search actions for similar types of claimants. This subdivision does not apply to a claimant if the
department determines that the claimant is currently laid off from employment with an employer
but there is a reasonable expectation of reemployment of the individual by that employer. In
determining whether the claimant has a reasonable expectation of reemployment by an employer,
the department shall request the employer to verify the claimant's employment status and shall
consider all of the following:

a. The history of layoffs and reemploysments by the employer.

b. Any information that the employer furnished to the claimant or the
department concerning the claimant's anticipated reemployment date.

c. Whether the claimant has recall rights with the employer under the terms
of any applicable collective bargaining agreement.

\(^1\) Subject to revision to ensure cross-references are corrected.
Repeal Work Search and Work Registration Waivers from Statute

Section 108.04 (2) (b) of the statutes is repealed and recreated to read:

The department may, by rule, establish waivers from the registration for work requirement under par. (a) 2. and the work search requirement under par. (a) 3.

Section 108.04 (2) (bb) of the statutes is repealed.

Section 108.04 (2) (bd) of the statutes is repealed.

Section 108.04 (2) (bm) of the statutes is amended to read:

A claimant is ineligible to receive benefits for any week for which there is a determination that the claimant failed to comply with the registration for work and work search requirements under par. (a) 2. or 3. or failed to provide verification to the department that the claimant complied with those requirements, unless the department has waived those requirements under par. (b), (bb), or (bd) or s. 108.062 (10m). If the department has paid benefits to a claimant for any such week, the department may recover the overpayment under s. 108.22.

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposed change would restore the law on work search and work registration waivers to the status quo before Act 370.

b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is attached.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.
5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective with the other provisions of the agreed bill.
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FISCAL ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED LAW CHANGE

Date: 3/21/19
Prepared by: UI Technical Services Section

Work Search Waiver Provisions by Rule in Lieu of Statute

Issue: This proposal deletes work search waiver provisions in current law and instead allow DWD to establish such waivers by rule.

Annual and Biennial Impacts:

Effective date is dependent on the promulgation of rules

The proposal, as written, would not have any impact on benefit payments or UI tax revenue. It would not impact reimbursable employers, nor the UI Trust Fund. Any impacts would be determined based on DWD administrative rule.
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Date: April 15, 2021
Proposed by: DWD
Prepared by: Bureau of Legal Affairs

ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Wage threshold for receipt of benefits

1. Description of Proposed Change

The 2011 Unemployment Insurance Advisory Council agreed bill, 2011 Wis. Act 198, capped the amount of wages that a claimant may earn and still receive partial benefits at $500. Before Act 198, there was no wage cap in the statute, but a claimant would not receive unemployment benefits if they earned enough wages to receive nothing under the partial UI benefit formula. Section 108.05(3)(dm) currently provides that a claimant is ineligible for benefits if they receive from one or more employers:

- Wages earned for work performed in that week of more than $500, or
- Holiday, vacation, termination or sick pay which, alone or combined with wages earned for work performed in that week, equals more than $500.

Claimants are also ineligible for partial benefits if they work 32 hours or more in a week.

The Governor’s Budget Bill (AB 68 / SB 111) would repeal the $500 weekly maximum earned income disqualification but would not amend the partial benefit formula. Under this proposal and the current partial UI benefit formula, a claimant with a $370 weekly UI benefit rate could receive a partial UI benefit of $5 if they earn $574 per week or less in wages.\(^1\) Claimants who earn $575 per week or more in wages would continue to be ineligible for benefits based on the partial benefit formula and current maximum weekly benefit rate of $370 weekly.

\(^1\) This is a preliminary estimated calculation, subject to revision.
2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 108.05 (3) (dm) of the statutes is repealed.

Except when otherwise authorized in an approved work-share program under s. 108.062, a claimant is ineligible to receive any benefits for a week if the claimant receives or will receive from one or more employers wages earned for work performed in that week, amounts treated as wages under s. 108.04 (1) (bm) for that week, sick pay, holiday pay, vacation pay, termination pay, bonus pay, back pay, or payments treated as wages under s. 108.04 (12) (e), or any combination thereof, totalling more than $500.

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposed change would result in claimants receiving a small partial UI benefit if they earn $500 to $574 in wages, sick, holiday, vacation, termination, bonus, or back pay in a week.

b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is not yet available.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective for weeks of unemployment beginning in 2022.

---

2 Subject to revision to ensure cross-references are corrected.
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Increase Maximum Weekly Benefit Rate

1. Description of Proposed Change

2013 Wis. Act 36 increased the maximum weekly benefit rate for unemployment insurance benefits from $363 to $370 starting January 2014, which was the last time Wisconsin increased the maximum weekly benefit rate. Charts showing historical data and data from other states are attached.

The Governor’s Budget Bill (AB 68 / SB 111) would increase the maximum weekly benefit rate from $370 to $409 per week to reflect increases in the average weekly wage since 2014. This change would be effective for payments made for weeks of unemployment beginning January 2, 2022. For weeks of unemployment beginning January 1, 2023, the maximum would be 50% of Wisconsin’s average weekly wage. For weeks of unemployment beginning on December 31, 2023, the maximum would be the greater of the maximum for the prior year or 75% of Wisconsin’s average weekly wage.

In 2019, the state’s average weekly wage was $951. Under this proposal but using 2019 data for reference, the maximum UI benefit rate for 2023 would be $475.50 weekly; for 2024, it would be $713.25 weekly.
2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 108.05 (1) (am) of the statutes is created to read:

108.05 (1) (am) On or before June 30 of each year, the department shall calculate, from quarterly wage reports under s. 108.205 for the prior calendar year, the state's annual average weekly wage in employment covered under this chapter.

Section 108.05 (1) (cm) of the statutes is created to read:

108.05 (1) (cm) The department shall set the maximum weekly benefit amount as follows:

1. For benefits paid for a week of total unemployment that commences on or after January 5, 2014, but before January 2, 2022, $370.
2. For benefits paid for a week of total unemployment that commences on or after January 2, 2022, but before January 1, 2023, $409.
3. For benefits paid for a week of total unemployment that commences on or after January 1, 2023, but before January 7, 2024, $409 or 50 percent of the state's annual average weekly wage, rounded up to the nearest dollar, whichever is greater.
4. For benefits paid for a week of total unemployment that commences on or after January 7, 2024, the department shall set an annual maximum weekly benefit amount that takes effect on the 1st Sunday in January of each calendar year and that is equal to the greater of the following:
   a. Seventy-five percent of the state's annual average weekly wage, rounded up to the nearest dollar.
   b. The maximum benefit amount in effect in the previous calendar year.

---

1 Subject to revision to ensure cross-references are corrected.
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Section 108.05 (1) (r) of the statutes is renumbered 108.05 (1) (r) (intro.) and amended to read:

108.05 (1) (r) (intro.) Except as provided in s. 108.062 (6) (a), each eligible employee shall be paid benefits for each week of total unemployment that commences on or after January 5, 2014, at the a weekly benefit rate specified in this paragraph. Unless sub. (1m) applies, the weekly benefit rate shall equal to 4 percent of the employee's base period wages that were paid during that quarter of the employee's base period in which the employee was paid the highest total wages, rounded down to the nearest whole dollar, except that, if that amount as provided under sub. (1m), and unless one of the following applies:

1. If the employee's weekly benefit rate calculated under this paragraph is less than $54, no benefits are payable to the employee and, if that amount.

2. If the employee's weekly benefit rate is more than $370 the maximum weekly benefit amount under par. (cm), the employee's weekly benefit rate shall be $370 and except that, if the maximum weekly benefit amount under par. (cm).

3. If the employee's benefits are exhausted during any week under s. 108.06 (1), the employee shall be paid the remaining amount of benefits payable to the employee under s. 108.06 (1).

(s) The department shall publish on its Internet site a weekly benefit rate schedule of quarterly wages and the corresponding weekly benefit rates as calculated in accordance with this paragraph subsection.

3
3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. **Policy:** The proposed change would increase the maximum weekly benefit rate to reflect increases in the average weekly wage.

b. **Administrative:** This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. **Fiscal:** A fiscal estimate is not yet available.

4. State and Federal Issues

   There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

   This proposal would be effective for weeks of unemployment beginning January 2, 2022.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective Week/Year</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/14</td>
<td>$54</td>
<td>$370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/09</td>
<td>$54</td>
<td>$363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/07</td>
<td>$53</td>
<td>$355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/06</td>
<td>$51</td>
<td>$341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/03</td>
<td>$49</td>
<td>$329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/02</td>
<td>$48</td>
<td>$324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41/00</td>
<td>$46</td>
<td>$313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/00</td>
<td>$45</td>
<td>$305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/99</td>
<td>$44</td>
<td>$297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/98</td>
<td>$43</td>
<td>$290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/97</td>
<td>$53</td>
<td>$282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/96</td>
<td>$52</td>
<td>$274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/95</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/94</td>
<td>$48</td>
<td>$256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/93</td>
<td>$46</td>
<td>$243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/92</td>
<td>$45</td>
<td>$240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/92</td>
<td>$43</td>
<td>$230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/90</td>
<td>$42</td>
<td>$225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/88</td>
<td>$38</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/83</td>
<td>$37</td>
<td>$196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/82</td>
<td>$36</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/82</td>
<td>$34</td>
<td>$179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/81</td>
<td>$33</td>
<td>$175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/81</td>
<td>$31</td>
<td>$166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/80</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>$160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/80</td>
<td>$29</td>
<td>$155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/79</td>
<td>$28</td>
<td>$149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/79</td>
<td>$27</td>
<td>$145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/78</td>
<td>$36</td>
<td>$139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/78</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/77</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/77</td>
<td>$24</td>
<td>$128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/76</td>
<td>$23</td>
<td>$122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/76</td>
<td>$22</td>
<td>$117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/75</td>
<td>$21</td>
<td>$113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/75</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UI Benefits Have Fallen Relative to Covered Wages


(Maximum Benefit amount)/(Average Weekly Wage)
(Average Weekly Benefit Amount)/(Average Weekly Wage)
WI UI Weekly Benefits Compared to Other Midwest States

Wisconsin Weekly UI Benefit Compared to Neighboring States and National Average Q2 2018

- Illinois
- Indiana
- Iowa
- Minnesota
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- National Average
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- Average Weekly Benefit Amount
- Maximum Weekly Benefit Amount
- Maximum Weekly Benefit Amount with Dependent Allowance
## Wisconsin UI Weekly Benefits Compared to Neighboring States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Average Weekly Benefit Amount</th>
<th>Maximum Weekly Benefit Amount</th>
<th>Maximum Weekly Benefit Amount with Dependent Allowance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>$379.30</td>
<td>$458.00</td>
<td>$627.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>$292.77</td>
<td>$390.00</td>
<td>$390.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>$394.26</td>
<td>$455.00</td>
<td>$559.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>$458.15</td>
<td>$693.00</td>
<td>$693.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>$370.15</td>
<td>$443.00</td>
<td>$598.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>$355.42</strong></td>
<td><strong>$445.96</strong></td>
<td><strong>$553.02</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wisconsin</strong></td>
<td><strong>$319.91</strong></td>
<td><strong>$370.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$370.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Flexibility for Finding Suitable Work

1. Description of Proposed Change

The definition of “suitable work” in the Unemployment Insurance law provides a standard for determining whether an unemployment benefit claimant has good cause for refusing work when offered. The Unemployment Insurance administrative rules currently define “suitable work” as “work that is reasonable considering the claimant’s training, experience, and duration of unemployment as well as the availability of jobs in the labor market.”

Before 2015, when a claimant refused an offer of work within the first six weeks of becoming unemployed, the Department compared the skill level and rate of pay of the job refused to one or more of the claimant’s recent jobs. Benefits were allowed if the skill level of the work being refused was lower than that of one or more recently-held jobs or if the rate of pay offered was less than 80% of the pay of one or more recent jobs. The 80% threshold was set by Department policy.

As part of the 2015 Unemployment Insurance Advisory Council agreed bill, 2015 Wis. Act 334, the Council agreed to the current statutory definition of suitable work found in sections 108.04(8)(d) and (dm). The suitable work provisions of 2015 Wis. Act 334 effectively codified Department policy but reduced the pay threshold from 80% to 75%.

Under the 2015 Wis. Act 334 suitable work changes, a two-tiered approach is used to determine whether work refused is suitable based on when the job is refused. For claimants who refuse a job within the first six weeks of unemployment (known as the “canvassing period”), the

1 Wis. Admin. Code DWD § 100.02(61).
Department will compare the skill level and rate of pay to the claimant’s most recent jobs and determine whether the hourly wage is at least 75% of what the claimant earned in their highest paying most recent job.\(^2\)

For jobs refused after the sixth week of becoming unemployed, suitable work is defined as “any work that the employee is capable of performing, regardless of whether the employee has any relevant experience or training, that pays wages that are above the lowest quartile of wages for similar work in the labor market area in which the work is located, as determined by the department.”\(^3\) The work must still meet labor standards.

The Governor’s Budget Bill (AB 68 / SB 111) amends the suitable work statutes so that claimants are not required to accept less favorable work until the 11\(^{th}\) week of unemployment.

Under current Wis. Stat. § 108.04(7)(e), an employee is eligible for UI if they quit a job within the first 30 days based on “the same grounds for voluntarily terminating work [within the first 30 days] if the employee could have failed to accept the work under [the statutory suitable work definition] when it was offered, regardless of the reason articulated by the employee for the termination.”

The Governor’s Budget Bill (AB 68 / SB 111) amends the quit exception so that claimants may quit a job within 10 weeks of starting it if they could have refused the job as unsuitable. This change to the quit exception may make unemployment claimants more likely to try jobs that they might otherwise refuse; it may also encourage them to try the jobs for more time before quitting.

\(^2\) Wis. Stat. § 108.04(8)(d).
\(^3\) Wis. Stat. § 108.04(8)(dm).
2. Proposed Statutory Changes

108.04 (7) (e) of the statutes is amended to read:

Paragraph (a) does not apply if the department determines that the employee accepted work that the employee could have failed to accept under sub. (8) and terminated the work on the same grounds and within the first 30 calendar days 10 weeks after starting the work, or that the employee accepted work that the employee could have refused under sub. (9) and terminated the work within the first 30 calendar days 10 weeks after starting the work. For purposes of this paragraph, an employee has the same grounds for voluntarily terminating work if the employee could have failed to accept the work under sub. (8) (d) to (em) when it was offered, regardless of the reason articulated by the employee for the termination.

Section 108.04 (8) (d) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

With respect to the first 6 10 weeks after the employee became unemployed, “suitable work," for purposes of par. (a), means work to which all of the following apply:

Section 108.04 (8) (dm) of the statutes is amended to read:

With respect to the 7th 11th week after the employee became unemployed and any week thereafter, “suitable work," for purposes of par. (a), means any work that the employee is capable of performing, regardless of whether the employee has any relevant experience or training, that pays wages that are above the lowest quartile of wages for similar work in the labor market area in which the work is located, as determined by the department.

---

4 Subject to revision to ensure cross-references are corrected.
3. **Effects of Proposed Change**

   a. **Policy:** The proposed change will give claimants more time to find suitable work after becoming unemployed. This proposal may incentivize claimants to take less favorable jobs, which may result in fewer benefits paid to claimants.

   b. **Administrative:** This proposal will require training of Department staff.

   c. **Fiscal:** A fiscal estimate is not yet available.

4. **State and Federal Issues**

   There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. **Proposed Effective/Applicability Date**

   This proposal would apply to determinations issued after the effective date of the bill.
D21-24
Amend Social Security Disability Insurance Disqualification

Date: April 15, 2021
Proposed by: DWD
Prepared by: Bureau of Legal Affairs

ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Amend Social Security Disability Insurance Disqualification

1. Description of Proposed Change

Currently, recipients of federal Social Security Disability Insurance (“SSDI”) payments are ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. Recipients of pension payments are eligible for unemployment insurance benefits, but the unemployment benefit is reduced by the pension payment.

The Governor’s Budget Bill (AB 68 / SB 111) proposes to amend the prohibition on receipt of UI for SSDI recipients by reducing the amount of weekly UI benefits by the proportionate amount of the claimant’s SSDI payment.

Under this proposal, a claimant who receives $1,000 monthly in SSDI and would otherwise be eligible for $300 weekly in UI would receive a weekly UI payment of $69.1

2. Proposed Statutory Changes2

Section 108.04 (2) (h) of the statutes is amended to read:

A claimant shall, when the claimant first files a claim for benefits under this chapter and during each subsequent week the claimant files for benefits under this chapter, inform the department whether he or she is receiving social security disability insurance payments, as defined in sub. (12) (f) 2m s. 108.05 (7m) (b). If the claimant is receiving social security disability insurance payments, the claimant shall, in the manner prescribed by the department, report to the department the amount of the social security disability insurance payments.

---

1 This calculation is preliminary and subject to revision.
2 Subject to revision to ensure cross-references are corrected.
Amend Social Security Disability Insurance Disqualification

Section 108.04 (12) (f) 1m. and 2m. of the statutes are renumbered 108.05 (7m) (a) and (b) and amended to read:

(a) The intent of the legislature in enacting this paragraph subsection is to prevent the payment of duplicative government benefits for the replacement of lost earnings or income, regardless of an individual's ability to work.

(b) In this paragraph subsection, “social security disability insurance payment" means a payment of social security disability insurance benefits under 42 USC ch. 7 subch. II.

Section 108.04 (12) (f) 3. of the statutes is repealed.

Section 1754. 108.04 (12) (f) 4. of the statutes is renumbered 108.05 (7m) (e).

Section 108.05 (7m) (title), (c) and (d) of the statutes are created to read:

SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY INSURANCE PAYMENTS. (c) If a monthly social security disability insurance payment is issued to a claimant, the department shall reduce benefits otherwise payable to the claimant for a given week in accordance with par. (d). This subsection does not apply to a lump sum social security disability insurance payment in the nature of a retroactive payment or back pay.

(d) The department shall allocate a monthly social security disability insurance payment by allocating to each week the fraction of the payment attributable to that week.

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: Under this proposed change, recipients of SSDI may receive UI benefits.

b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is not yet available.
D21-24
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4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective for weeks of unemployment after the proposal is enacted.
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Electronic Communication and Filing

1. Description of Proposed Change

Employers must file quarterly tax and wage reports showing the names, Social Security numbers, and wages paid to their employees. Employers with at least 25 employees must file those reports electronically. Electronic filing ensures that reports are not lost in the mail and reduce administrative costs for the Department. Employers who make contribution payments of at least $10,000 annually must make those payments by electronic funds transfer; any employer may do so. Current law also permits the Department to electronically communicate with employers and claimants who opt for that form of communication—though not all communication with the Department can currently be electronic.

The Governor’s Budget Bill (AB 68 / SB 111) proposes that the electronic filing, electronic communication, and electronic payment provisions be mandatory for employers and claimants unless the employer or claimant demonstrates good cause for being unable to use the electronic method. The Department would determine good cause by rule. The Bill also provides that the Department may use electronic records and electronic signatures. The provision related to electronic communication will be effective when the Department has the technological capability to fully implement it.

The Department has begun the process of modernizing its unemployment insurance information technology systems with the expectation that a new system will result in administrative efficiencies for the Department and better service for employers and claimants. This proposal will ensure the maximization of such efficiencies and service improvements while
Electronic Communication and Filing

safeguarding the rights of those whose access to electronic means is severely limited or unavailable.

2. Proposed Statutory Changes

Section 108.14 (2e) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.14 (2e)
The department may shall provide a secure means of electronic interchange between itself and employing units, claimants, and other persons that, upon request to and with prior approval by the department, may shall be used for departmental transmission or receipt of any document specified by the department that is related to the administration of this chapter in lieu of any other means of submission or receipt specified in this chapter. The secure means of electronic interchange shall be used by employing units, claimants, and other persons unless a person demonstrates good cause for not being able to use the secure means of electronic interchange. The department shall determine by rule what constitutes good cause, for purposes of this subsection. Subject to s. 137.25 (2) and any rules promulgated thereunder, the department may permit the use of the use of electronic records and electronic signatures for any document specified by the department that is related to the administration of this chapter. If a due date is established by statute for the receipt of any document that is submitted electronically to the department under this subsection, then that submission is timely only if the document is submitted by midnight of the statutory due date.

Section 108.17 (2) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:
The department may shall electronically provide a means whereby an employer that files its employment and wage reports electronically may determine the amount of contributions due for payment by the employer under s. 108.18 for each quarter. If an employer that is subject to a contribution requirement files its employment and wage reports under s. 108.205 (1) electronically,

1 Subject to revision to ensure cross-references are corrected.
in the manner prescribed by the department for purposes of this paragraph, the department may require the employer to determine electronically the amount of contributions due for payment by the employer under s. 108.18 for each quarter. In such case, the employer is excused from filing contribution reports under par. (a). The employer shall pay the amount due for each quarter by the due date specified in par. (a).

**Section 108.17 (2b) of the statutes is amended to read:**

The department shall prescribe a form and methodology for filing contribution reports under sub. (2) electronically. Each employer of 25 or more employees, as determined under s. 108.22 (1) (ae), that does not use an employer agent to file its contribution reports under this section shall file its contribution reports electronically in the manner and form prescribed by the department, unless the employer demonstrates good cause for not being able to file contribution reports electronically. The department shall determine by rule what constitutes good cause, for purposes of this subsection. Each employer that becomes subject to an electronic reporting requirement under this subsection shall file its initial report under this subsection for the quarter during which the employer becomes subject to the reporting requirement. Once an employer becomes subject to a reporting requirement under this subsection, it shall continue to file its reports under this subsection unless that requirement is waived by the department.

**Section 108.17 (7) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:**

Each employer whose net total contributions paid or payable under this section for any 12-month period ending on June 30 are at least $10,000 shall pay all contributions under this section by means of electronic funds transfer beginning with the next calendar year, unless the employer demonstrates good cause for not being able to pay contributions by electronic funds transfer. The department shall determine by rule what constitutes good cause, for purposes of this subsection.
Once an employer becomes subject to an electronic payment requirement under this paragraph, the employer shall continue to make payment of all contributions by means of electronic funds transfer unless that requirement is waived by the department.

108.205 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

Each employer of 25 or more employees, as determined under s. 108.22 (1) (ae), that does not use an employer agent to file its reports under this section shall file the quarterly report under sub. (1) electronically in the manner and form prescribed by the department, unless the employer demonstrates good cause for not being able to file reports electronically. The department shall determine by rule what constitutes good cause, for purposes of this subsection. An employer that becomes subject to an electronic reporting requirement under this subsection shall file its initial report under this subsection for the quarter during which the employer becomes subject to the reporting requirement. Once an employer becomes subject to the reporting requirement under this subsection, the employer shall continue to file its quarterly reports under this subsection unless that requirement is waived by the department.

Non-statutory provision:

(1) UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE; ELECTRONIC INTERCHANGE. The department of workforce development shall submit a notice to the legislative reference bureau for publication in the Wisconsin Administrative Register indicating the date upon which the department is able to implement the treatment of s. 108.14 (2e).

3. Effects of Proposed Change

   a. Policy: The proposed change will result in increased efficiencies and improved experiences for claimants and employers.

   b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.
c. **Fiscal:** A fiscal estimate is not yet available.

4. **State and Federal Issues**

   There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. **Proposed Effective/Applicability Date**

   This proposal would be effective with the other provisions of the agreed bill. The treatment of section 108.14 (2e) will take effect on the date specified in the notice published in the register. The provisions related to good cause would be effective after the Department promulgates a rule defining “good cause.”
ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED UI LAW CHANGE
Worker Misclassification Penalties

1. Description of Proposed Change

Civil and criminal penalties were created, as part of the 2015-2016 UIAC Agreed Bill, for employers who intentionally misclassify their workers as independent contractors. The current penalties only apply to construction employers and are:

1. $500 civil penalty for each employee who is misclassified, but not to exceed $7,500 per incident.

2. $1,000 criminal fine for each employee who is misclassified, subject to a maximum fine of $25,000 for each violation, but only if the employer has previously been assessed a civil penalty for misclassified workers.

3. $1,000 civil penalty for each individual coerced to adopt independent contractor status, up to $10,000 per calendar year.

The civil penalties are deposited into the Department’s program integrity fund, which is used, in part, to fund the costs of staff who investigate employee classification.

The Joint Task Force on Payroll Fraud and Worker Misclassification recommended that the penalties for intentional worker misclassification be structured to deter repeat violations. The Governor’s Budget Bill (AB 68 / SB 111) proposes to amend the civil penalties statutes by having the penalties potentially apply to all employers. The Bill also eliminates the $7,500 and $10,000 caps on the civil penalties and doubles the penalties for subsequent violations. The Bill makes no changes to the criminal penalties.

1 Joint Task Force on Payroll Fraud and Worker Misclassification 2020 Report, p. 10.
2. Proposed Statutory Changes

**108.221 (1) (a)** of the statutes is renumbered 108.221 (1) (a) (intro.) and amended to read:

Any employer described in s. 108.18 (2) (c) or engaged in the painting or drywall finishing of buildings or other structures who knowingly and intentionally provides false information to the department for the purpose of misclassifying or attempting to misclassify an individual who is an employee of the employer as a nonemployee shall, for each incident, be assessed a penalty by the department as follows:

1. For each act occurring before the date of the first determination of a violation of this subsection, the employer shall be assessed a penalty in the amount of $500 for each employee who is misclassified, but not to exceed $7,500 per incident.

**Section 108.221 (1) (a) 2. of the statutes is created to read:**

For each act occurring after the date of the first determination of a violation of this subsection, the employer shall be assessed a penalty in the amount of $1,000 for each employee who is misclassified.

**108.221 (2) of the statutes is renumbered 108.221 (2) (intro.) and amended to read:**

Any employer described in s. 108.18 (2) (c) or engaged in the painting or drywall finishing of buildings or other structures who, through coercion, requires an individual to adopt the status of a nonemployee shall be assessed a penalty by the department as follows:

(a) For each act occurring before the date of the first determination of a violation of this subsection, the employer shall be assessed a penalty in the amount of $1,000 for each individual so coerced, but not to exceed $10,000 per calendar year.

---

2 Subject to revision to ensure cross-references are corrected.
Section 108.221 (2) (b) of the statutes is created to read:

For each act occurring after the date of the first determination of a violation of this subsection, the employer shall be assessed a penalty in the amount of $2,000 for each individual so coerced.

3. Effects of Proposed Change

a. Policy: The proposed change will permit the Department to assess civil penalties against any employer that intentionally misclassifies workers as independent contractors and will increase the amount of the penalties for subsequent violations.

b. Administrative: This proposal will require training of Department staff.

c. Fiscal: A fiscal estimate is not yet available.

4. State and Federal Issues

There are no known federal conformity issues with this proposal. All changes to the unemployment insurance law should be sent to the U.S. Department of Labor for conformity review.

5. Proposed Effective/Applicability Date

This proposal would be effective for employees misclassified after the law change is enacted.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Presented</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D21-01</td>
<td>Creation of Unemployment Administration Fund</td>
<td>3/18/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-02</td>
<td>Minor and Technical Corrections</td>
<td>3/18/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-03</td>
<td>Reimbursable Employer Debt Assessment</td>
<td>3/18/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-04</td>
<td>DWD Reports to Legislature</td>
<td>3/18/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-05</td>
<td>Prohibit DOR Collection</td>
<td>3/18/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-06</td>
<td>Department Error</td>
<td>3/18/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-07</td>
<td>Effect of Criminal Conviction</td>
<td>3/18/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-08</td>
<td>Fiscal Agent Election</td>
<td>3/18/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-09</td>
<td>Employee Status Clarification</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-10</td>
<td>SUTA Dumping Penalties</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-11</td>
<td>Work Share Revisions</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-12</td>
<td>Department Flexibility for Federal Funding</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-13</td>
<td>Construction Employer Initial Contribution Rates</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-14</td>
<td>DWD 140 - Permanent Rule Scope</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-15</td>
<td>Camp Counselor Exclusion</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-16</td>
<td>Repeal Pre-employment &amp; Occupational Drug Testing</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-17</td>
<td>Repeal Substantial Fault</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-18</td>
<td>Amend Quit Exception for Relocating Spouses</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-19</td>
<td>Repeal the Waiting Week</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-20</td>
<td>Repeal Statutory Work Search &amp; Registration Waivers</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-21</td>
<td>Repeal Wage Threshold for Receipt of Benefits</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-22</td>
<td>Increase Maximum Weekly Benefit Rate</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-23</td>
<td>Flexibility for Finding Suitable Work</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-24</td>
<td>Amend SSDI Disqualification</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-25</td>
<td>Electronic Communications and Filing</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-26</td>
<td>Amend Worker Classification Penalties</td>
<td>4/15/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2021 Unemployment Insurance Advisory Council Schedule

January 21, 2021  Scheduled Meeting of UIAC
Discuss Public Hearing November 2020 Comments

March 18, 2021  Scheduled Meeting of UIAC
Department Proposals Introduced

April 15, 2021  Scheduled Meeting of UIAC
Additional Department Proposals Introduced

May 20, 2021  Scheduled Meeting of UIAC
Discuss Department Proposals
Exchange of Labor & Management Law Change Proposals

May/June 2021  Tentative Meeting of UIAC
Discuss Department Proposals and Labor & Management Proposals

June 17, 2021  Scheduled Meeting of UIAC
Discuss Department Proposals and Labor & Management Proposals

July 15, 2021  Scheduled Meeting of UIAC
Discussion and Agreement on Law Changes for Agreed Upon Bill

August 19, 2021  Scheduled Meeting of UIAC
Review and Approval of Department Draft of Agreed Upon Bill

September 16, 2021  Scheduled Meeting of UIAC
Review and Approval of LRB Draft of Agreed Upon Bill

October 21, 2021  Scheduled Meeting of UIAC
Final Review and Approval of LRB Draft of Agreed Upon Bill

November 18, 2021  Scheduled Meeting of UIAC
Agreed Upon Bill Sent to the Legislature for Introduction

December 2021  Tentative Meeting of UIAC

January 2022  Tentative Agreed Upon Bill Sent to the Legislature for Introduction in the Spring 2022 Legislative Session